Sea Turtles in the Middle East and South Asia Region

MTSG Annual Regional Report 2019

Editors:

Andrea D. Phillott, FLAME University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

ALan F. Rees, University of Exeter, Penryn, UK

Photo: Nesting hawksbill sea turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) at Sheedvar Is., Iran

Photo Credit: Asghar Mobaraki

Index

REGIONAL OVERVIEW

Andrea D. Phillott[1] and ALan F. Rees[2]

[1]FLAME University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

[2]University of Exeter, Penryn, UK

Of the 17 countries occurring in the Middle East and South Asia (ME & SA) MTSG Region, 11 are included in this report (Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, India, Kuwait, Maldives, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Yemen). Four chapters (Bahrain, Djibouti, Maldives, Sudan) have been added and seven chapters (Egypt, India, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sri Lanka, Yemen) have been updated since the 2018 report (Phillott and Rees, 2018). Note that the chapters for Djibouti and Sudan would potentially be strengthened by contributions from in-country researchers.

The report presents known information about 11 sea turtle Regional Management Units (RMUs; see Wallace et al., 2010) in the ME & SA, of which information about *Eretmochelys imbricata* in the South-West Indian Ocean is new since the 2018 report (see Phillott and Rees, 2018). Additional information for RMU's in the region can be obtained from relevant publications and reports on sea turtle populations in Bangladesh, Eritrea, Iran, Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates. We hope to include more of these countries in the next report.

1 RMU: *Caretta caretta*, North-East Indian Ocean (CC-NEIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: Sri Lanka

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: None known

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

The majority of loggerhead turtle nesting in Sri Lanka is reported from the south to south-west coastline of the country. No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for nesting populations of the RMU in Sri Lanka are known (see *Sri Lanka*).

1.1.2 Marine areas

Specific foraging and inter-nesting areas for this RMU in Sri Lanka have not been identified. No clear documented abundance and recent trends for foraging loggerhead populations in Sri Lanka are known (see *Sri Lanka*).

1.2 Other biological data

There is a need for the genetic stock of this RMU in Sri Lanka to be determined (see *Sri Lanka*).

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Eggs are lost to poachers and predators. Hatchlings may be threatened by increased lighting adjacent to nesting beaches (see *Sri Lanka*).

1.3.2 Marine areas

The consumption of turtle bycatch occurs in coastal villages of Sri Lanka (see *Sri Lanka*).

1.4 Conservation

Turtles in this RMU are protected by government legislation and coastal sea turtle sanctuaries in Sri Lanka and international conservation agreements. Both *in situ* and *ex situ* protection mechanisms for turtle nests have been used in the past and are ongoing (see *Sri Lanka*).

1.5 Research

Studies have been conducted to quantify and trial mitigation strategies for sea turtle bycatch in some fisheries. Research on the value of hatcheries as an *ex situ* conservation strategy in Sri Lanka is ongoing. The genetic stock of this RMU in Sri Lanka is identified as a knowledge gap and should be addressed with research (see *Sri Lanka*).

2 RMU: *Caretta caretta*, North-West Indian Ocean (CC-NWIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: Yemen

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: Oman

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

A globally important nesting aggregation for this species occurs in Oman, but no data were obtained from that country.

2.1.1 Nesting sites

In Yemen, nesting of turtles in this RMU occurs on Socotra Island in the Gulf of Aden, with infrequent nesting also on the nearby Sharma-Jethmoon-Dhargham coast of the country. No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for nesting populations of the RMU are known (see *Yemen*).

2.1.2 Marine areas

Large scale oceanic foraging areas in Yemen's EEZ have been shown for this RMU, from turtles that have nested in Oman. Specific foraging and inter-nesting areas for turtles nesting in Yemen have not been identified, and no clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for foraging populations of loggerhead turtles in Yemen are known (see *Yemen*).

2.2 Other biological data

Morphological information for all life stages and genetic characteristics of the RMU in Yemen still need to be determined and published (see *Yemen*).

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Nesting turtles and their eggs in Yemen are threatened by human consumption, coastal development, activities associated with tourism, and depredation of nests. Long-term monitoring is required to ascertain the impact of these threats of the RMU at Yemeni nesting sites (see *Yemen*).

2.3.2 Marine areas

Fisheries in the Gulf of Aden and Red Sea pose threats to nesting, inter-nesting and foraging turtles in this RMU, likely exacerbated by the weak enforcement, low compliance, and widespread illegal activities reported for fisheries in Yemen. Marine pollution and sea level rise resulting from climate change are additional current and future threats to be managed (see *Yemen*).

2.4 Conservation

Yemen protects turtles in the CC-NWIO RMU through national and international instruments and protected areas, though effectiveness of these methods is unknown (see *Yemen*).

2.5 Research

Understanding of this RMU would benefit from unpublished data sets being made available, further research on the biology, ecology, and threats to loggerhead turtles in Yemen (see *Yemen*) and from publication and inclusion of data from Oman.

3 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, North-East Indian Ocean (CM-NEIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: India

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: Bangladesh

3.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

3.1.1 Nesting sites

India only reports nesting turtles in the CM-NEIO RMU in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, with no known large nesting sites identified to date. No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for nesting populations of this RMU in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands of India are known (see *India*).

3.1.2 Marine areas

Bycatch data from Indian fisheries indicates green turtles in their NEIO RMU inhabit near- and offshore waters in the Bay of Bengal (see India). No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for foraging populations of leatherback turtles in Indian waters are known (see *India*).

3.2 Other biological data

Biological data for populations of this RMU in India are unknown and a key knowledge gap (see *India*).

3.3 Threats

3.3.1 Nesting sites

Nesting sites for this RMU in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands are remote, with little known about potential threats to nesting sites, turtles, eggs, and hatchlings (see *India*).

3.3.2 Marine areas

Fisheries bycatch is the main threats to turtles of this RMU in India (see *India*).

3.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect turtles in India and its territories. Nests may be protected or relocated to hatcheries at some of the populated islands among the Andaman and Nicobars (see *India*).

3.5 Research

Turtle research in India has not previously focused on this RMU, and activities to determine key biological information and assess the population are required (see *India*).

4 RMU: Chelonia mydas, North-West Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, India, Kuwait, Maldives, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Yemen

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: Oman, Iran, Pakistan

4.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

Oman has one of the two largest NWIO green turtle breeding populations in the region (together with Yemen), but no data were presented for this report

4.1.1 Nesting sites

From west to east, nesting green turtle populations in the NWIO RMU were reported by Djibouti, Egypt, Saudi Arabia (Red Sea and Arabian (Persian) Gulf), Yemen, Kuwait, India (mainland west coast and Lakshadweep Islands), the Maldives, and Sri Lanka (see *Djibouti*, *Egypt*, *India*, *Kuwait*, *Maldives*, *Saudi Arabia*, *Sri Lanka* and *Yemen*). The oldest documented abundance and recent trends for nesting populations of this RMU are unknown for countries other than Egypt (see *Egypt*). Egypt and Kuwait report currently stable populations although the population in Kuwait represents no more than 5 nesting individuals in a season (see *Egypt* and *Kuwait*), while the green turtle population in the Maldives is believed to be decreasing (se *Maldives*).

4.1.2 Marine areas

Important in-water habitat for the RMU have been identified in the Red Sea (see *Bahrain*, *Egypt*, *Saudi Arabia*, *Sudan* and *Yemen*), Gulf of Aden (see Yemen), *Arabian Sea* (see *India*, *Maldives*), Arabian (Persian) Gulf (see *Qatar*, *Kuwait* and *Saudi Arabia*), Gulf of Mannar (see *Sri Lanka*), and Lakshadweep Islands (see *India*).

4.2 Other biological data

Some key biological data for populations of this RMU in Egypt, Kuwait, Maldives, Saudi Arabia, Sri Lanka and Yemen is known (see *Egypt*, *Kuwait*, *Maldives*, *Saudi Arabia*, *Sri Lanka* and *Yemen*), but no to little information is available for populations in Djibouti and India (see *Djibouti* and *India*).

4.3 Threats

4.3.1 Nesting sites

The most common threats at nesting sites that were reported by contributing countries include coastal development (see *Djibouti*, *Kuwait*, *Maldives* and *Saudi Arabia*), beach armouring (see *India* and *Maldives*), pollution (see *Djibouti*, *Maldives* and *Saudi Arabia*), predation (see *Egypt*), illegal take (see *Djibouti*, *Egypt*, *Maldives*, *Saudi Arabia*, *Sri Lanka*, *Sudan* and *Yemen*), and tourism (see *Kuwait* and *Yemen*).

4.3.2 Marine areas

Threats from fisheries (see *Djibouti*, *India*, *Kuwait*, *Sri Lanka*, *Sudan* and *Yemen*) and consumption of bycatch (see *Sri Lanka*), coastal development and associated pollution (see *Yemen*), and directed take (see *Egypt*) are among the major threats to marine areas and populations important for the CM-NWIO RMU. Removal of seagrass beds by resorts is common in the Maldives (see *Maldives*).

4.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect green turtles throughout their distribution in the NWIO RMU. Specific conservation actions by individual countries are reported respectively.

4.5 Research

Egypt, Kuwait, Maldives and Sri Lanka are the only contributing countries that describe recent monitoring to establish key information on the biology, ecology and distribution of turtle population in the CM-NWIO RMU, but further information is required for all countries. Sharing and/or publication of existing, historical data is strongly encouraged.

5 RMU: *Dermochelys coriacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (DC-NEIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: India, Sri Lanka

ME & SA countries in which the RMU also occurs: Nesting is not known to occur in other countries within this RMU. Leatherbacks recorded in waters of Bahrain, Djibouti, Sudan and Yemen may belong to the NEIO and/or SWIO RMUs for the species.

5.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

5.1.1 Nesting sites

India and Sri Lanka report nesting of leatherback turtles in the DC-NEIO RMU in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands and the south to south-west coast of the country respectively (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*). The nesting population known in India is reported as stable for 2008-2017 (see *India*), but the trend for Sri Lankan turtles in the RMU is unknown (see *Sri Lanka*).

5.1.2 Marine areas

This species is rarely encountered as bycatch in the Bay of Bengal and little is known about its marine habitat in the NEIO. No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for foraging populations of turtles in this RMU in both India and Sri Lanka are known (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

5.2 Other biological data

Much of the key biological data for this RMU is unknown for turtles in Sri Lanka or collected from only a small number of turtles in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

5.3 Threats

5.3.1 Nesting sites

Nesting beaches in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands have reformed since the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami, but natural debris may still obstruct and, therefore, limit available nesting habitat (see *India*). Poaching of leatherback turtle eggs in Sri Lanka is reported (see *Sri Lanka*).

5.3.2 Marine areas

There are no reports of leatherbacks as bycatch from Sri Lanka, but the species is recorded from different fisheries in India (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

5.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect turtles in India and its territories and Sri Lanka. Nests may be protected or relocated to hatcheries at some of the populated islands among the Andaman and Nicobars (see *India*) and in Sri Lanka, but their contribution to sea turtle conservation is debated in the latter country (see *Sri Lanka*).

5.5 Research

Long-term monitoring of the DC-NEIO RMU has been ongoing since 2008 in the Andaman Islands. Similar monitoring occurred on Great Nicobar Island from 2001-2004 (see *India*). Studies have been

conducted to quantify and trial mitigation strategies for sea turtle bycatch in some Sri Lankan fisheries. Research on the value of hatcheries as an ex situ conservation strategy in Sri Lanka is ongoing (see *Sri Lanka*).

6 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-East Indian Ocean (EI-NEIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: India, Sri Lanka

ME & SA countries in which the RMU also occurs: Bangladesh

6.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

6.1.1 Nesting sites

India and Sri Lanka report nesting of hawksbill turtles in the NEIO RMU in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands and the south to south-west coast of the country respectively (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*). No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for nesting populations of this RMU in both India and Sri Lanka are known (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

6.1.2 Marine areas

No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for foraging populations of this RMU in both India and Sri Lanka are known, but the species is reported frequently from commercial dive sites on reefs in India (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

6.2 Other biological data

Little biological data is available for hawksbill turtles in India or Sri Lanka (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

6.3 Threats

6.3.1 Nesting sites

Poaching of eggs is reported by both countries, and nests may be depredated in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands of India (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

6.3.2 Marine areas

Fisheries operating in India and Sri Lanka pose a threat to sea turtles, and consumption of turtle bycatch is reported from Sri Lanka (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

6.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect turtles in India and its territories and Sri Lanka. Nests may be protected or relocated to hatcheries at some of the populated islands among the Andaman and Nicobars (see *India*) and in Sri Lanka, but their contribution to sea turtle conservation is debated in the latter country (see *Sri Lanka*).

6.5 Research

In Sri Lanka, studies have been conducted to quantify and trial mitigation strategies for sea turtle bycatch in some fisheries, and research on the value of hatcheries as an ex situ conservation strategy in is ongoing (see *Sri Lanka*). Research on the biology, ecology, and threats to populations of the EI-NEIO RMU in both India and Sri Lanka is required (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

7 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-West Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, India, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, Yemen

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: Eritrea, Iran, Oman, UAE

7.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

Regionally important nesting aggregations for this RMU also occur in Oman, UAE and Iran, for which no data were presented for this report.

7.1.1 Nesting sites

From west to east, nesting hawksbill turtle populations in the NWIO RMU were reported by Sudan, Djibouti, Egypt, Saudi Arabia (Red Sea and Arabian (Persian) Gulf), Yemen, Qatar, Kuwait, and India (Lakshadweep Islands) (see *Djibouti*, *Egypt*, *India*, *Kuwait*, *Qatar*, *Saudi Arabia* and *Yemen*). The oldest documented abundance and recent trends for nesting populations of this RMU are unknown for countries other than Kuwait, Qatar and Sudan. The former two countries report currently stable populations (see *Kuwait* and *Qatar*). Nesting trends are unknown for Sudan, and the possible 'thousands' of nesting pits observed in the early 2000's suggest a comprehensive assessment of current nesting number is needed.

7.1.2 Marine areas

Important in-water habitats for the RMU have been identified in the Red Sea (see Egypt, Sudan, and Saudi Arabia), Arabian (Persian) Gulf (see Qatar and Saudi Arabia), and Lakshadweep Islands (see India).

7.2 Other biological data

Some key biological data for populations of this RMU in Egypt, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, and Yemen is known, but no information is available for populations in Djibouti or India (see listed countries).

7.3 Threats

7.3.1 Nesting sites

The most common threats at nesting sites that were reported by contributing countries include coastal development (see *Kuwait*, *Qatar* and *Saudi Arabia*), beach armouring (see *India*), pollution (see *Saudi Arabia*), illegal take (see *Egypt*, *Saudi Arabia*, *Sudan* and *Yemen*), predation (see *Egypt*) and tourism (see *Kuwait* and *Yemen*).

7.3.2 Marine areas

Threats from fisheries, coastal development and associated pollution (see *Bahrain*, *Sudan* and *Yemen*), and directed take (see *Egypt*) are among the major threats to marine areas and populations important for the EI-NWIO RMU.

7.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect hawksbill turtles throughout their distribution in the NWIO RMU. Specific conservation actions by individual countries are reported (see *Bahrain*, *Djibouti*, *Egypt*, *India*, *Kuwait*, *Qatar*, *Saudi Arabia*, *Sudan* and *Yemen*).

7.5 Research

Egypt and Kuwait are the only contributing countries that describe recent monitoring to establish key information on the biology, ecology and distribution of turtle population in the EI-NWIO RMU (see *Egypt* and *Kuwait*), but further information is required for all countries. Sharing and/or publication of existing, historical data is strongly encouraged.

8 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, South-West Indian Ocean (EI-SWIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: Maldives

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: None

8.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

8.1.1 Nesting sites

The reported distribution of nesting is likely to be an underestimate in the Maldives. No long-term data are available (see *Maldives*).

8.1.2 Marine areas

A capture-mark-recapture study of hawksbill turtle numbers in the Maldivian Archipelago suggests ~3,200 turtles, mostly juveniles, and a potentially increasing population (see *Maldives*).

8.2 Other biological data

None available.

8.3 Threats

8.3.1 Nesting sites

Coastal development, erosion, pollution, and illegal take of hatchlings for the pet trade threaten hawksbill turtles in the Maldives (see *Maldives*).

8.3.2 Marine areas

Hawksbill habitat is threatened by development of resort facilities on coral reefs (see *Maldives*).

8.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect turtles in the Maldives.

8.5 Research

Research on genetic stocks and migratory patterns would complement ongoing research on the RMU in Maldivian waters (see *Maldives*).

9 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (Arribadas) (LO-NEIO (Arr))

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: India

ME & SA countries in which arribadas nesting of this RMU also occurs: None

9.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

9.1.1 Nesting sites

Two major and one minor arribada sites for the LO-NEIO (Arr) RMU are reported by India and its territories. The two current major nesting arribada locations (Gahirmatha and Rushikulya) are both located in the state of Odisha on the east coast of India, and the minor site (Cuthbert Bay) in the Andaman Islands. Nesting numbers at all three locations demonstrate a stable or possibly increasing trend (see *India*).

9.1.2 Marine areas

Between December and April, dense congregations of olive ridley turtles occur in offshore waters adjacent to the major nesting sites. Turtles in the LO-NEIO (Arr) RMU are believed to disperse within the Bay of Bengal and south to Sri Lanka between nesting seasons (see *India*).

9.2 Other biological data

Known biological data for the LO-NEIO (Arr) RMU is presented in *India*.

9.3 Threats

9.3.1 Nesting sites

Coastal development, nest predation, light pollution, and other common threats at nesting beaches are also experienced in India (see *India*).

9.3.2 Marine areas

Turtles in this RMU are vulnerable to different fisheries in India (see *India*).

9.4 Conservation

Seasonal closures in the waters offshore major arribada nesting sites protect nesting and inter-nesting turtles, and the nesting beaches of Gahirmatha and Cuthbert Bay are also declared wildlife sanctuaries (see *India*).

9.5 Research

Long-term monitoring of arribada nesting populations of olive ridley turtles continues in India, but our understanding of the RMU would benefit from focused studies on reproductive biology and physiology, which have previously been limited (see *India*).

10 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (LO-NEIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: India, Sri Lanka

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: Bangladesh

10.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

10.1.1 Nesting sites

Olive ridley turtles in their NEIO RMU nest across the east coast of mainland India, in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, and in the south to south-west coast of Sri Lanka (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*). The oldest documented abundance for nesting populations of this RMU in both India and Sri Lanka are unknown, but the nesting population in India is currently believed to be stable (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

10.1.2 Marine areas

Bycatch data and observation of tagged nesting females suggest olive ridley turtles in this RMU are widespread in near- and offshore waters on the eastern coasts of both India and Sri Lanka and potentially further into the Bay of Bengal. The oldest documented abundance and recent trends for foraging populations are unknown (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

10.2 Other biological data

No biological data is available for olive ridley turtles in Sri Lanka, but some key data is available from populations in India (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

10.3 Threats

10.3.1 Nesting sites

Poaching of eggs is reported in Sri Lanka (see *Sri Lanka*) but is now minimal for this RMU in India. However, nests in the latter country are vulnerable to predation, erosion and emergent hatchlings may be affected by photo-pollution (see *India*).

10.3.2 Marine areas

Fisheries operating in India and Sri Lanka pose a threat to sea turtles, and consumption of turtle bycatch is reported from Sri Lanka (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

10.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect turtles in India and its territories and Sri Lanka. Nests may be protected or relocated to hatcheries on mainland India (see *India*) and in Sri Lanka, but their contribution to sea turtle conservation is debated in the latter country (see *Sri Lanka*).

10.5 Research

The majority of research on olive ridley turtles in India has focused on the arribada populations. Research on the biology, ecology, and threats to populations of the LO-NEIO RMU in both India and Sri Lanka is required (see *India* and *Sri Lanka*).

11 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, West Indian Ocean (LO-WIO)

ME & SA countries contributing to this summary: Bahrain, India, Maldives

ME & SA countries in which nesting of this RMU also occurs: Oman

11.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

A regionally important nesting aggregation for this RMU also occurs in Oman, for which no data were presented for this report.

11.1.1 Nesting sites

Olive ridley turtles in their WIO RMU nest across the west coast of mainland India and in the Lakshadweep Islands. A few nests have been recorded in the Maldives (see *Maldives*). The oldest documented abundance for nesting populations of this RMU in India is unknown, but the population is currently believed to be stable (see *India*).

11.1.2 Marine areas

Bycatch data and stranding records from India, the Maldives, and Yemen suggest olive ridley turtles in this RMU are widespread in near- and offshore waters of the Arabian Sea (see *India* and *Maldives*). No clear oldest documented abundance and recent trends for foraging populations are known.

11.2 Other biological data

Limited key data is available from LO-WIO populations in India (see *India*).

11.3 Threats

11.3.1 Nesting sites

Olive ridley turtles from their WIO RMU nesting in India are vulnerable to predation, erosion and photo-pollution (see *India*).

11.3.2 Marine areas

Fisheries operating in India pose a threat to sea turtles (see *India*). Ghost gear, probably originating from countries in South or South-East Asia, is a major threat to olive ridley turtles in Maldivian waters and the greater Indian Ocean (see *Maldives*).

11.4 Conservation

National legislation and international agreements protect turtles in India and the Maldives (*see India* and *Maldives*). Nests may be protected or relocated to hatcheries on mainland India (see *India*).

11.5 Research

Research on the biology, ecology, and threats to populations of the LO-WIO RMU in India, the Maldives and Oman is required. Contribution of existing research data from Oman would notably improve our understanding of this RMU.

Table 1a. Key biological information for sea turtle RMUs (CC-NEIO; CC-NWIO; CM-NEIO; CM-NWIO; DC-NEIO) in the Middle East and South Asia.

Country Chapters: BH- Bahrain; DJ- Djibouti; EG- Egypt; IN- India; KW- Kuwait; MD- Maldives; QA- Qatar; SA- Saudi Arabia; LK- Sri Lanka; SU- Sudan; YE- Yemen.

	Caretta caretta				***Chelonia mydas***				***Dermochelys coraicea***	
RMU	**CC-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CC-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**DC-NEIO**	Country Chapters
Occurrence										
Nesting sites	Y	SL	Y	YE	Y	IN	Y	DJ,EG,IN,KW LK,MD,SA,SU, YE	Y	IN,LK
Pelagic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a	YE	n/a	IN	Y (J,A)	EG,LK,MD	n/a	
Benthic foraging grounds	n/a		Y	YE	n/a	IN	Y (J,A)	BH,DJ,EG,KW, MD,QA,SA,YE	n/a	
Key biological data										
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	17 (2014-2017)	SL	n/a		n/a	IN	>4,612	EG,KW,MD,SA, LK	1431 (2008-2018)	IN,LK
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	n/a		1000	YE	n/a	IN	~10,000-18,000	DJ,EG,KW,MD, SA,SU,YE	n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	0	SL	3	YE	n/a	IN	36	EG,KW,MD,SA, LK,YE	14	IN,LK
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	14	SL	6-10	YE	n/a	IN	72-100	EG,KW,MD,SA, LK,YE	47	IN,LK
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		? 1000	YE	n/a	IN	>11,000	EG,SA,YE	97.46 (2016)	IN
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		? 100	YE	n/a	IN	>5,200	EG,KW,SA,YE	3.4 (2016)	IN
Total length of nesting sites (km)	35	SL	10-15	YE	n/a	IN	~172	EG,KW,SA,LK, YE	96	LK
Nesting females / yr	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	6,000-10,000	EG,KW,MD,SA, YE	170	LK
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	~3.4 (>600)	EG,KW,MD,SA, LK	4.9	IN
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	2-5 (~1,500)	SA,LK	1	IN
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	0.7	LK	n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	70% (30)	QA	n/a	

	Caretta caretta				*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coraicea*	
RMU	**CC-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CC-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**DC-NEIO**	Country Chapters
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	73-96 CCL; 77 SCL	EG,KW,SA,LK, YE	140 CCL	IN
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	105.2 (5)	SL	n/a		n/a	IN	105.2 (2,174)	EG,SA,LK,YE	103.8 (140)	IN,LK
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	81.6 (>585)	EG,SA,LK	n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	62.2% (>5,578)	EG,SA,LK	n/a	
Trends										
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	Stable or Decreasing	EG,KW,MD	Stable (2008-2017)	IN
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	1,018	MD	n/a	
Published studies										
Growth rates	n/a		n/a		N	IN	N	BH,DJ,EG,IN, KW,MD,QA	N	IN
Genetics	n/a		n/a		N	IN	Y	KW,SA,LK,YE	Y	IN
Stocks defined by genetic markers	n/a		Y	YE	N	IN	Y	SA,YE	Y	IN
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	n/a		n/a		N	IN	Y	KW,MD,SA,LK	Y	IN
Survival rates	n/a		n/a		N	IN	N	EG,IN,KW,MD, QA	N	IN
Population dynamics	n/a		n/a		N	IN	N	EG,IN,KW,MD, QA	N	IN
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	n/a		n/a		N	IN	Y	IN,SA	N	IN
Capture-Mark-Recapture	n/a		n/a		N	IN	Y	EG,MD,LK	Y	IN,LK

	Caretta caretta				*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coraicea*	
RMU	**CC-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CC-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**DC-NEIO**	Country Chapters
Threats										
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y (PLL,SN)	SL	Y (SN,GN,TR,HL)	YE	n/a	IN	Y (FP,GN,HL, PLL,SN,ST,TR)	BH,DJ,EG,MD, QA,SA.LK,YE	Y (PLL)	LK
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	n/a		Y (ST)	YE	n/a	IN	Y (DN,OTH, PLL,SN,ST)	BH,MD,SA,YE	n/a	
Bycatch: quantified?	Y	SL	N	YE	n/a	IN	Y	BH,SA,LK	Y	LK
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	SL	Y	YE	n/a	IN	Y	DJ,EG,MD,LK	Y	LK
Take. Egg poaching	Y	SL	Y	YE	n/a	IN	Y	DJ,EG,MD,SA, LK,YE	Y	LK
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	n/a		Y	YE	n/a	IN	Y	DJ,EG,KW,MD, SA	n/a	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	SL	Y	YE	n/a	IN	Y	DJ,KW,MD,SA, YE	n/a	LK
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		Y	YE	n/a	IN	Y	BH,EG,MD,SA, YE	n/a	
Egg predation	Y	SL	Y	YE	n/a	IN	Y	EG,SA,LK,YE	Y	IN,LK
Pollution (debris, chemical)	Y	SL	n/a		n/a	IN	Y	DJ,MD,SA,LK	n/a	IN
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	Y	QA	n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	Y	BH,QA,SA	n/a	
Other			n/a		N	IN	Y	BA,DJ,MD,QA	N	IN
Long-term projects (>5yrs)										
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	1 (12: 2005-2017)	SL	Y (1998-2007)	YE	n/a	IN	4-27 yr	EG,KW,MD,SA, YE	Y (2005-2018)	IN,LK
Number of index nesting sites	n/a		2	YE	n/a	IN	8	EG,KW,SA,YE	2	IN
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a	YE	n/a	IN	11 (2011 - 2019)	EG	n/a	

	Caretta caretta				*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coraicea*	
RMU	**CC-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CC-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**CM-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**DC-NEIO**	Country Chapters
Conservation										
Protection under national law	Y	SL	Y	YE	Y	IN	Y	BH,DJ,EG,IN, KW,MD,QA,SA, LK,YE	Y	IN,LK
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	2 (U %)	SL	1	YE	0	IN	>11 (0-100%)	EG,IN,KW,MD, SA,LK,YE	7 (U %)	IN,LK
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	16	SL	1	YE	0	IN	24	BH,DJ,LK,YE	16	IN,LK
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	2 (1996 to 2000, 2005 to 2012)	SL	n/a		0	IN	>7	EG,SA,LK,YE	2	IN,LK
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	Y	SL	n/a		n/a	IN	Y	LK	Y	LK
Hatcheries	Y	SL	n/a		n/a	IN	Y	LK	Y	IN
Head-starting	Y	SL	n/a		n/a	IN	Y	MD,LK	N	LK
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	Y	SA	n/a	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	Y	BH,MD,SA	n/a	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	n/a		n/a		n/a	IN	Y	BH,SA	n/a	
Other	Y	SL	n/a		N	IN	Y	SA	N	LK

Table 1b. Key biological information for sea turtle RMUs (EI-NEIO; EI-NWIO; EI-SWIO; LO-NEIO; LO-NEIO (Arr); LO-WIO) in the Middle East and South Asia.
Country Chapters: BH- Bahrain; DJ- Djibouti; EG- Egypt; IN- India; KW- Kuwait; MD- Maldives; QA- Qatar; SA- Saudi Arabia; LK- Sri Lanka; SU- Sudan; YE- Yemen.

	Eretmochelys imbricata						*Lepidochelys olivacea*					
RMU	**EI-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-SWIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO (Arr)**	Country Chapters	**LO-WIO**	Country Chapters
Occurrence												
Nesting sites	Y	IN,LK	Y	EG	Y	MD	Y	IN,LK	Y	IN	Y	IN,MD
Pelagic foraging grounds	N	IN	Y	EG	JA	MD	N	IN	N	IN	Y	SU
Benthic foraging grounds	N	IN	Y	BH,DJ,KW,QA, SA,SU,YE	JA	MD	N	IN	N	IN	Y	BH
Key biological data												
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	54 (2014-2017)	LK	>515 (1985-2016)	EG,KW,QA,SA	n/a		8,461 (2000-2017)	IN,LK	23223.5 (2008-2016)	IN	1795 (2000-2016)	IN,MD
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	n/a	IN,LK	>1,240	KW,QA,SA,SU, YE	10s	MD	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	0	LK	20	EG,KW,QA,SA, SU,YE	n/a		32	IN,LK	2	IN	14	IN
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	17	LK	>60	EG,KW,QA,SA, SU,YE	4	MD	51	IN,LK	1	IN	23	IN,MD
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		879 (1985-2016)	QA,SA,YE	n/a		7320 (2000-201)	IN	n/a		1730 (2000-2016)	IN
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		>404 (1975-2015)	EG,KW,QA,SA, YE	n/a		369 (2000-2016)	IN	n/a		64 (2000-2016)	IN
Total length of nesting sites (km)	40	LK	21	EG,SA	n/a		>288	IN,LK	6	IN	>92	IN
Nesting females / yr	n/a		>300	SA,YE	n/a		n/a		n/a		1	MD
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		1.9 (69)	QA,SA	n/a		1-3	LK	n/a		n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		1-4 (76)	LK	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		20% (74)	QA	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		0.83(1293)-0.95 (714)	MD	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		59.0-67.5 CCL	KW,QA,SA,SU	63 SCL	MD	n/a		57 CCL	IN	n/a	

	Eretmochelys imbricata						*Lepidochelys olivacea*					
RMU	**EI-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-SWIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO (Arr)**	Country Chapters	**LO-WIO**	Country Chapters
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		71.5 ± 3.82	SA	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	115.2 (6)	LK	76.4 (79)	EG,QA,SU	n/a		105.1 (30)	LK	120.58 (246)	IN	n/a	
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		71.6% (167)	EG,QA,SA	n/a		n/a		0.78 (5362)	IN	n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		≈63 (30)	SA	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Trends												
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		Stable (2001-2016)	KW,QA	n/a		Stable (2000-2016)	IN	Stable (2008-2016)	IN	Stable (2000-2016)	IN
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		Inc. (2012-2019)	MD	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		<394 (1982-2015)	EG,KW,QA	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Published studies												
Growth rates	N	IN	N	BH,DJ,EG,IN, KW,QA,SU	N	MD	N	IN	N	IN	N	IN,MD,SU
Genetics	N	IN	Y	SA	N	MD	Y	IN	Y	IN	Y	IN
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N	IN	Y	SA	N	MD	Y	IN	Y	IN	Y	IN
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	N	IN	Y	KW,QA	N	MD	Y	IN	Y	IN	Y	MD
Survival rates	N	IN	N	BH,DJ,EG,IN, KW,QA,SU	Y	MD	N	IN	N	IN	N	IN,MD,SU
Population dynamics	N	IN	N	BH,DJ,EG,IN, KW,QA,SU	Y	MD	Y	IN	Y	IN	N	IN,MD,SU
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N	IN	N	BH,DJ,EG,IN, KW,QA,SU	N	MD	N	IN	N	IN	N	IN,MD,SU
Capture-Mark-Recapture	N	IN	Y	QA	Y	MD	Y	LK	N	IN	N	IN,MD,SU
Threats												
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y (PLL,SN)	LK	Y(FP,GN,HL, SN,ST,TR)	BH,DJ,QA,SA, SU,YE	n/a		Y (DN,PLL,SN)	IN,LK	Y (SN,DN)	IN	Y (SN,DN,ST,MT)	BH,IN,SU
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	n/a		Y (DN, OTH, PLL,SN,ST)	BH,SA,YE	n/a		Y (PLL,ST,PT)	IN	Y (PLL, ST,PT)	IN	Y (DN, ST, SN, OTH, PLL,PT)	BH,IN,SU

	Eretmochelys imbricata						*Lepidochelys olivacea*					
RMU	**EI-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-SWIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO (Arr)**	Country Chapters	**LO-WIO**	Country Chapters
Bycatch: quantified?	Y	LK	Y (FP)	BH,SA	N	MD	Y	LK	N	IN	Y	BH,MD
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	LK	Y	DJ,EG,SU	n/a		Y	LK	n/a		N	IN
Take. Egg poaching	Y	LK	Y	DJ,EG,SU	n/a		Y	LK	n/a		N	IN
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	n/a		Y	EG,KW,QA,SA	n/a		Y	IN	Y	IN	Y	IN
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	LK	Y	DJ,KW,QA,SA, SU,YE	n/a		Y	IN,LK	Y	IN	Y	IN
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		Y	BH,SA,YE	n/a		Y	IN	Y	IN	Y	IN,MD
Egg predation	Y	LK	Y	EG,QA	n/a		Y	IN,LK	Y		Y	IN
Pollution (debris, chemical)	Y	LK	Y	SA	n/a		Y	IN,LK	Y		Y	IN,MD
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	MD
Climate change	n/a		Y	QA	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		Y	BH,QA,SA,SU	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	SU
Other	N	IN	Y	BH,QA,SU	Y	MD	n/a		n/a		n/a	BH,SU
Long-term projects (>5yrs)												
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	1 (12: 2005-2017)	LK	7-28	EG,KW,QA,SA	Y (7: 2012-2019)	MD	1 (12: 2005-2017)	LK	Y (2008 ongoing)	IN	N	IN,MD
Number of index nesting sites	n/a		10	EG,KW,QA,SA, YE	0	MD	N	IN	3	IN	0	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		4 (2011 - 2015)	EG,KW,QA,SA, YE	Y (7: 2012-2019)	MD	N	IN	N		N	BH,IN,MD

	Eretmochelys imbricata						*Lepidochelys olivacea*					
RMU	**EI-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-NWIO**	Country Chapters	**EI-SWIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO**	Country Chapters	**LO-NEIO (Arr)**	Country Chapters	**LO-WIO**	Country Chapters
Conservation												
Protection under national law	Y	IN,LK	Y	BH,DJ,EG,IN, KW,QA,SA,SU, YE	Y	MD	Y	IN,LK	Y	IN	Y	BH,IN,MD,SU
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	3 (U %)	LK	>6 (0-100%))	EG,IN,KW,QA, SA,YE	100%	MD	7 (U %)	IN,LK	2 (50%)	IN	100%	MD
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	16	LK	9	BH,DJ,IN,KW, SU,YE	0	MD	16	IN,LK	0	IN	6	BH,SU
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	2	LK	5 (1986-Present)	EG,QA,SA	4	MD	>3	IN,LK	>1	IN	4	MD
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	Y	LK	N	EG,KW,QA,SA	0	MD	Y	IN,LK	Y	IN	n/a	
Hatcheries	Y	LK	1	QA	0	MD	Y	IN,LK	Y	IN	Y	IN
Head-starting	N	LK	N	EG,KW,QA,SA	2	MD	Y	LK	N	IN	Y	MD
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a	LK	Y	SA	U	MD	N	IN	N	IN	N	IN
Bycatch: onboard best practices	n/a	LK	Y	BH,SA	Y	MD	N	IN	N	IN	Y	BH,MD
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	n/a	LK	Y	BH,SA	0	MD	N	IN	N	IN	Y	BH
Other	Y	LK	Y	SA	N	MD	Y	LK	n/a			

References

Wallace B.P., DiMatteo A.D., Hurley B.J., Finkbeiner E.M., Bolten A.B., Chaloupka M.Y., Hutchinson B.J., Abreu-Grobois F.A., Amorocho D., Bjorndal K.A., Bourjea J., Bowen B.W., Briseño Dueñas R., Casale P., Choudhury B.C., Costa A., Dutton P.H., Fallabrino A., Girard A., Girondot M., Godfrey M.H., Hamann M., López-Mendilaharsu M., Marcovaldi M.A, Mortimer J.A, Musick J.A., Nel R., Pilcher N.J., Seminoff J.A., Troëng S., Witherington B. & Mast R.B. 2010. Regional management units for marine turtles: A novel framework for prioritizing conservation and research across multiple scales. PLoS One 5: e15465. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015465.

BAHRAIN

Andrea D. Phillott[1], Paloma Chandrachud[1], ALan F. Rees[2] and Khawla Al-Muhannadi[3,4]

[1]FLAME University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

[2]University of Exeter, Penryn, UK

[3]Environment Friends Society, Riffa, Bahrain

[4]Bahrain Turtle Rescue Team, Bahrain

Introduction

Sea turtles do not nest in Bahrain and there are no substantiated historic records to indicate they previously did [9]. However, five species of sea turtle have been recorded in Bahrainian waters. Green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) and hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) are frequently observed and these two species are reported on in detail below, whereas loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*), leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) and olive ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) are identified from solitary or very infrequent records and are only summarised briefly.

1 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, Northwest Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

No nesting of green turtles currently occurs in Bahrain (Table 1; [9]), but interviews with fishers suggests nesting may have occurred around 30 years ago. Further investigation of the potential for nesting to still occur is required).

1.1.2 Marine areas

Bahrains shallow territorial waters contain extensive sea grass beds that are inhabited by large numbers of green turtles (Table 1; [1,3,5,6,7,9]). Green turtles are the most frequently encountered turtle in Bahrain.

1.2 Other biological data

There is a lack of biological data on this species, due to infrequent surveying effort that focus mainly on presence-absence of sea turtles (Table 1).

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

There are no nesting sites currently known for Bahrain (Table 1; [9]). Extensive land reclamation projects that alter Bahrain's natural coastline increasingly inhibit the potential for even low-level, sporadic nesting [8,13] except for the Hawar Islands that remain in relatively natural condition [7].

1.3.2 Marine areas

Boat-traffic, fisheries and habitat degradation have all been identified as threats to green turtles in Bahrain (Table 1 [1,3,6,9]). Turtles are not reportedly target catch for consumption [2,45] but see [9].

1.4 Conservation

Turtles are at least nominally afforded legal protection in Kuwait under several international and national regulations (Table 3), with several Marine Protected Areas established [11]. Trawling and some gillnetting fisheries have seasonal closures [1]. Bahrain legilisation prohibits the hunting of sea turtles or damaging their habitats (Al-Muhannadi, translation.).

There are few additional on-going conservation efforts for sea turtles in Bahrain [14]. Education activities and turtle rehabilitation efforts are undertaken by Environment Friends Society and Bahrain Turtle Rescue Team (Al-Muhannadi, unpubl.).

1.5 Research

No research on the biology or ecology of sea turtles in Bahrain is published or available online.

2 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, Northwest Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

No nesting of hawksbill turtles currently occurs in Bahrain (Table 1; [9]), but interviews with fishers suggests nesting may have occurred around 30 years ago. Further investigation of the potential for nesting to still occur is required (Al-Muhannadi, pers.comm.).

2.1.2 Marine areas

Boat-traffic, fisheries and habitat degradation have all been identified as threats to hawksbill turtles in Bahrain (Table 1 [1,3,6,9]). Turtles are not reportedly target catch for consumption [2,45] but see [9].

2.2 Other biological data

There is a lack of biological data on this species, due to infrequent surveying effort that focus mainly on presence-absence of sea turtles (Table 1).

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

No nesting occurs. However extensive land reclamation projects that alter Bahrain's natural coastline increasingly inhibit the potential for even low-level, sporadic nesting [8,13] except for the Hawar Islands that remain in relatively natural condition [7].

2.3.2 Marine areas

The lack of information on important marine habitats for hawksbills predicates lack of information on threats in the marine realm.

2.4 Conservation

See 1.4.

2.5 Research

No research on the biology or ecology of sea turtles in Bahrain is published or available online.

3 Other species

Loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) and olive-ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) turtles have been occasionally observed in Bahraini waters [1, 10]. No nesting activity has been reported for any of these species.

Table 1. Characteristics of nesting marine turtles in Bahrain.

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**Cc-NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm-NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc-U**	Ref #	**Ei-NWIO**	Ref #	**Lo-WIO**	Ref #
Occurrence										
Nesting sites	N	9	N	9	N	9	N	9	N	9
Pelagic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Benthic foraging grounds	Y	1,9	Y	1,3,5,6,7,9	N (1 turtle!)	10	Y	1,3,6,9,12	Y (1 or few turtles)	1
Key biological data										
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Total length of nesting sites (km)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting females / yr	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**Cc-NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm-NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc-U**	Ref #	**Ei-NWIO**	Ref #	**Lo-WIO**	Ref #
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Trends										
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Published studies										
Growth rates	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	
Genetics	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	
Survival rates	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	
Population dynamics	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	N		N		n/a		N		n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**Cc-NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm-NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc-U**	Ref #	**Ei-NWIO**	Ref #	**Lo-WIO**	Ref #
Threats										
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y	PS	Y	PS	N	PS	Y	PS		
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	Y (DN, ST, SN, OTH, PLL)	1	Y (DN, ST, SN, OTH, PLL)	1,3,6	n/a		Y (DN, ST, SN, OTH, PLL)	1,3,6	Y (DN, ST, SN, OTH, PLL)	1
Bycatch: quantified?	Y (ST)	1	Y (ST)	1,3	n/a		Y (FP)	1	Y (FP)	1
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	N	2,4	N	2,4,5 but see 9	N	2,4	N	2,4	N	2,4
Take. Egg poaching	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		Y	9	n/a		Y	9	n/a	
Egg predation	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		Y	9	n/a		Y	9	n/a	
Other	Y	8	Y	8	Y	8	Y	8	Y	8
Long-term projects (>5yrs)										
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of index nesting sites	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	N		N		N		N		N	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**Cc-NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm-NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc-U**	Ref #	**Ei-NWIO**	Ref #	**Lo-WIO**	Ref #
Conservation										
Protection under national law	Y	1	Y	1,6	Y	1	Y	1,6	Y	1
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	5	11	5	11	5	11	5	11	5	11
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Hatcheries	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Head-starting	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	Y	4	Y	4	Y	4	Y	4	Y	4
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1
Other	Y	1	Y	1,6	Y	1	Y	1,6	Y	1

Table 2. International conventions signed by Djibouti in relation to marine turtle conservation.

International Conventions	**Signed**
RAMSAR	1998
IOSEA MoU for Marine Turtles	2007
CITES	2012

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Abdulqader E.A.A. & Miller J.M. 2012. Marine turtle mortalities in Bahran territorial waters. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 11: 133-138.
2	Frazier J. 1980. Exploitation of marine turtles in the Indian Ocean. Human Ecology 8: 329-370.
3	Abdulqader E.A.A. 2010. Turtle captures in shrimp trawl nets in Bahrain. Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management 13: 307-318.
4	Migraine P. & Hykle D. 2014. Socio-economic and cultural implications of marine turtle use and conservation: A revoew of the literature from the IOSEA region. Version 2. MT-IOSEA/SS.7/Doc 10.3. 3 Aug 2014. Available at https://www.cms.int/sites/default/files/publication/MT_IO7_DOC10-3_Socio-economic_cultural%20implications.pdf
5	Ross J.P. & Barwani M.A. 1982. Review of sea turtles in the Arabian area. In: Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles (ed. Bjorndal K.A.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Pp 373-383.
6	Abdulla A. 2015. An assessment and mapping of the potential values of ecosystem services in the Kingdom of Bahrain. http://www.biodiv.be/bahrain/implementation/bahrain-nbsap/bahrain-nbsap-2015/assessment-and-mapping-potential-value-ecosystem-services-kingdom-bahrain-dr
7	Pilcher N.J. et al. 2003. Hawar Island Protected Area (Kingdom of Bahrain): Management Plan. First Draft, January 2003. http://www.adias-uae.com/publications/Hawar-MP.pdf
8	Zainal K.J. et al. 2012. The cumulative impacts of reclamation and dredging on the marine ecology and land-use in the Kingdom of Bahrain. Marine Pollution Bulletin 64: 1452-1458.
9	Miller J.D. and Abdulqader E.A.A. 2009. Ch 9 Marine reptiles of Bahrain. In: Marine Atlas of Bahrain (eds. Loughland R.A. and Zainal A.J.M.). GEOMATEC Bahrain Centre for Studies and Research, Bahrain. Pp 384.
10	Gasperatti J., Stimson A.F., Miller J.D., Ross J.P. and Gasperatti P.R. 1993. Turtles of Arabia. In: Fauna of Saudi Arabia Vol. 13 (eds. Buttiker W. and Krupp F.). Karger Libri, Switzerland. Pp 170-367.
11	Naser H.A. 2016. Ch. 14 Management of Marine Protected Areas- Case study of Bahrain, Arabian Gulf. In: Applied Studies of Coastal and Marine Environments. (ed. Marghany M.). IntechOpen. DOI: 10.5772/62132. Available from: https://www.intechopen.com/books/applied-studies-of-coastal-and-marine-environments/management-of-marine-protected-zones-case-study-of-bahrain-arabian-gulf
12	Pilcher N.J. et al. 2014. Identification of Important Sea Turtle Areas (ITAs) for hawksbill turtles in the Arabian Region. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 460: 89-99.
13	Burt J.A. et al. 2013. The continuing decline of coral reefs in Bahrain. Marine Pollution Bulletin 72: 357-363
14	Papathanasopoulou N. 2009. Report from the Workshop on Marine Turtle Conservation for the Gulf and Guadeloupe, Barr Al-Jissah, Oman, 1-3 December 2008. Marine Turtle Newsletter 124:18-21

DJIBOUTI

Andrea D. Phillott[1], Nidhi S. Nair[1] and ALan F. Rees[2]

[1]FLAME University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

[2]University of Exeter, Penryn, UK

Introduction

Four species of sea turtle have been recorded in Djiboutian waters. Green (*Chelonia mydas*) and hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) sea turtles are more frequently observed and also occur in low density nesting populations; these two species are reported on in further detail below. Foraging loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) sea turtles are identified from solitary or very infrequent records and are only summarised briefly in the following text.

1 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, Northwest Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Green turtles appear to be the most common nesting species of sea turtle in Djibouti, but the only available population estimate is ~100 in 2003 (Table 1; [1,5]).

1.1.2 Marine areas

There are limited records of in-water green turtles, but these include green turtles tagged in Sri Lanka [1,3], Oman, and Yemen [3]. No data on distribution, abundance or trends are available.

1.2 Other biological data

There is a lack of biological data on this species (Table 1).

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Threats on nesting beaches include take of sea turtles and their eggs, with habitat degradation due to coastal development, litter, photopollution, and noise pollution all potentially increasing problems (Table 1; [3,4,6])

1.3.2 Marine areas

Artisanal fisheries are regarded as the greatest threat to foraging sea turtles in Djibouti (Table 1 [3]). Stuffed turtles were previously reported as widely available and openly sold in 2000 [9].

1.4 Conservation

Turtles are afforded legal protection in Djibouti under several international and national regulations (Table 3), and in several Marine Protected Areas [5,8].

1.5 Research

No research on the biology or ecology of sea turtles in or assessment of threats to turtles or their habitats in Djibouti is published or available online.

2 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, Northwest Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Hawksbill turtles are reported to nest in Djibouti (Table 1; [3,5]) but there are no records of distribution, abundance or trends.

2.1.2 Marine areas

No data on distribution or abundance of hawksbill turtles in Djiboutian waters are available (Table 1).

2.2 Other biological data

There is a lack of biological data on this species (Table 1).

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

See 1.3.1.

2.3.2 Marine areas

See 1.3.2.

2.4 Conservation

See 1.4.

2.5 Research

See 1.5.

3 Other species

Loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) sea turtles have been occasionally observed in Djibouti [1,5]. No nesting activity has been reported by either of these species. No information about their biology or ecology is available.

Table 1. Characteristics of nesting marine turtles in Djibouti.

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**Cc NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc U**	Ref #	**Ei NWIO**	Ref #
Occurrence								
Nesting sites	N		Y	1,5	N		Y	3,5
Pelagic foraging grounds	N		N		Y	1	N	
Benthic foraging grounds	Y	1,5	Y	1,5	N		Y	5,6
Key biological data								
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	n/a		~100	5	n/a		n/a	n/a
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Total length of nesting sites (km)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Nesting females / yr	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**Cc NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc U**	Ref #	**Ei NWIO**	Ref #
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Trends								
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Published studies								
Growth rates	N		N		N		N	N
Genetics	N		N		N		N	N
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N		N		N		N	N
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	N		N		N		N	N
Survival rates	N		N		N		N	N
Population dynamics	N		N		N		N	N
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N		N		N	N
Capture-Mark-Recapture	N		N		N		N	N

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**Cc NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc U**	Ref #	**Ei NWIO**	Ref #
Threats								
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y	1,6	Y	1,6,9	Y	1,6	Y	1,6,9
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: quantified?	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	4	Y	4	Y	4	Y	4,7
Take. Egg poaching	n/a		Y	4	n/a		Y	4
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	n/a		Y	6	n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	3	Y	3	Y	3	Y	3
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Egg predation	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	n/a		Y	6	n/a		n/a	
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other	n/a		Y	6	n/a		n/a	
Long-term projects (>5yrs)								
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of index nesting sites	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**Cc NWIO**	Ref #	**Cm NWIO**	Ref #	**Dc U**	Ref #	**Ei NWIO**	Ref #
Conservation								
Protection under national law	Y	2	Y	2	Y	2	Y	2
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	2	5,8	2	5,8	2	5,8	2	5,8
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Hatcheries	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Head-starting	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

Table 2. Index nesting sites in Djibouti.

RMU/ Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
Cm NWIO								
Maskali Is	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,5	2	n/a
Moucha Is	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,5	2	n/a
Ras Siyyan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5	2	n/a
Sept Freres Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5	2	n/a
Ei NWIO								
Ras Siyyan	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,5	2	n/a
Sept Freres Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,5	2	n/a
Unknown Species								
Il de l'Est	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9	2	n/a
Grand Isle	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9	2	n/a

Table 3. International conventions signed by Djibouti in relation to marine turtle conservation.

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions
Marine Fishery Administration Law for the Red Sea State	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
African Convention on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
CITES	Accession	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
CMS	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
CBD	Accession	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
RAMSAR	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
Jeddah	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
UNCLOS	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
National Conventions					
Decree 80-62/PR/MCTT 25th May 1980	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a
Fishery Laws	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Al-Mansi A., Nasser N.A. and Aden A. 2003. The Marine Turtles in the Republic of Djibouti: Their Biology and Conservation. PERSGA Technical Report, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. In [2].
2	Mancini A., Elsadek I. and Alawany M. 2015. Marine turtles of the Red Sea. In: Rasul N. and Stewart I. (eds). The Red Sea-The Formation, Morphology, Oceanography and Environment of a Young Ocean Basin. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 551-565.
3	PERSGA. 2004. Regional Action Plan for the Conservation of Marine Turtles and their Habitats in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGA, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
4	Hariri K.I., Nichols P., Krupp F., Mishrigi S., Barrania A., Ali A.F., Kedidi S.M. 2000. Status of the Living Marine Resources in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Region and their Management. Regional Organisation for the Conservation of the Environment of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (PERSGA), Strategic Action Programme for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
5	PERSGA. 2003. Status of Marine Turtles in the Republic of Djibouti. PERSGA, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. In [3]
6	PERSGA. 2003. Survey of Habitats in Djibouti and Plans for Their Protection. PERSGA Technical Series No. 5. Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
7	Frazier J. 1980. Exploitation of marine turtles in the Indian Ocean. Human Ecology 8: 329-370.
8	Klaus R. 2015. Coral Reefs and Communities of the Central and Southern Red Sea (Sudan, Eritrea, Djibouti, and Yemen). In: Rasul N. and Stewart I. (eds). The Red Sea-The Formation, Morphology, Oceanography and Environment of a Young Ocean Basin. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 409-451.
9	Barker N., Galal N. and Klaus R. 2002. Survey of the Proposed Marine Protected Area at Iles des Sept Frères and Ras Siyyan, Djibouti. Report to PERSGA, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

EGYPT

Agnese Mancini[1,2], Islam Elsadek[3], Mahmoud Hanafy[2,4]

[1]Dodobase Ltd, London, UK

[2]Hurghada Environmental Protection and Conservation Association, Hurghada, Egypt

[3]Red Sea Protectorates, Egyptian Environmental Affairs, Hurghada, Egypt

[4]Suez Canal University, Department of Marine Biology, Ismailia, Egypt

1 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, North-West Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Green turtles are widely distributed along the Egyptian Red Sea coast (low level, sporadic nesting activities) and on most islands [1,2,4,5,6]. Fourteen beaches have been identified as being of high value for green turtle nesting activities [1], but monitoring has occurred only at three sites: Zabargad Island, Ras Bagdai and Um El-Abas.

Zabargad Island has been monitored almost annually from 2001 to present and is considered the most important nesting site for green turtles in Egypt, if not the Red Sea (*Editors' Note: See also chapter on Saudi Arabia*), with approximately 500-600 nests recorded every year [1,2,4,7] (Figure 1, Table 1). Ras Bagdadi and Um El-Abas have been monitored annually from 2001 to 2008, with respectively an average of 19.3 and 16.3 nests on each site.

The three sites occur within the boundaries of the Red Sea Protectorates [1], so they are all protected however some poaching of nests has been observed (estimated 0-10%; Mancini and ElSadek, pers. obs.).

1.1.2 Marine areas

For green turtles, at least five important feeding grounds have been identified [3,8,9,10] and approximately 157 sites have been monitored by a citizen science project between 2011 and 2015 [3,13] (Figure 2).

1.2 Other biological data

Little information is available on genetics of green turtles in the Egyptian Red Sea as only one study has been conducted [10]. In the study, samples from 11 green turtles nesting on Zabargad Island were analyzed and showed similarities with the Saudi Arabian Red Sea populations.

Four adult nesting females were tagged with satellite tags in 2010, which demonstrated migrations in all directions: north towards Hurghada and Sharm El-Sheik, south towards Eritrea, west towards the Egyptian coastline and around Zabargad island [11]. Flipper tagging data have shown that green turtles nesting on the Saudi Arabian coast (Ras Baridi) use foraging grounds on the Egyptian side after the nesting season (Mancini, unpubl.).

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

No study exists in Egypt quantifying the impact of threats to nesting grounds, nevertheless previous surveys and studies have shown that eggs are poached occasionally by people living along the coast and fisher-folk with access to remote islands and predated by feral dogs and wildlife [7]. Intensified traffic on roads close to the shoreline and therefore to nesting sites is also a cause of concern (Hanafy, pers. comm.).

1.3.2 Marine areas

Main threats to marine turtles in marine areas are: directed harvest for sale (there are reports of turtles being caught for sale to tourists or for the Asian market where powder obtained from carapaces and bones is used as medicine (Elsadek and Mancini, unpublished) and consumption [4,7]; bycatch [7,12]; pollution (including oil spills and marine debris) [2,7]; harassment (Montagna, pers. comm.); and boat strikes (reports of turtles with broken carapaces have been shared through a citizen science platform; Montagna, Mancini and Taher, pers. obs.) [7, 9].

1.4 Conservation

In Egypt, marine turtles and their most commonly used habitats are protected by many national laws and decrees, but the most important one is Law 4/1994 then modified by Law 9/2009 on the Environment, which states in article 28 that “It is forbidden to hunt, kill, or catch the species of wild birds and animals determined in the executive regulations of this Law or to possess, transport, circulate with, sell or offer to sell such birds and animals either dead or alive”. The law includes marine turtles and other species mentioned in international conventions for which Egypt is a signatory country (see Table 3 for a non-exhaustive list) [7].

As marine turtles are protected by law, the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA) and local NGOs like the Hurghada Environmental Protection and Conservation Association (HEPCA) are working towards enforcing the existing law, nevertheless the area to monitor is extensive and the enforcement in place is not sufficient to cover it all [7].

1.5 Research

Consistent efforts have been put in place to monitor Zabargad Island, a major nesting ground for green turtles in the Red Sea [1,4,10] (Table 4).

A three-year monitoring of green turtles in their most important feeding grounds has been conducted between 2011 and 2013, monthly. Partial results have been published, showing monthly abundance of green turtles at index sites [3,8,10] (Table 4).

A citizen science program has been launched in 2011 and is on-going, more than 2,000 reports have been collected mostly for green and hawksbill turtles [9,13] (Table 4). This project is providing information on population structure, species distribution, short-term migrations, sex ratio, and site fidelity (Mancini, unpubl.) [8,9,13].

2 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-West Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Hawksbill turtles' most important nesting sites are located on islands in the northern area of the Egyptian Red Sea (Figure 1): Big Giftun, Small Giftun and Shedwan Islands. Big and Small Giftun islands were monitored annually between 2001 and 2008, with an average of 20.7 and 8.2 nests per year reported for each site respectively [1]. For Shedwan island, only qualitative data are available, as access to the island is forbidden [1].

Both Big Giftun and Small Giftun islands are within the boundaries of the Red Sea Protectorates [1], so the nesting sites are protected, however some nest poaching is possible (estimated at 0-10% of total nests; Mancini and ElSadek, pers. obs.). Shedwan Island is not yet protected but a proposal has been made to put it under the jurisdiction of the Red Sea Protectorate (Hanafy, pers. comm.).

2.1.2 Marine areas

Approximately 157 sites have been monitored by a citizen science project between 2011 and 2015 [3,13] (Figure 2). Hawksbill turtles have been observed at most dive and snorkeling sites, in association with coral reefs, but limited data is available on their abundance and distribution [8,13].

2.2 Other biological data

n/a

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

No study exists in Egypt quantifying the impact of threats to nesting grounds. Nevertheless, previous surveys and studies have shown that eggs are poached occasionally by people living along the coast and fisher-folk with access to remote islands and predated by feral dogs and wildlife [7]. Intensified traffic on roads close to the shoreline and therefore to nesting sites is also a cause of concern (Hanafy, pers. comm.). Intensified traffic on roads close to the shoreline and therefore to nesting sites is also a cause of concern (Hanafy, pers. obs.).

2.3.2 Marine areas

Main threats to marine turtles in marine areas are: directed harvest for sale (there are reports of turtles being caught for sale to tourists or for the Asian market where powder obtained from carapaces and bones is used as medicine (Elsadek and Mancini, unpublished) and consumption [4,7]; bycatch [7,12]; pollution (including oil spills and marine debris) [2,7]; harassment (Montagna, pers. comm.); and boat strikes (reports of turtles with broken carapaces have been shared through a citizen science platform; Montagna, Mancini and Taher, pers. obs.) [7,9].

2.4 Conservation

In Egypt, marine turtles and their most commonly used habitats are protected by many national laws and decrees, but the most important one is Law 4/1994 then modified by Law 9/2009 on the Environment, which states in article 28 that "It is forbidden to hunt, kill, or catch the species of wild

birds and animals determined in the executive regulations of this Law or to possess, transport, circulate with, sell or offer to sell such birds and animals either dead or alive". The law includes marine turtles and other species mentioned in international conventions for which Egypt is a signatory country (see Table 3 for a non-exhaustive list) [7].

As marine turtles are protected by law, the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA) and local NGOs like the Hurghada Environmental Protection and Conservation Association (HEPCA) are working towards enforcing the existing law, nevertheless the area to monitor is extensive and the enforcement in place is not sufficient to cover it all [7].

2.5 Research

Many gaps exist in our knowledge of hawksbill turtles in the Egyptian Red Sea. Nesting activities for hawksbill turtles have been monitored in the past but we lack recent information [1, 2] (Table 4). We have no information on population genetics or migrations. Through a citizen science initiative, data on population structure, abundance and seasonality at popular sighting spots are being collected but are not yet published [9, 13] (Table 4).

3 Other species

Loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) and olive-ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) turtles have been occasionally observed in the Egyptian Red Sea. No nesting activity has been reported for any of these species. Their occurrence in marine habitats is considered rare [5, 7].

Table 1. Characteristics of nesting marine turtles in Egypt.

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Occurrence				
Nesting sites	Y	1,7	Y	1
Pelagic foraging grounds	JA	4,5,10	JA	3, 9, 10
Benthic foraging grounds	JA	3	n/a	
Key biological data				
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	570.7 (2012-2014)	10	28.9 (2001-2007)	1
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	500-600	1, 10	n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	1	1, 2, 4, 10	0	1
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	2	1	2	1
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	570.7 (2012-2014)	10	n/a	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	17.7 (2001 - 2007)	1	28.9 (2001-2007)	1
Total length of nesting sites (km)	7	1	13	1
Nesting females / yr	228 (2012-2014)	10	n/a	
Nests / female season (N)	2.5	10	n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	89 CCL	10	n/a	
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	100.1 (12)	1	74 (13)	1
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	87.2% (8 nests)	1	66.5% (11 nests)	1
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	32% (246, 2012-2014)	10	n/a	

RMU	CM-NWIO	Ref #	EI-NWIO	Ref #
Trends				
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	stable (2001-2014)	1,4,10	unknown	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	~700 (2003)	2	< 200 females (1982)	5
Published studies				
Growth rates	N		N	
Genetics	Y	10	N	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N		N	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	Y	11	N	
Survival rates	N		N	
Population dynamics	N		N	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	Y	8	N	
Threats				
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y, various types of nets	12	N	12
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	N		N	
Bycatch: quantified?	N	12	N	12
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	5,7	Y	5,7
Take. Egg poaching	Y	7	Y	2
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	Y	7	Y	7
Coastal Development. Photopollution	n/a		n/a	7
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	Y	7	n/a	
Egg predation	Y	1	y	5
Pollution (debris, chemical)	n/a		n/a	
Pathogens	n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a	
Other	n/a		n/a	

RMU	CM-NWIO	Ref #	EI-NWIO	Ref #
Long-term projects (>5yrs)				
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	Y (2001-ongoing)	1,2,4,5,6,10	Y (2001 - 2008)	1,2
Number of index nesting sites	1	1,2,4	2	1,2
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	Y (2011 - 2015)	3,8,9,10	Y (2011 - 2015)	3,8,9,10
Conservation				
Protection under national law	Y	7	Y	7
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	3 (0-10%)*	1	3 (0-10%)*	1
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	N		N	
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	3 (2001 – ongoing)	1, 3, 8,9,10	2 (2001 – ongoing)	1, 9
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	N		N	
Hatcheries	N		N	
Head-starting	N		N	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	N		N	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	N		N	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	N		N	
Other	N		N	

*estimated, based on observed poached nests (Mancini and Elsadek, pers.obs.)

Table 2. Index nesting sites in the Egyptian Red Sea.

Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point		Length (km)*	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
				Long	Lat				
CM-NWIO									
Umm-Al Abas	N	16.3 (2001-2007)	29.4 (2001-2007)	35.13717	24.52597	1.0	2, Google Earth Pro	2	3 to 10 consecutive days during nesting season (possibly towards end of season)
Ras Bagdadi	N	19.3 (2001-2006)	29.8 (2001-2006)	35.10153	24.66622	2.0	2, Google Earth Pro	2	3 to 10 consecutive days during nesting season (possibly towards end of season)
Zabargad Island	Y	570.7 (2012-2014)	1660 (2012-2014)	35.80281	23.83475	3.0	2, 10, Google Earth Pro	1	F (but based on 3-11 consecutive night surveys
EI-NWIO									
Big Giftun	NA	18.6 (2001, 2003-2007)	93.8 (2001, 2003-2007)	33.95281	27.25975	8.0	2, Google Earth Pro	2	3 to 10 consecutive days during nesting season (possibly towards end of season)
Small Giftun	NA	8.2 (2001, 2003-2007)	26.8 (2001, 2003-2007)	33.98989	27.2155	2.0	2, Google Earth Pro	2	3 to 10 consecutive days during nesting season (possibly towards end of season)

*In reference 2, table 3 and 4 there is a column with 'area length meter', this length refers to the portion of the beach monitored during that time but the nesting beaches are longer so the approx. Length was estimated using Google Earth Pro based on surveys done by the authors in recent years.

Table 3. International conventions signed by Egypt in relation to marine turtle conservation.

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles
Convention on biological Diversity (CBD)	Y	Y		ALL		Internationally binding treaty aiming at conserving biodiversity in signatory countries, promoting sustainable use of resources and fair sharing of benefits from genetic resources.
Convention on International Trade of Endangered Species (CITES)	Y	Y		ALL		All species of marine turtles are listed in appendix I which forbids trade of these species in all signatory countries except in exceptional circumstances. In order to be legally binding, each signatory country must adopt established by the CITES.national legislation under the framework
Convention on Migratory Species (CMS)	Y	N		ALL		All species of marine turtles are listed in Appendix 1 (listing migratory species threatened with extinction) and Appendix 2 (migratory species for which conservation status would benefit from international cooperation).
MoU on Marine Turtles and their Habitats of the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia	Y	N		ALL		

Table 4. Current and past marine turtle projects in Egypt.

#	RMU	Project Name or descriptive title	Key words	Start date	End date	Leading organisation	Public/ Private	Reports / Information material	Primary Contact (name and Email)	Database available	Names of sites included (matching Table B, if appropriate)	Beginning of the time series	End of the time series	Track information	Nest information	Flipper tagging	Tags in STTI-ACCSTR	Ref #
T4.1	CM-NWIO	Marine turtles of the Red Sea	In-water monitorin; snorkeling transect; feeding grounds	2011	2013	HEPCA	Public	HEPCA (2012)	Agnese Mancini (agnee.mancini01@gmail.com)	Y		2010	2013	n	n	n	n	3,9
T4.2	CM-NWIO	Monitoring of nesting activities in Zabargad Island	Nesting; Green turtles; Egypt; Red Sea	2000	On-going	EEAA	Public		Dr Hanafy, Islam Elsadek	Y	Zabargad	2001	2016	y	y	y	n	1,2, 10, 11
T4.3	CM-NWIO	TurtleWatch Egypt	Citizen science; in-water monitoring; photo-id	2011	On-going	HEPCA, BEC	Public	HEPCA (2012); Montagna et al (2017); Mancini and Elsadek (in press)	Agnese Mancini (agnee.mancini01@gmail.com)	y		2011	2015	n	n	n	n	3,9, 13
T4.4	EI-NWIO	TurtleWatch Egypt	Citizen science; in-water monitoring; photo-id	2011	On-going	HEPCA, BEC	Public	HEPCA (2012); Montagna et al (2017); Mancini and Elsadek (in press)	Agnese Mancini (agnee.mancini01@gmail.com)	y		2011	2015	n	n	n	n	3,9,13
T4.5	EI-NWIO	Monitoring of nesting activities	Nesting; hawksbill turtles; Egypt; Red Sea	2001	2008	EEAA	Private	Hanafy, pers. comm.	Dr Hanafy	N		2001	2008	y	y	n	n	Hanafy, pers. comm.



Figure 1. Known nesting sites along the Egyptian Red Sea coast.



Figure 2. Map of marine areas monitored regularly (monthly, between 2011 and 2013) and opportunistically through a citizen science project (2011 – 2015) in Egypt.

References

Ref #	Full reference
1	Hanafy HM (2012) Nesting of marine turtles on the Egyptian beaches of the Red Sea. Egypt Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, 16(2): 59-71
2	Hanafy MH, Sallam A (2003) Status of marine turtles nesting on the Egyptian beaches of the Red Sea. National Report to PERSGA, p 45
3	HEPCA (2012) An assessment of marine turtles in the Red Sea. Unpublished report, HEPCA, Hurghada, Egypt
4	ElSadek I, Mancini A, Hanafy MH, Girondot M (2013) Green turtle nesting activities at a major rookery in the Southern Egyptian Red Sea. In: Tucker T, Belskis L, Panagopoulou A, Rees A, Frick M, Williams K, LeRoux R, and Stewart K (eds) Proceedings on the 33rd Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA technical memorandum NOAA NMFS-SEFSC-645
5	Frazier J, Salas S (1984) The status of marine turtles in the Egyptian Red Sea. Biological Conservation, 30:41–67
6	Miller JD (2004) Report on marine turtles of Wadi El Gemal-Hamata Park, southern Red Sea coast, Egypt. Report to the Red Sea Authority, Hurghada, Egypt
7	Mancini A, Elsadek I and Alawany M. (2015a) Marine turtles of the Red Sea. In: Rasul N, Stewart I (Eds) The Red Sea – The Formation, Morphology, Oceanography and Environment of a Young Ocean Basin. Berlin: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Springer Earth System Sciences. Pp. 551-565.
8	Mancini A, Elsadek I, Madon B. (2015b) When simple is better: Comparing two sampling methods to estimate green turtles abundance at coastal feeding grounds. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 465: 113-120.
9	Montagna A, Taher AR, Mancini A (2017) Combining citizen science and photo identification to monitor a key green turtle feeding ground in the southern Egyptian Red Sea. African Sea Turtle Newsletter, 7: 8-16.
10	Elsadek I (2016) Ecological studies on marine turtles on their nesting and feeding grounds in the southern Egyptian Red Sea. MSc. Thesis, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt. 188 pp.
11	Attum O, Kramer A, Mahmoud T, Fouda M (2014) Post-nesting migrations patterns of Green Turtles (Chelonia mydas) from the Egyptian Red Sea. Zoology in the Middle East, 60(4): 299-305.
12	Rouphael AB, Marshall N, Noor N, El-Gawish S. Baha El-Din S, et al. (2015) Do Marine Protected Areas in the Red Sea Afford Protection to Megafauna. A Reassessment Nearly A Decade On. Journal of Coastal Zone Management, 18: 411.
13	Mancini A, Elsadek I (2019) The role of citizen science in monitoring marine megafauna of the Red Sea. In: Rasul N, Stewart I (Eds) The Red Sea – Volume 3. Pp. 507-519.

INDIA

Muralidharan Manoharakrishnan[1] and Adhith Swaminathan[1]

[1]Dakshin Foundation, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

1 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (Arribada) ((LO-NEIO) (Arr))

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

There are currently 2 major arribada nesting sites on the east coast of Odisha, Gahirmatha and Rushikulya [1,17], and recently a minor arribada site reported from Cuthbert Bay in the Andaman Islands [3]. The nesting estimates at all three rookeries are undertaken by the Government agencies in each state (Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change) following a standardised protocol [1, 18]. The nesting numbers seem to indicate a stable or even an increasing trend in the last decade [18].

1.1.2 Marine areas

The offshore waters of Gahirmatha and Rushikulya also host dense congregations of breeding turtles between the months of December and April [17]. These regions come under seasonal fishing regulations enforced by the state agencies to reduce incidental fisheries associated mortality. Satellite telemetry studies on the nesting populations in Odisha have been carried out and the post nesting migration seems to suggest that they remain largely within the Bay of Bengal travelling south towards Sri Lankan waters. [1]

1.2 Other biological data

Other biological data on the LO NEIO arribada population in India is presented in Table 1.

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Threats to nesting sites include light pollution, coastal development, nest predation, etc [17]. These are indicated in Table 1.

1.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gear (Table 1).

1.4 Conservation

Nest protection and conservation measures are taking place at all three arribada sites. The beaches of Gahirmatha and Cuthbert Bay are also declared wildlife sanctuaries [3,17,18]. The nesting beach and offshore waters at Rushikulya also receive seasonal protection between from December until May with restrictions on public access and intensive fishing.

1.5 Research

The arribada nesting population of olive ridleys have been extensively researched since the discovery of the nesting beaches in 1973 (Gahirmatha) and 1994 (Rushikulya) [17]. Most of the pioneering research and conservation measures for marine turtles began from the work that has been carried out in Odisha. Long-term monitoring of the nesting populations at Rushikulya has also involved the presence of research institutions and NGOs who have carried out a variety of work in the last decade [18]. Most of the research that has been carried out has largely looked at nesting biology and population dynamics while there have been limitations on any work that would involve any invasive sampling methodology such as reproductive biology or physiology of the species.

2 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (LO-NEIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

The olive ridley is known to nest all across the east coast of mainland India as well as throughout the Andaman and Nicobar Islands [1]. It is the most widespread and common species of marine turtles found on the Indian coast.

2.1.2 Marine areas

The olive ridley is commonly encountered as bycatch all across the coast [1], which suggests a widespread distribution in both the nearshore and offshore waters in the Bay of Bengal.

2.2 Other biological data

All biological data on the solitary nesting olive ridleys in India are presented in Table 1.

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

There is minimal poaching of nests by human communities in recent years due to increased protection and conservation measures. The major threats include nest predation, erosion, and photo-pollution. This has largely been tackled by a large network of hatcheries being set up state environment agencies and NGO's across the entire coast.

2.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gears (Table 1).

2.4 Conservation

There are extensive nest protection measures and hatcheries being maintained across the mainland coast.

2.5 Research

Most of the research on olive ridleys in India have focused on the mass nesting populations with limited attention given to the solitary nesting populations. Most of the work that has been carried out has focused on the conservation aspects, with limited attention to their biology and behaviour.

3 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, West Indian Ocean (LO- WIO)

3.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

3.1.1 Nesting sites

The olive ridley is known to nest all across the west coast of mainland India as well on the islands of Lakshadweep [1]. It the most widespread and common species of marine turtles found on the Indian coast.

3.1.2 Marine areas

The olive ridley is commonly encountered as bycatch all across the coast which suggests a widespread distribution in both the nearshore and offshore waters in the Arabian Sea [7,11].

3.2 Other biological data

All biological data on the solitary nesting olive ridleys of the WIO RMU in India are presented in Table 1.

3.3 Threats

3.3.1 Nesting sites

There is minimal poaching of nests by human communities in recent years due to increased protection and conservation measures. The major threats include nest predation, erosion and photo-pollution [1,7]. This has largely been tackled by a large network of hatcheries being set up state environment agencies and NGO's across the entire coast [1,14].

3.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gears (Table 1).

3.4 Conservation

There is extensive nest protection measures and hatcheries being maintained across the mainland coast [11,15].

3.5 Research

Most of the research on olive ridleys has focused on the mass nesting populations of LO NIO RMU with limited attention given to the solitary nesting population of both the NIO and WIO RMU's. Most of the

work that has been carried has focused on purely the conservation aspects with limited interests to their biology and behaviour [1,15,13].

4 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, North-East Indian Ocean (CM-NEIO)

4.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

4.1.1 Nesting sites

The NEIO RMU of the green turtle in India is known to nest only in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands [2]. It is widespread across the islands though no large nesting sites have been identified so far.

4.1.2 Marine areas

The green turtle is infrequently encountered as bycatch all across the coast, which suggests a distribution in both the nearshore and offshore waters in the Bay of Bengal [1].

4.2 Other biological data

All biological data on the NEIO green turtles in India are presented in Table 1.

4.3 Threats

4.3.1 Nesting sites

Most of the nesting beaches in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands are remote with limited monitoring that takes place [2].

4.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gears (Table 1) [2].

4.4 Conservation

There is nest protection measures and hatcheries at some of the populated islands that are maintained by the state environment agency [2].

4.5 Research

There has been no focused research that has been carried out on green turtles in this region.

5 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, North-West Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

5.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

5.1.1 Nesting sites

The NWIO RMU of the green turtle is known to nest in the Lakshadweep Islands and on the Gujarat coast of the mainland [9,12,13].

5.1.2 Marine areas

The green turtle is infrequently encountered as bycatch all across the coast, which suggests a distribution in both the nearshore and offshore waters in the Arabian Sea. In recent years there has been a lot attention on the increased foraging populations in the lagoons of the Lakshadweep islands and impacts on the seagrass meadows due to overgrazing [29].

5.2 Other biological data

All biological data on the NWIO green turtles in India are presented in Table 1.

5.3 Threats

5.3.1 Nesting sites

There is extensive nest protection measures and hatcheries being maintained across the mainland coast [9] while there is minimal poaching or predation events that have been observed in Lakshadweeps. Nesting beaches on populated islands have mostly been impacted by beach armouring that have been carried out to prevent erosion and reduced nesting beach area [12,13].

5.3.2. Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gears (Table 1).

5.4 Conservation

There are nest protection measures and hatcheries being maintained by the state environment agency on mainland coast in the state of Gujarat [9].

5.5 Research

There has been no focused research carried out on green turtles in this region apart from their foraging impacts on seagrass over the last decade [29].

6 RMU: *Dermochelys coriacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (DC-NEIO)

6.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

6.1.1 Nesting sites

The NEIO RMU of the leatherback turtle is known to nest in the islands of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands [2,23,24].

6.1.2 Marine areas

The leatherbacks on rare occasions are encountered as bycatch in both the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal [1].

6.2 Other biological data

All biological data on the NEIO leatherbacks in India are presented in Table 1.

6.3 Threats

6.3.1 Nesting sites

The recent survey in 2016 revealed that most of the beaches in this region have reformed after the 2004 Indian Ocean earthquake and tsunami. Regions which were severely damaged by the 2004 tsunami, still have dead trees and tree debris along the coast, particularly on Great Nicobar Island, probably obstructing sea turtles from entering the nesting beach and also reducing the nesting area.

6.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gears (Table 1).

6.4 Conservation

There are nest protection measures and hatcheries being maintained at some of the populated islands that are maintained by the state environment agency [1].

6.5 Research

There has been a long-term monitoring project carried out since 2008 in two sites in Little Andaman by Dakshin Foundation in collaboration with Andaman Nicobar Environment Team (ANET), Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Madras Crocodile Bank Trust and the Department of Environment and Forests Andaman and Nicobar Islands [23,25]. A similar monitoring programme was also carried out in Great Nicobar Island prior to the December 2004 tsunami, between 2000-2004 [27].

7 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-East Indian Ocean (EI-NEIO)

7.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

7.1.1 Nesting sites

The NEIO RMU of the hawksbill turtle is known to nest only in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. There are no records of high-density nesting [2].

7.1.2 Marine areas

The hawksbill is frequently encountered in the reefs by divers at commercial dive sites.

7.2 Other biological data

All biological data on the NEIO hawksbill turtles in India are presented in Table 1.

7.3 Threats

7.3.1 Nesting sites

Minimal poaching events have been observed in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands in recent years [2]. Predation by water monitor lizards have been observed, though it is thought not to have a severe impact on the population.

7.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gears (Table 1).

7.4 Conservation

There are nest protection measures and hatcheries being maintained at some of the populated islands that are maintained by the state environment agency [2].

7.5 Research

There has been no focused research carried out on hawksbill turtles in this region.

8 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-West Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

8.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

8.1.1 Nesting sites

The NWIO RMU of the hawksbill turtle is known to nest in the islands of the Lakshadweep [12,13], however, nesting levels are not quantified.

8.1.2 Marine areas

The hawksbill is frequently encountered in the reefs by divers at commercial dive sites.

8.2 Other biological data

All biological data on the NWIO hawksbill turtles in India are presented in Table 1.

8.3 Threats

8.3.1 Nesting sites

Nesting beaches on populated islands have mostly been impacted by beach armouring that have been carried out to prevent erosion and reduced nesting beach area [12,13].

8.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to offshore sites include fisheries bycatch in different fishing gears (Table 1).

8.4 Conservation

There are currently no directed activities for the conservation of hawksbill turtles in the region.

8.5 Research

There has been no focused research carried out on hawksbill turtles in this region.

Table 1. Characteristics of nesting marine turtles in India.

	Lepidochelys olivacea						*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*			
RMU	**LO- NEIO (Arr)**	Ref #	**LO- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO- WIO**	Ref #	**CM- NEIO**	Ref #	**CM- NWIO**	Ref #	**DC- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NWIO**	Ref #
Occurrence																
Nesting sites	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	2	Y	2	Y	2
Pelagic foraging grounds	N		N		N		n/a		Y	29	n/a		N		N	
Benthic foraging grounds	N		N		N		n/a		N		n/a		N		N	
Key biological data																
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	132,248 (2008-2016)	18	7689(2000-2016)	21	1794 (2000-2016)	1	n/a		n/a		All of Nicobar and Little Andaman 1299 (2016) and at Little Andaman 118 (2008-2017)	23	n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	14,849-405,784 (2008-2018)		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	2	18	20	1, 21	14	1	n/a		n/a		13	24,25	n/a		n/a	
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	1	3	23	1, 21	21	1	n/a		n/a		10	24	n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a	18	7320 (2000-201)	1, 21	1730 (2000-2016)	1	n/a		n/a		97.46 (2016)	23	n/a		n/a	
	Lepidochelys olivacea						*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*			

RMU	LO- NEIO (Arr)	Ref #	LO- NEIO	Ref #	LO- WIO	Ref #	CM- NEIO	Ref #	CM- NWIO	Ref #	DC- NEIO	Ref #	EI- NEIO	Ref #	EI- NWIO	Ref #
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a	18	369 (2000-2016)	1, 21	64 (2000-2016)	1	n/a		n/a		3.4 (2016)	24	n/a		n/a	
Total length of nesting sites (km)	6	18, 3	>193	1, 21	>92	1	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting females / yr	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		na		n/a		n/a	
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		4.9	2	n/a		n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		Min: 1	23	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	57 CCL	18	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		140 CCL	23	n/a		n/a	
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	120.58 (246)	18	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		107 (110)	25	n/a		n/a	
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	0.78 (5362)	18	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Lepidochelys olivacea						*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*			
RMU	**LO- NEIO (Arr)**	Ref #	**LO- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO- WIO**	Ref #	**CM- NEIO**	Ref #	**CM- NWIO**	Ref #	**DC- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NWIO**	Ref #
Trends																
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	Stable (2008-2016)	18	Stable (2000-2016)	1, 21	Stable (2000-2016)	1	n/a		n/a		Stable (2008-2017)	23, 25	n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Published studies																
Growth rates	N		N		N		N		N		N		N		N	
Genetics	Y	1	Y	1	Y	27	N		N		Y	27	N		N	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	Y	1	Y	1	Y	27	N		N		Y	27	N		N	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	Y	1	Y	1	N		N		N		Y	23, 26	N		N	
Survival rates	N		N		N		N		N		N		N		N	
Population dynamics	Y	21	Y	21	N		N		N		N		N		N	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N		N		N		Y	29	N		N		N	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	N		N		N		N		N		Y	25	N		N	

	Lepidochelys olivacea						*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*			
RMU	**LO- NEIO (Arr)**	Ref #	**LO- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO- WIO**	Ref #	**CM- NEIO**	Ref #	**CM- NWIO**	Ref #	**DC- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NWIO**	Ref #
Threats																
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y (SN,DN)	1	Y (SN,DN)	1	Y (SN,DN,ST,MT)	1	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	Y (PLL, ST,PT)	1	Y (PLL, ST,PT)	1	Y (PT)	1	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: quantified?	N		N		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	n/a		n/a		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Take. Egg poaching	n/a		n/a		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Egg predation	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	n/a		n/a		Y	23, 25, 28	n/a		n/a	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	n/a		n/a		Y	2, 24	n/a		n/a	
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other	n/a		n/a		n/a		N		N		N		N		N	

	Lepidochelys olivacea						*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*			
RMU	**LO- NEIO (Arr)**	Ref #	**LO- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO- WIO**	Ref #	**CM- NEIO**	Ref #	**CM- NWIO**	Ref #	**DC- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NWIO**	Ref #
Long-term projects (>5yrs)																
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	Y (2008 - ongoing)	18	Y	1	N		n/a		n/a		Y (2008 - ongoing)	23,25,28	n/a		n/a	
Number of index nesting sites	3	18	N		N		n/a		n/a		2	23,25,28	n/a		n/a	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	N		N		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Conservation																
Protection under national law	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	2 (50%)	1	0	1	n/a		0		0		0		0		0	
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	0	1	0	1	0		0		0		0		0		0	
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	>1	1	>1	1	n/a		0		0		0		0		0	
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	Y	1	Y	1	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Hatcheries	Y	1	Y	1	Y	1	n/a		n/a		Y	2	n/a		n/a	
Head-starting	N		N		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	N		N		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Lepidochelys olivacea						*Chelonia mydas*				*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*			
RMU	**LO- NEIO (Arr)**	Ref #	**LO- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO- WIO**	Ref #	**CM- NEIO**	Ref #	**CM- NWIO**	Ref #	**DC- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**EI- NWIO**	Ref #
Bycatch: onboard best practices	N		N		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	N		N		N		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other	n/a		n/a		n/a		N		N		N		N		N	

Table 2. Nesting beaches in India.

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Western limit Lon	Western limit Lat	Eastern limit Lon	Eastern limit Lat	Central point Lon	Central point Lat	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
LO-NEIO (Arribada)														
Gahirmatha (Wheeler, ekakula, habalikati)	Y	>100000		87.06874	20.72294	86.968	20.659			20		17	1	F
Rushikulya	Y	>100000		85.09804	19.40769	85.066	19.37234			5		18	1	F
Cuthbert Bay	Y	5000						92.964678	12.703949			3		
LO-NEIO														
Bahuda River – Kapaskudi		550		84.79714	19.13169	84.721	19.01855			10		5		
Elichetladibba		245		80.92596	15.7259	80.832	15.71595			8		5		
Goutami Godavari R - Neelarevu		685		82.36305	16.7385	82.307	16.59893			10		6		
Hope Island		36		82.32591	16.98991	82.363	16.92122			5		6		
Krishna R – Lankevenidibba		125		80.82713	15.71441	80.773	15.80155			12		5		
Kunduvanipeta – Nagavali R		150		83.97057	18.22767	83.944	18.21311			3		6		
Muthiyavanipalem		96		83.11763	17.54813	83.094	17.53887			3		6		
Pennaru R – Mypadu		40		80.19596	14.57924	80.18	14.50626			5		6		
Sacremento Island		1119		82.31629	16.59318	82.287	16.56796			3		6		

Sriharikota – Durgarajapalem		100		80.17019	13.98709	80.241	13.8152			15		6		
Vamsadhara R - Bandarvanipeta		200		84.14273	18.35114	84.13	18.31732			5		5		
Bahuda				84.79452	19.12797							16		
Bali Harachandi				85.67846	19.74477							16		
Barunei				86.77773	20.51927							16		
Chinchiri		~200-300		86.8591	20.58869							16		
Dhamra				86.96458	20.80768							16		
Gopalpur				84.96712	19.3068							16		
Habalikhati		~200		86.99969	20.67859							16		
Hawa Khana		~200		86.47592	20.09955							16		
Jhatadri		<100		86.53522	20.18301							16		
Keluni		~100-200		86.23889	19.90861							16		
Kushabhadra		<100		86.0521	19.84986							16		
Mahanadi				86.81211	20.38786							16		
Markandi				84.82509	19.17461							16		
Nuanai				85.92508	19.82293							16		
Paradeep				86.67586	20.25862							16		
Prayagi		~50		85.17177	19.46658							16		
Ramtara		<101		86.48653	20.11464							16		
Sahana		~100-200		86.36411	19.95561							16		
Sonapur				84.78614	19.11217							16		
Agarnasi		~300-400		86.80545	20.50289							17		
Akashdia Island (Devi)		2000		86.43729	20.06009	86.385	19.97877					17		

Pentha		~500		86.81936	20.56252							17		
Mamallapuram - Pondichery		36								50		19		
Nagapattinam		30								30		21		
Nallavadu				79.81718	11.86314	79.806	11.83135			10		21		
Marina - Neelankarai		121		80.28901	13.06613	80.258	12.92775			14		21	1	B
Alikuppam				80.13814	12.43815	80.067	12.34714					20		
Neelankarai – Uthandi		6		80.2581	12.92775	80.248	12.8431			10		21		
Dadanpatra				87.82572	21.71896	87.75	21.69676					22		
Digha				87.75667	21.68975	87.701	21.6622					22		
Junput				87.58284	21.63822	87.552	21.6364					22		
Shankarpur				87.54731	21.62987	87.474	21.60723					22		
LO-WIO														
Morjim		6		73.72121	15.63529	73.737	15.6136			3		7		
Mandrem		3		73.70619	15.67529	73.715	15.65356			2		8		
Agonda		9		73.98024	15.05408	73.988	15.02901			3		7		
Galgibaga		5		74.04429	14.97229	74.052	14.95753			1.5		7		
Kharakhetar-Kuranga		102		69.12525	22.0563	69.158	22.0221			5		9		
Lamba-Sethala Mata Mandir		153		69.29193	21.88901	69.335	21.85338			5		9		
Mithapur-Mojap		96		68.97726	22.40348	68.959	22.36855			4		9		
Mojap-Shivrajpur		127		68.9588	22.36855	68.951	22.33191			4		9		
Navadra-Lamba		171		69.24611	21.93242	69.292	21.88901			5		9		
Okhamadhi-Kharakhetar		79		69.09344	22.09436	69.125	22.0563			5		9		

Sethala Mata Mandir-Harshad Mata Mandir		131		69.33481	21.85338	69.37	21.83181			4		9		
Lohej–Maktupur		137		70.04745	21.15745	70.077	21.12764			4.5		9		
Maktupur–Mangrol		75		70.07707	21.12764	70.098	21.10807			3		9		
Mangrol–Bara		169		70.10473	21.10553	70.136	21.07742			4.5		9		
Shil–Lohej		127		70.02879	21.17836	70.047	21.15745			3		9		
Kantela-Kuchhadi		169		69.51153	21.70122	69.544	21.67186			4.5		9		
Navibandar-Ratiya		76		69.77639	21.45963	69.808	21.42501			5		9		
Ratadi–Kantela		118		69.48404	21.72807	69.512	21.70122			4		9		
Alungal				75.83988	11.08499	75.849	11.05438					10		
Kolavipalam				75.59176	11.56951	75.617	11.47755					10		
Thaikkadappuram				75.07557	12.31109	75.12	12.2018					11		
Agatti								72.193788	10.853976			12		
Minicoy								73.0645	8.2963417			13		
Suheli Valliakara								72.285751	10.043093			13		
Tinnakara								72.318502	10.94713			13		
Karingikuppu								72.31484	10.061446			13		
Dabhol		4		73.16579	17.58774	73.175	17.58408			2		7		
Diveagar		4		72.97084	18.20425	72.989	18.15756			4		7		
Guhagar		7		73.17345	17.51837	73.192	17.46238			5		14		
Harihareshwar		4		73.02919	17.99189	73.042	17.98592			4		14		
Kelashi		1		73.04877	17.93099	73.052	17.90728			3		7		
Kolthare		4		73.13182	17.65612	73.136	17.64422			2		7		
Maral		1		73.00942	18.01066	73.021	17.99307					15		
Murud Janjira		1		72.96843	18.30473	72.97	18.30023					7		

Sandkhol		1		73.21905	17.26992	73.223	17.26299					7		
Velas		14		73.04036	17.97798	73.029	17.95337			2		14		
CM-NEIO														
Akupa and Maka Chua								93.655229	7.3707769			1		
Alexandra river mouth								93.704807	7.0077952			1		
Bivaye								93.66254	7.2561169			1		
Car Nicobar								92.767804	9.216226			1		
Dahvu								93.630638	7.2995565			1		
Gota Bay								93.70971	7.4232086			1		
Katchal								93.402538	7.9936732			1		
Kwangtung								93.847831	6.791118			1		
Llaful Auch Creek								93.878984	7.175208			1		
Meroe								93.542533	7.5170657			1		
Navy Dhara								93.885008	7.1256931			1		
Pulo Baha								93.638148	7.3260114			1		
Pulo Bahi								93.754149	6.9115159			1		
Pulo Kiyang								93.636775	7.2603075			1		
Pulo Kunji								93.674326	7.0355821			1		
Pulo Milo								93.689067	7.4030581			1		
Pulo Pahan								93.714999	7.307309			1		
Pulo Ulan								93.686074	7.2909312			1		
Renhong								93.662138	7.091513			1		
Rokoret								93.682308	7.1528662			1		

Saphed Balu								93.844099	6.7776369			1		
Teressa								93.125417	8.2785161			1		
Trak								93.633058	7.4774159			1		
Treis								93.650422	7.4753256			1		
Anderson								92.709128	12.767068			1		
Beale Bay								92.846146	13.376155			1		
Beele								92.564801	11.568187			1		
Bluff								92.697004	12.245546			1		
Boat								92.55651	11.525831			1		
Butler Bay								92.577587	10.673696			1		
Casuarena Bay								92.840567	13.303445			1		
Coffree Dera								92.8228	13.283951			1		
Corbyn's Cove								92.746743	11.642551			1		
Craggy								93.057729	13.225697			1		
Cuthbert Bay								92.964678	12.703949			1		
Delgarno								93.077693	13.432564			1		
East								93.045251	13.639888			1		
East Coast of Baratang								92.831748	12.166925			1		
East Twin								92.563151	11.394676			1		
Excelsior								93.098037	13.431276			1		
Flat								92.681297	12.531811			1		
Grub								92.594286	11.588676			1		
Havelock								93.000185	12.031477			1		
Hump								92.700985	12.639494			1		

Iki Bay								92.616978	11.992471			1		
Inglish								93.119474	12.135428			1		
Interview								92.666926	12.890229			1		
Jolly Buoy								92.613397	11.5082			1		
Karmatang								92.927068	12.873685			1		
Lamia Bay								93.033407	13.181736			1		
Landfall								93.000479	13.645807			1		
Latouche								92.728729	13.093706			1		
Long								92.943113	12.400659			1		
Madhuban beach								92.748191	11.709755			1		
Middle Button								93.029418	12.277774			1		
Neil								93.056806	11.814798			1		
North and South of Jackson Creek								92.401156	10.782827			1		
North Brother								92.660236	10.983045			1		
North Button								93.064424	12.316314			1		
North Cinque								92.712829	11.310701			1		
North of Hut Bay								92.562046	10.647049			1		
North Passage								92.935066	12.285988			1		
North Reef								92.706918	13.08428			1		
North Sister								92.727978	11.14623			1		
Outram								93.102372	12.2224			1		
Pagget								92.821877	13.422651			1		
Paikat Bay								92.933382	12.779671			1		
Passage								92.676051	11.184459			1		

Pine Bay								93.004718	13.559342			1		
Pocock								93.051903	13.563931			1		
Point								92.818101	13.412575			1		
Red Skin								92.584899	11.548327			1		
Reef								92.874084	13.504881			1		
Robert Bay								92.735478	12.685308			1		
Ross								93.075056	13.302858			1		
Rutland								92.615154	11.451498			1		
Sir Hugh Rose								93.08059	11.788258			1		
Smith								93.072487	13.324261			1		
Snark								92.755994	13.200999			1		
Sound								92.981973	12.950676			1		
South Bay								92.433386	10.548002			1		
South Brother								92.614789	10.935351			1		
South Button								93.020371	12.224083			1		
South Cinque								92.704675	11.28846			1		
South of Burmala Creek								92.489905	10.888202			1		
South Reef								92.656208	12.772491			1		
South Sister								92.725725	11.143493			1		
Spike								92.703978	12.279546			1		
Tage								93.071414	13.425801			1		
Tarmugli								92.53473	11.58489			1		
Temple								93.062525	13.383681			1		
Trilby								93.087394	13.414819			1		

Tuft								92.709374	12.721207			1		
West								92.898417	13.590843			1		
West Bay								92.413817	10.635745			1		
West Twin								92.550472	11.397215			1		
Whitecliff								92.877806	13.538596			1		
Woteng								92.964565	12.726035			1		
CM-NWIO														
Agatti		360						72.193788	10.853976			12		
Minicoy		10						73.0645	8.2963417			13		
Suheli Valliakara		358						72.285751	10.043093			13		
Tinnakara		54						72.318502	10.94713			13		
Karingikuppu		5						72.31484	10.061446			13		
Kharakhetar-Kuranga		102		69.12525	22.0563	69.158	22.0221			5		9		
Lamba-Sethala Mata Mandir		153		69.29193	21.88901	69.335	21.85338			5		9		
Mithapur-Mojap		96		68.97726	22.40348	68.959	22.36855			4		9		
Mojap-Shivrajpur		127		68.9588	22.36855	68.951	22.33191			4		9		
Navadra-Lamba		171		69.24611	21.93242	69.292	21.88901			5		9		
Okhamadhi-Kharakhetar		79		69.09344	22.09436	69.125	22.0563			5		9		
Sethala Mata Mandir-Harshad Mata Mandir		131		69.33481	21.85338	69.37	21.83181			4		9		
Lohej–Maktupur		137		70.04745	21.15745	70.077	21.12764			4.5		9		
Maktupur–Mangrol		75		70.07707	21.12764	70.098	21.10807			3		9		
Mangrol–Bara		169		70.10473	21.10553	70.136	21.07742			4.5		9		

Shil–Lohej		127		70.02879	21.17836	70.047	21.15745			3		9		
Kantela-Kuchhadi		169		69.51153	21.70122	69.544	21.67186			4.5		9		
Navibandar-Ratiya		76		69.77639	21.45963	69.808	21.42501			5		9		
Ratadi–Kantela		118		69.48404	21.72807	69.512	21.70122			4		9		
DC-NEIO														
Alexandra river mouth								93.704807	7.0077952			1		
Dahvu								93.630638	7.2995565			1		
Galathea	Y	830						93.85603	6.819313			2		
Katchal								93.402538	7.9936732			1		
Llaful Auch Creek								93.878984	7.175208			1		
Navy Dhara								93.885008	7.1256931			1		
Pulo Baha								93.638148	7.3260114			1		
Pulo Kiyang								93.636775	7.2603075			1		
Pulo Kunji								93.674326	7.0355821			1		
Renhong								93.662138	7.091513			1		
Rokoret								93.682308	7.1528662			1		
Saphed Balu								93.844099	6.7776369			1		
South Bay								93.877401	6.8057409			1		
Teressa								93.125417	8.2785161			1		
Coffree Dera								92.8228	13.283951			1		
Rutland								92.615154	11.451498			1		
South Bay	Y	90						92.433386	10.548002	4		4	1	E
West Bay	Y	135						92.413817	10.635745	6.8		4	1	E

Cuthbert Bay								92.964678	12.703949			3		
EI-NWIO														
Agatti								72.193788	10.853976			12		
EI-NEIO														
Dahvu								93.630638	7.2995565			1		
Gota Bay								93.70971	7.4232086			1		
Meroe								93.542533	7.5170657			1		
Pulo Baha								93.638148	7.3260114			1		
Pulo Kiyang								93.636775	7.2603075			1		
Pulo Milo								93.689067	7.4030581			1		
Saphed Balu								93.844099	6.7776369			1		
Trak								93.633058	7.4774159			1		
Treis								93.650422	7.4753256			1		
Anderson								92.709128	12.767068			1		
Bluff								92.697004	12.245546			1		
Craggy								93.057729	13.225697			1		
Delgarno								93.077693	13.432564			1		
East								93.045251	13.639888			1		
East Coast of Baratang								92.831748	12.166925			1		
Excelsior								93.098037	13.431276			1		
Flat								92.681297	12.531811			1		
Hump								92.700985	12.639494			1		

Inglish								93.119474	12.135428			1		
Interview								92.666926	12.890229			1		
Landfall								93.000479	13.645807			1		
Latouche								92.728729	13.093706			1		
Madhuban beach								92.748191	11.709755			1		
Middle Button								93.029418	12.277774			1		
Neil								93.056806	11.814798			1		
North Button								93.064424	12.316314			1		
North Reef								92.706918	13.08428			1		
Point								92.818101	13.412575			1		
Ross								93.075056	13.302858			1		
Sir Hugh Rose								93.08059	11.788258			1		
Snark								92.755994	13.200999			1		
Sound								92.981973	12.950676			1		
South Button								93.020371	12.224083			1		
Temple								93.062525	13.383681			1		
Trilby								93.087394	13.414819			1		
Tuft								92.709374	12.721207			1		

Table 3. International conventions signed by India in relation to marine turtle conservation.

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles
IOSEA NIOMTTF	Y	N	Y	All		



Figure 1. Nesting areas for all sea turtle species in India: reproduced from reference 1.

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Shanker, K. & B.C. Choudhury (2006) (Editors) Marine turtles of the Indian subcontinent. Universities Press, Hyderabad. India.
2	Andrews, H.V., A. Tripathy, S. Aghue, S. Glen, S. John & K. Naveen (2006) The status of sea turtle populations in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. In: Towards an integrated and collaborative sea turtle conservation programme in India: a UNEP/CMS-IOSEA
3	Namboothri, N., Swaminathan, A., & K. Shanker (2015). Olive ridley mass-nesting at Cuthbert Bay wildlife sanctuary, Middle Andaman Island. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 21: 7-9.
4	Namboothri, N., A. Swaminathan, & K. Shanker (2014) Leatherback turtles at South Bay and West Bay, Little Andaman 2013-2014: Report submitted to the International Sustainable Seafood Foundation. Dakshin Foundation.Madras Crocodile Bank Trust.
5	Saravanan S., K. Swamy, & F. Tampal (2013) Status of Sea Turtle Habitats and Nesting in Andhra Pradesh. In Kurian (Ed.), Marine turtles along the Indian coast: Distribution, status, threats and management implications. WWF-India, New Delhi. Pp. 31-44.
6	Tripathy, B., B.C. Choudhury & K. Shanker (2003) Status survey of sea turtles and their nesting beaches along the Andhra Pradesh coast, India. 22nd Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, Miami, Florida USA.
7	Giri, V. (2006) The status of sea turtles on the Maharashtra and Goa coasts. In: Monitoring and networking for sea turtle conservation in India: a UNEP CMS project report (eds. K. Shanker and H. Andrews). Centre for Herpetology/Madras Crocodile Bank Trust
8	Dongre S. K. (2013) Sea Turtle Nesting Status in Goa. In Kurian (Ed.), Marine turtles along the Indian coast: Distribution, status, threats and management implications. WWF-India, New Delhi. Pp. 127-134.
9	Sunderraj S.F.W., J. Joshua, L. Brahmbhatt, A. Saravanakumar, B. Muthuraman & S.K. Das (2006) The status of sea turtle populations on the Gujarat coast. In: Monitoring and networking for sea turtle conservation in India: a UNEP CMS project report (eds.)
10	Bhupathy, S., M. Vijay, A.M.A. Nixon, J. Subramanean, R. Karunakaran & J. Gokulakrishnan (2006) The status of sea turtle populations on the Tamil Nadu and Kerala coasts. In: Towards an integrated and collaborative sea turtle conservation programme in India.
11	Jayakumar C., & N. Dillepkumar (2004) Study of turtles, traditional practices and rights of fishermen in the Kerala coast and development of an education strategy for protecting the coastal biodiversity through a community based turtle conservation programme.
12	Kumar, S & B.C. Choudhury (2009) Ecology of marine turtles in the Lakshadweep islands of India with a focus on the hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*). Project report submitted to Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, India.
13	Tripathy, B., B.C. Choudhury & K. Shanker (2002) Marine turtles of Lakshadweep islands, India. Kachhapa 7: 3-7.
14	SNM (2006) Marine Turtle Conservation in Maharashtra - 2002 to 2006. Sahyadri Nisarga Mitra. Chiplun, Maharashtra.
15	Katdare V. D. (2013) Marine Turtle Habitats in Maharashtra - Distribution, Status and Threats. In Kurian (Ed.), Marine turtles along the Indian coast: Distribution, status, threats and management implications. WWF-India, New Delhi. Pp. 135-154.
16	Kar, CS & M. Peters (2013) Status of Sea Turtle Habitats and Nesting in Andhra Pradesh. In Kurian (Ed.), Marine turtles along the Indian coast: Distribution, status, threats and management implications. WWF-India, New Delhi. Pp. 31-44.
17	Pandav B., B. C. Choudhury & C. S. Kar (2006) Sea Turtle Nesting Habitats on the Coast of Orissa. In Shanker, K. & B.C. Choudhury (Eds.), Marine turtles of the Indian subcontinent. Universities Press, Hyderabad. India. Pp. 88-106.
18	Chandarana, R, M. Manoharakrishnan & K. Shanker (2017) Long term monitoring and community based conservation of olive ridley turtles in Odisha. A IISc/Dakshin project report. Submitted to MoEFCC and GIZ CMPA
19	Banugopan, K. & P. Davidar (1999) Status of sea turtles along the Pondicherry coast, India. Hamadryad 24: 43.
20	Subramanean, J. (2005) Nesting and adult mortality of the olive ridley sea turtle along Mamallapuram coast, Tamil Nadu, south India. Herpinstance 2(2): 5-7.

21 Saravanan S., J. Gokulakrishnan, V. Arun, A. Balu, M. Annapan & A. Kurian (2013) Marine Turtle Habitats and Nesting Status in Tamil Nadu. In Kurian (Ed.), Marine turtles along the Indian coast: Distribution, status, threats and management implications. WWF-India, New Delhi. Pp. 13-30.

22 Bhadury P., A. Kumar & M. Maiti (2013) Nesting Status of Sea Turtles in West Bengal -The East Midnapore Scenario. In Kurian (Ed.), Marine turtles along the Indian coast: Distribution, status, threats and management implications. WWF-India, New Delhi. Pp. 85-106.

23 Swaminathan, A., N. Namboothri & K. Shanker (2017) The Indian Ocean is my swimming pool: Leatherback turtles of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Poster presentation at the International Sea Turtle Symposium, Las Vegas, 2017.

24 Swaminathan, A., S. Thesorow, S. Watha, M. Manoharakrishnan, N. Namboothri & M. Chandi (2017) Current status and distribution of threatened leatherback turtles and their nesting beaches in the Nicobar group of islands. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 26: 12-18.

25 Swaminathan, A., N. Namboothri, Chandi. M. & K. Shanker (2017) Monitoring programme for leatherback turtles at South Bay and West Bay, Little Andaman. Report submitted to International Seafood Sustainability Foundation. Dakshin Foundation. Madras Crocodile Bank Trust.

26 Namboothri, N., A. Swaminathan, B.C. Choudhury & K. Shanker (2012) Post- nesting migratory routes of Leatherback Turtles from Little Andaman Island. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 16: 21-23.

27 Shanker K., Choudhury B.C. & R.K. Aggarwal (2011) Conservation genetics of marine turtles on the mainland coast of India and offshore islands. Final Project Report. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun and Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyde

28 Swaminathan, A., N. Namboothri & K. Shanker (2011) Post-tsunami status of leatherback turtle nesting at Little Andaman Island. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 14: 5-10.

29 Kelkar, N., Arthur, R., Marbà, N. & T. Alcoverro (2013) Greener pastures? High-density feeding aggregations of green turtles precipitate species shifts in seagrass meadows. Journal of Ecology 101: 1158–1168.

KUWAIT

Nancy Papathanasopoulou[1] & ALan F. Rees[2]

[1]Environmental Consultant - Marine Conservation, Athens, Greece

[2]University of Exeter, Penryn, UK

1 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, Northwest Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Kuwait used to have two nesting sites for green turtles (Qaru and Umm Al-Maradim; Figure 1) but since extending a coast guard station on Umm Al-Maridim in 2005, no green turtle nesting has been recorded there (Table 1; [1,4]). Due to the very low number of nests, no trend in nest numbers is discernible (Table 1). Description of the nesting areas is given in Table 2.

1.1.2 Marine areas

Four of five successfully tracked adult sized female turtles established long-term residencies around Failaka Island (Figure 2; [2]). No other marine areas have been verified and published.

1.2 Other biological data

Biological data on the green turtles are presented in Table 1 and associated references [1,2,3,4,5]

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Threats to green turtles in Kuwait include beach-use by tourists, in the summer, and are presented in Table 1.

1.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to green turtles in marine areas include tidal traps (*hadrah*) constructed around Failaka Island, that trap turtles and expose them to high day-time air temperatures and potential consumptive use by the fishers (Table 1 [1]).

1.4 Conservation

Turtles are at least nominally afforded legal protection in Kuwait under several international and national regulations (Table 3).

There are no known on-going conservation efforts for sea turtles in Kuwait, but the authors suggest better signage and regulation of human activities at the nesting area is warranted.

1.5 Research

Adult female green turtles have been tracked in Kuwait (Table 4). More research on the abundance of turtles around the identified foraging hotspot of Failaka Island should be prioritised, together with genetic characterisation of the turtles foraging there.

Monitoring of Qaru Island green turtle nesting should be established as an on-going research priority. Genetic characterisation of the small population is warranted to determine its independence or linkage with the proximate larger population in Saudi Arabia.

2 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, Northwest Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Kuwait has three nesting areas for hawksbill turtles (Qaru, Umm Al-Maradim and Ras Al Zour; Figure 1, Table 1; [2,3,5]). Due to the very low number of nests, no trend in nest numbers is discernible (Table 1). Description of the nesting areas is given in Table 2.

2.1.2 Marine areas

Limited information on hawksbill marine areas in Kuwait has been published. However, several coastal foraging sites have been identified for adult females [8]. One adult male was known to be resident at Qaru [6] and a single adult female migrated to Kuwait's coastal waters from a distant nesting area [7].

2.2 Other biological data

Biological data on the hawksbill turtles are presented in Table 1 and associated references [2,3,5,6,7]

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Same as for green turtles, see section 1.3.1 and Table 1.

2.3.2 Marine areas

The lack of information on important marine habitats for hawksbills predicates lack of information on threats in the marine realm.

2.4 Conservation

See 1.4.

2.5 Research

Adult female hawksbill turtles have been tracked from Qaru Island and Um Al-Maradim (Table 4, [8]). Further tracking from the third nesting area (Ras Al Zour) should be undertaken to build a more complete understanding of hawksbill migrations and distribution.

Monitoring of all three nesting areas should be established as an on-going research priority. Genetic characterisation of the tiny population(s) is warranted.

Table 1. Characteristics of nesting marine turtles in Kuwait.

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Occurrence				
Nesting sites	Y	1,2,3	Y	1,2,3
Pelagic foraging grounds	N/A		N/A	
Benthic foraging grounds	Y (A)	1,2	Y (A)	6,7,8
Key biological data				
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	2-7 (2008-2015)	1,2,3	7-32 (2008-2015)	1,2,3
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	<10	1,4	<40	3
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	0	1,4	0	
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	1	1,4	3	2
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	5 (2008-2015)	1,4	ca. 20 (2008-2015)	3
Total length of nesting sites (km)	0.65	1,4	n/a	
Nesting females / yr	3	1	n/a	
Nests / female season (N)	5 (N=1)	1	n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	96 CCL	2,3	64.5 CCL	2,3
Age at maturity (yrs)	N/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	N/a		n/a	
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	N/a		n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	N/a		N/a	
	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Trends				
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	Stable (1998-2015)	2,3	Stable (2008-2015)	2,3
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	<10, 2008-2015	1, 2, 3	<40, 2008-2015	1, 2, 3
Published studies				
Growth rates	N		N	
Genetics	Y	4	N	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N		N	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	Y	1,2	Y	2,8
Survival rates	N		N	
Population dynamics	N		N	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	N		N	
Threats				
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y	1,2,4	N	
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	N		N	
Bycatch: quantified?	N		N	
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	N		N	
Take. Egg poaching	N		N	
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	Y	4,5	Y (Summer tourism)	5
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	4,5	Y	4,5
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		n/a	
Egg predation	N		N	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	N		N	
Pathogens	n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a	

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a	
Other:	n/a		n/a	
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	Y (2008-2015)	1,2,3	Y (2008-2015)	1,2,3
Number of index nesting sites	1	1,2,3	3	1,2,3
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Conservation				
Protection under national law	Y		Y	
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	0		0	
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	0		0	
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	0		0	
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	N		N	
Hatcheries	N		N	
Head-starting	N		N	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	N		N	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	N		N	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	N		N	
Other	N		N	

Table 2. Nesting beaches in Kuwait.

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point		Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol	Ref. #
				Long	Lat						
CM-NWIO											
Qaru Island - Beach A	Y	7 (2004-2015)	12 (2004-2015)	48.776344	28.817623	0.65	100	1,4		*	1
Umm Al-Maradim Island – beach B (west)	N	15 (2004) - no nesting since!	N/A	48.650499	28.678678	0.19	100	1,4		*	
EI-NWIO											
Qaru Island -Beach A	Y	17 (2008-2011), 4 (2013), 25 (2015)	33 (2008-2011), 11 (2013), 31 (2015)	48.776344	28.817623	0.65	100	2,3,5		*	
Umm Al-Maradim Island- Beach B (West)	Y	1 (2013), 1 (2015)	3 (2013), 1 (2015)	48.650499	28.678678	0.19	100	2,3,5		*	
Umm Al-Maradim Island- Beach C (North)	Y	13 (2008-2011), 4 (2013), 10 (2015)	18 (2008-2011), 4 (2013)	48.682345	28.652999	0.23	100	2,3,5		*	
Ras Al Zour -beach d	N	N/A	N/A	48.391319	28.741793	2.6	100	2			

*Monthly fieldwork comprising periods of ca.8 d were undertaken between May and August. Patrols were undertaken at hourly intervals between dusk and dawn on Qaru. Track surveys were undertaken on UAM at least once per field period to look for green turtle emergences. In 2012, the islands were surveyed once near the end of the nesting season (August) to confirm levels of green turtle nesting for that season. Environmental conditions in the area, calm weather, and limited trampling of the beach were such that evidence of nesting from the entire season was still easily discernible (Papathanasopoulou Pers.Obs).

Table 3. International conventions signed by Kuwait in relation to marine turtle conservation.

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles
CITES (2002)	Y	Y	Y	All turtle species		
CBD (1992)	Y	N	N	All turtle species		
Kyoto Protocol (1997)	Y	N	N			
United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea	Y	Y	Y	All turtle species	The Public Authority for Agriculture an Fisheries applies a non-consumption of sea turtle meat-eggs policy, a combination of CITES and UNCLOS	
MARPOL 73/78	Y	Y	n/a			
RAMSAR (2015)	Y	n/a	n/a			Protection of Boubiyan Island area, reportedly an important foraging area for sea turtles
United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1992)	Y	n/a	n/a			Preventing climate change leading to warmer seas and reclamation of turtle foraging/mating habitat as well as rising of sea level leading to reclamation of turtle nesting grounds.

Table 4. Marine turtle projects and databases in Kuwait.

#	RMU	Country	Region / Location	Project Name or descriptive title	Key words	Start date	End date	Leading organisation	Public/ Private	Collaboration with	Reports / Information material	Current Sponsors	Primary Contact (name and Email)	Other Contacts (name and Email)
T4.1	CM-NWIO	State of Kuwait	Arabian Peninsula, Middle East, Asia	Kuwait 2010: Hawksbill and Green Turtle Tracking	Satellite telemetry; tracking; Middle East; Kuwait; green turtles	2010	2011	University of Exeter	Public	TOTAL Foundation, Kuwait Scientific Center, Kuwait Voluntary Work Center, Kuwait Coast Guard	http://www.seaturtle.org/tracking/?project_id=503		ALan F Rees a.f.rees@exeter.ac.uk	Nancy Papathanasopoulou nancyktcp@gmail.com
T4.2	EI-NWIO	State of Kuwait	Arabian Peninsula, Middle East, Asia	Kuwait 2010: Hawksbill and Green Turtle Tracking	Satellite telemetry; tracking; Middle East; Kuwait; hawksbill turtles	2010	2011	University of Exeter	Public	TOTAL Foundation, Kuwait Scientific Center, Kuwait Voluntary Work Center, Kuwait Coast Guard	http://www.seaturtle.org/tracking/?project_id=503		ALan F Rees a.f.rees@exeter.ac.uk	Nancy Papathanasopoulou nancyktcp@gmail.com
T4.3	CM- NWIO	State of Kuwait	Arabian Peninsula, Middle East, Asia	Kuwait 2013: Green Turtle Tracking	satellite telemetry; tracking; Middle East; Kuwait; green turtles	2013	2013	University of Exeter	Public	Al Nowair Initiative, Wataniya Telecom, Kuwait Coast Guard	http://www.seaturtle.org/tracking/?project_id=921		ALan F Rees a.f.rees@exeter.ac.uk	Nancy Papathanasopoulou nancyktcp@gmail.com



Figure 1. Nesting areas in Kuwait.

Hawksbill nesting occurs at Qaru, RAZ and UAM. Green turtle nesting now only occurs at Qaru [2].



Figure 2. Marine habitats for sea turtles in Kuwait.

Tracked adult female green turtles utilise the waters around Failaka Island as a foraging / overwintering area. Home ranges of four green turtles are presented here. Figure reproduced from [2].

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Rees, AF, Al Hafez, A, Lloyd, JR, Papathanasopoulou, N, Godley, BJ 2013. Green Turtles, *Chelonia mydas*, in Kuwait: Nesting and Movements. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 12(1): 157-163.
2	Rees, AF, Papathanasopoulou, N, Godley, BJ 2018. Satellite tracking of sea turtles in Kuwait: findings and further research needs. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 28: 23-26.
3	Papathanasopoulou N. 2015a. Turtles in Kuwait Unpublished data 2008-2011, 2013, 2015 nesting seasons.
4	Al-Mohanna, SY, Al-Zaidan, AY, George, P 2014. Green turtles (Chelonia mydas) of the north-western Arabian Gulf, Kuwait: the need for conservation. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems. 24: 166-178
5	Papathanasopoulou, N 2015b KTCP July 2015 report summary, unpublished
6	Rees, AF, Al-Hafez AA, Papathanasopoulou N 2013. Utility of sea turtle photo ID techniques: the example of a male hawksbill in Kuwait. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 17: 23-25
7	Pilcher NJ, Antonopoulou M, Perry L, Abdel-Moati MA, Al Abdessalaam TZ, Albeldawi M, Al Ansi M, Al-Mohannadi SF, Al Zahlawi N, Baldwin R, Chikhi A, Das HS, Hamza S, Kerr OJ, Al Kiyumi A, Mobaraki A, Al Suwaidi HS, Al Suweidi AS, Sawaf M, Tourenq C, Williams J, Willson A. 2014. Identification of Important Sea Turtle Areas (ITAs) for hawksbill turtles in the Arabian Region. JExpMarBiolEcol 460: 89-99
8	Rees AF, Papathanasopoulou N, Godley BJ 2019 Tracking hawksbills in Kuwait: contributions to regional behavioural insights. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 18: 86-90

MALDIVES

Umaira Ahmed[1], Mariyam Shidha[2], Jillian Hudgins[3], Nashwa Manik[2], Enas Riyaz[2] and Martin Stelfox[3]

[1]Independent Consultant, Maldives

[2]Environmental Protection Agency, Maldives

[3]Olive Ridley Project, Maldives

1 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, Northwest Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Green sea turtle are the most common species of turtle found nesting in the Maldives [1,3], with nesting confirmed on 36 islands. The actual number of nesting sites is likely much greater than the recorded number. Many islands report turtle nesting activity but the nesting species and level of nesting activity on these islands are yet to be confirmed. Most recorded nesting islands are concentrated in central and northern Maldives but this may be because these are the areas where most research effort has taken place (Fig. 2; Table 2, 3).

There has been a significant decline in nesting activity observed in Maldives. Estimated number of nests per year has decreased from the 1980s [1,2] (Table 2).

1.1.2 Marine areas

Green sea turtles can be observed all throughout the archipelago and, as of the end of 2018, a citizen science photo ID initiative that began in 2013 has identified at least 660 individual green turtles across 13 atolls. Both juveniles and adults are seen suggesting that the Maldives serves as both a breeding ground and a developmental habitat. The number of adult females observed is significantly greater than males and more adults are observed than juveniles [1,3] (Table 3).

Green sea turtles are notably more abundant in Lhaviyani Atoll as, unlike most other atolls, it has numerous sea grass beds that serve as feeding grounds for the species. Lhaviyani Atoll hosts significantly more adult green turtles than juveniles and may be an important aggregating hotspot for adults of this species [3].

1.2 Other biological data

Hatching success varies between 33.7 to 96.8% and average incubation time before hatching is 56.2 days [3].

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Poaching of eggs and turtles from nesting sites is a common occurrence in the Maldives. In just an 11-month period in 2015, eggs from 37 nests and 14 green sea turtles were reportedly poached [1]. Eggs and turtles are usually taken for personal consumption as food. Hunting of turtles seem to be more opportunistic than through organised effort. Hatchlings are taken from nests and kept as pets, often

in poor conditions which negatively impacts development and decreases their chances of survival once released in to the wild [1] (Table 2).

Coastal development and activity are major threats in inhabited islands. Sea walls constructed to prevent erosion might obstruct a turtle's path to their nesting beach, forcing them to find another beach to lay their eggs. Dumping of waste on the beach is a common practice in many islands, this causes pollutants and other debris to accumulate on the beach leading to the degradation of the condition of the beach. Light pollution due to night time activity can disrupt turtle nesting and can confuse hatchlings as well [1] (Table 2).

Severe erosion of beaches, a common issue in the Maldives, can reduce the length of beach stretch available for nesting activity. Increasing frequency of sea swells and storm generated waves over the last decade and unsustainable development on the coast have been identified as potential causes for erosion [17]

1.3.2 Marine areas

Removal of sea grass beds is a common practice especially in resorts as they are considered to be unsightly. Poaching of turtles from foraging grounds is reported but is not as common as poaching from nesting sites (Table 2).

Bycatch of turtles is reported in long line fisheries but, as the species of the turtles caught are not reported, the number of green sea turtles caught cannot be estimated. Approximately 90% of turtles caught as bycatch are released with no damage. It is unknown why such a large number of turtles were caught in 2016 compared to other years.

Table 1. Number of turtles caught as bycatch in long line fisheries 2014-2017 [4][5]

Year	Number of vessels	Reported annual bycatch
2014	71	24
2015	28	53
2016	42	424
2017	34	56

Figure 1. Fate of turtles caught in long line fisheries (2014-2017) [4].



More than 700 stranding have been documented since 2010, with green sea turtles making up approximately 3% of the turtles found stranded [PS]. Stranded green sea turtles have been found entangled in ghost nets and other debris, with injuries from boat strikes, and with injuries from unknown causes [6].

1.4 Conservation

Turtles are protected under national legislature (Environmental Protection and Preservation Act 4/93) since 2016. Poaching of turtles and turtle eggs, as well as trade of turtles and turtle parts is prohibited under this legislature. Maldives has also been a signatory to the CITES convention since 2012. The worldwide enforcement of the CITES convention may have been an important factor which contributed to the decline of the Maldivian turtle shell industry. Maldives is also a signatory to the Indian Ocean and South-East Asian Memorandum of Understanding on Marine Turtles (IOSEA-MoU) (Table 4).

A moratorium on the catching and killing of turtles was introduced in 1995 and was in effect until 2015. Poaching of eggs was not banned under this moratorium. In 2006, poaching of turtle eggs was banned from 14 islands of the Maldives. Eggs could legally be poached from other islands until 2016.

Although turtles and their eggs are legally protected, poaching of turtles and eggs is still quite common in the country. Better enforcement of existing legislature through the development of a management plan can better help conserve turtles. It is also recommended that nesting and foraging sites of significance be made protected areas. More needs to be done to raise awareness amongst locals of the importance of turtles to the marine ecosystem as well as their importance for the ecotourism industry.

1.5 Research

Limited information is available in general but especially lacking in the following areas: genetic stocks and migratory patterns.

2 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, Southwest Indian Ocean (EI-SWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Hawksbill turtles are confirmed to nest in 7 islands of the Maldives. Five of these islands are located in Baa atoll. Hawksbill nesting activity is quite low throughout the country. However, it is likely that the number of islands where nesting activity occur is greater than the recorded number. Long term research at nesting sites have not been carried out so trends at nesting sites is not known (Fig. 3; Table 2, 3).

2.1.2 Marine areas

Juvenile and adult hawksbill turtles can be observed all throughout the archipelago. Hawksbill turtles are the most frequently sighted species of turtle in most atolls [1,3,11] although this may be due to data mainly being obtained from coral reef surveys, the preferred habitat of hawksbill turtles (Table 2).

Maldivian waters are home to at least 3200 hawksbill turtles [12], and an ongoing capture-mark-recapture study indicates that the population size of hawksbill turtles at foraging grounds is increasing [15]. The number of adult females is significantly greater than males [1.3] and the number of juvenile hawksbill turtles observed is greater than the number of adults [1,3]. The atoll of Baa may be a particularly important habitat for juveniles [3] (Table 2).

2.2 Other biological data

None available.

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Poaching of hatchlings for pet trade. Destruction and degradation of nesting sites due to coastal development, erosion and pollution [1] (Table 2).

2.3.2 Marine areas

Coastal development especially the development of water villas and other such structures on reefs is a major threat to Hawksbill turtles in Maldives. These activities can destroy stretches of coral reef habitats or can make them uninhabitable for turtles due to sedimentation and increased disturbances (Table 2).

Also see the description of captures by long line fisheries in section 1.3.2

2.4 Conservation

See section 1.4.

2.5 Research

Limited information is available in general but especially lacking in the following areas: genetic stocks and migratory patterns.

3 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, West Indian Ocean (LO-WIO)

3.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

3.1.1 Nesting sites

No significant nesting of this species in the country. Only 3 nesting events are recorded to date. All of these were recorded in late 2018 to early 2019. A nest was observed on the island of Hanimaadhoo in Haa Dhaalu atoll, a false nesting event at Coco Palm Dhunikolhu in Baa atoll and two hatchlings were found on the island of Muravandhoo in Raa atoll (Fig. 3; Table 2, 3).

3.1.2 Marine areas

Olive Ridleys are rarely spotted in Maldivian waters but they make up most of the turtles entangled in ghost nets. 129 Olive Ridley turtles found entangled between 1988 and 2014 [6]. An additional 620 entangled or stranded Olive Ridley turtles were found between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2018 [PS] (Table 2).

3.2 Other biological data

None available.

3.3 Threats

3.3.1 Nesting sites

Unknown**.**

3.3.2 Marine areas

Discarded fishing nets are a major threat to olive ridley turtles in Maldivian waters and the greater Indian Ocean. Flipper amputation due to entanglement in derelict fishing nets is commonly reported. The use of fishing nets in Maldives is extremely rare and it is thought that the ghost nets and entangled turtles in Maldivian waters originate from other South Asian and South East Asian countries [6].

3.4 Conservation

See section 1.4.

3.5 Research

Genetics- Tissue samples were taken from 45 *L. olivacea* found entangled in ghost nets in the Maldives between 2017 and 2018. The mtDNA control region was compared with nesting females along Masirah Island, Oman (n=33) and available published haplotypes from nesting females in India [12] and Sri Lanka [13] and Australia [14]. Mixed stock analyses will be performed to find which population entangled *L. olivacea* drifting into the Maldives belong too [PS]

Predictive models- Random forest classifiers are being built to better estimate which fishing net characteristics are most problematic to *L. olivacea* and estimate total number of unseen turtles entangled in all nets [PS].

Table 2. Key biological information for sea turtles in the Maldives

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-SWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref#
Occurrence						
Nesting sites	Y	1	Y	1	Y	PS
Pelagic foraging grounds	JA	1	JA	1	n/a	
Benthic foraging grounds	JA	1	JA	1	n/a	
Key biological data						
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	305 (2015)	1	n/a		1	PS
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	100s to 1000s	PS	10s	PS	n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	12	PS	n/a		0	
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	24	PS	4	PS	2	PS
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Total length of nesting sites (km)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting females / yr	103	1	n/a		1	PS

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-SWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref#
Nests / female season (N)	5	PS	n/a		n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	0.87 (704)-0.93 (668)	1,3	0.83(1293)-0.95 (714)	1,3	n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		63 SCL	PS	n/a	
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Trends						
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	Dec. (1984-2015)	1,2	n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		Inc. (2012-2019)	PS	n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	1018 (1984)	2	n/a		n/a	

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-SWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref#
Published studies						
Growth rates	N		N		N	
Genetics	N		N		N	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N		N		N	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	Y	8	N		Y	10
Survival rates	Y	PS	Y	PS	N	
Population dynamics	Y	PS	Y	PS	N	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N		N	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	Y	PS	Y	PS	N	
Threats						
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y (PLL)		n/a		N	
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	n/a		n/a		N	
Bycatch: quantified?	N		N		41 (OTH)	6
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	1,2	n/a		n/a	

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-SWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref#
Take. Egg poaching	Y	1,2	n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	Y	1	n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	1	n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	Y	PS	n/a		Y	PS
Egg predation	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	Y	6,7	n/a		Y	6,7
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		Y	PS
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other	Y	PS	N		n/a	
Pet Trade	Y	PS	Y	PS	n/a	

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-SWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref#
Long-term projects (>5yrs)						
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	Y (2012-2019)	PS	Y (7: 2012-2019)	PS	N	
Number of index nesting sites	0		0		N	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	Y (7: 2012-2019)	PS	Y (7: 2012-2019)	PS	N	
Conservation						
Protection under national law	Y	16	Y	16	Y	16
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	100%	16	100%	16	100%	16
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	0		0		0	
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	4	4	4	4	4	4
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	0		0		0	
Hatcheries	0		0		0	
Head-starting	2		2		2	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	U		U		U	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	Y	4	Y	4	Y	4

	Chelonia mydas		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-SWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref#
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	0		0		0	
Other	N		N		N	

Table 3. Nesting beaches in Maldives

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point Lat Lon		Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
EI-NWIO										
Anhenfushi (Baa)	**N**	1 (2015)						10		
Baros (Kaafu)	N	2 (2015)		4.284812	73.42724			PS		
Emboodhoo (Baa)	N	1 (2013-2015)		5.0634	72.8561			PS		
Finolhu (Baa)	N	3 (2018)	32 (2018)	5.012335	72.958938			PS		
Medufinolhu (Baa)	N	1 (2013-2018)	25 (2017-18)	5.016184	72.966779			PS		
Ufuligiri (Baa)	N	4 (2016-2017)		5.016839	72.97005			PS		
Voavah (Baa)	N	1 (2013)		5.3166	73.07805			PS		

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point Lat	Central point Lon	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
CM-NWIO										
Anhenfushi (Baa)	N	3 (2015)						10		
Alidhuffarufinolhu (Haa Dhaalu)	N	1 (2018)		6.8609	73.1048			PS		
Boduhithi (Kaafu)	N	3 (2015)		4.430296	73.384685			1		
Cocoa (Kaafu)	N	2 (2018)						PS		
Dhanbidhoo (Laamu)	N	1 (1984)		2.095416	73.546141			2		
Dhangethi (Alif Dhaalu)	N	3 (1984)		3.607849	72.955516			2		
Dhunikolhu (Baa)	N	14 (2013-2015)	25 (2015)	5.039783	72.881977			PS		
Emboodhoo (Baa)	N	1 (2013-2015)		5.0634	72.8561			PS		
Eydhafushi (Baa)	N	1 (1984)		5.103462	73.070084			2		
Finolhu (Baa)	N	22 (2018)	32 (2018)					PS		

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point Lat	Lon	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
Fenfushi (Raa)	N	10 (2014-2015)						10		
Funaddoo (Thaa)	N	18 (2015)		5.012335	72.958938			1		
Gaadhoo (Laamu)	N	61 (2018)		2.198367	73.128615			PS		
Gangehi (Alif Alif)	N	2 (2018)		1.821844	73.452293			PS		
Hanimaadhoo (Haa Dhaalu)	N	1 (2018)								
Hithadhoo (Laamu)	N	2 (1984)		6.7431	73.1659			2		
Hukurelhi (Kaafu)	N	24 (1984)		1.797552	73.388456			2		
Hurasdhoo (Alif Dhaalu)	N	4 (1984)						2		
Isdhoo (Laamu)	N	20 (1984)		3.666932	72.77466			2		
Kandoodhoo (Thaa)	N	18 (2015)		2.124596	73.581127			1		
Kani (Kaafu)	N	1 (1984)		2.321933	72.917165			2		

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point Lat	Lon	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
Kanimeedhoo (Thaa)	N	98 (2015)		4.343866	73.608452			1		
Kanufushigaathu finolhu (Baa)	N	1 (2017)		2.195721	73.111564			PS		
Kashidhoo (Baa)	N	2 (2013)		5.013259	72.961517			PS		
Kuda Bandos (Kaafu)	N	1 (1984)						2		
Kuredu (Lhaviyani)	N	9 (2018)		4.263987	73.499994			PS		
Landaa Giravaru (Baa)	N	1 (2013)		5.5496	73.4682			PS		
Maadhoo (Baa)	N	296 (1984)		5.2859	73.10826			2		
Maarikilu (Baa)	N	6 (2013)						9		
Medufinolhu (Baa)	N	16 (2013-2018)	25 (2017-18)	5.337	72.9514			PS		
Milaidhoo (Baa)	N	1 (2018)		5.016184	72.966779			PS		

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point Lat	Lon	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
Olhuveli (Laamu)	N	10 (2011-2018)						PS		
Rannaalhi (Kaafu)	N	3 (1984)		1.81504	73.40717			2		
Reethi Rah (Kaafu)	N	4 (2017)	11 (2017)	3.903401	73.357486			PS		
Velaa (Noonu)	N	6 (2015)						1		
Vilingili (Kaafu)	N	2 (1984)		5.831083	73.20963			2		
LO-NWIO										
Hanimaadhoo (Haa Dhaalu)	N	1 (2018)		6.7431	73.1659			PS		
Muravandhoo (Raa)	N	1 (2018)		5.6076	72.9521			PS		
Non species specific data										
Burehifasdhoo (Noonu)	N	6 (2010)	N	5.965803	73.368214			9		
Faadhoo (Lhaviyani)	N	26 (2010)	N	5.431721	73.63064			9		

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point Lat	Lon	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
Fainu (Raa)	N	27 (2010)	N	5.463993	73.034147			9		
Goidhoo (Baa)	N	25 (2010)	N	4.873322	72.99762			9		
Hulhudhuffaaru (Raa)	N	10 (2010)	N	5.764955	73.012015			9		
Ifuru (Raa)	N	15 (2010)	N	5.707661	73.024438			9		
Karimmavattaru (Noonu)	N	48 (2010)	N	5.670712	73.387782			9		
Kunfunadhoo (Baa)	N	420 (1984)	N	5.1115555	73.078833			1		
Kunfunadhoo (Baa)	N	5 (2010)	N	5.1115555	73.078833			9		
Kuramaadhoo (Noonu)	N	10 (2010)	N	5.873508	73.143754			9		
Kurendhoo (Lhaviyani)	N	1 (2010)	N	5.334024	73.463463			9		
Maafilaafushi (Lhaviyani)	N	26 (2010)	N	5.362518	73.415696			9		
Madhiriguraidhoo (Lhaviyani)	N	3 (2010)	N	5.469646	73.559641			9		

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point Lat	Lon	Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
Madhirivaadhoo (Baa)	N	16 (2010)	N	5.268994	73.161184			9		
Maduvvari (Lhaviyani)	N	106 (2010)	N	5.285021	73.502144			9		
Medhafushi (Noonu)	N	4 (2010)	N	5.744538	73.324161			9		
Meedhupparu (Raa)	N	5 (2010)	N	5.45621	72.980186			9		
Olhugiri (Baa)	N	58 (2010)	N	5.001348	72.906105			9		
Undoodhoo (Baa)	N	13 (2010)	N	5.274509	73.042485			9		

Table 4. International conventions signed by Maldives in relation to marine turtle conservation

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Relevance to sea turtles
CITES	Y	Y	Y	ALL	Resolution Appendix 1
CBD	Y	Y	Y	ALL	Habitat protection
IOSEA-MOU	Y	Y	Y	ALL	
Northern Indian Ocean Marine Sea Turtle Task Force	Y	Y	Y	ALL	
IOTC	Y	Y	Y	ALL	Resolution 12/04

Figure 2. Green sea turtle nesting sites in the Maldives.



Figure 3. Nesting sites for hawksbill (orange) and olive ridley sea turtles (green) in the Maldives.

References

[1] Ali, K. & Shimal, M. (2016) Review of the status of marine turtles in the Maldives. Marine Research Centre, Ministry of Fisheries and Agriculture, Malé, Rep. of Maldives, 27 pp.

[2] Frazier, J.G., Salas, S. & Didi, N.T.H. (1984) Marine turtles in the Maldives Archipelago. In: Ministry of Fisheries and Agriculture of Fisheries Technical Report. Marine Research Bulletin 4: 5-42.

[3] Hudgins, J.A., Hudgins, E.J., Ali, K. & Mancini, A. (2017) Citizen science surveys elucidate key foraging and nesting habitat for two endangered marine turtle species within the Republic of Maldives. Herpetology Notes 10: 463-471.

[4] Ahusan, M., Shiham, M.A., Ziyad, A., Shifaz, A, Shimal, M. & Jauharee. R. (2018) Maldives National Report Submitted to the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission Scientific Committee-2018, Ministry of Fisheries and Agriculture, Male', Maldives, 17 pp.

[5] Ahusan, M., Shiham, M.A., Ziyad, A., Ali, K. & Shifaz, M. (2017) Maldives National Report Submitted to the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission Scientific Committee-2018, Ministry of Fisheries and Agriculture, Male', Maldives, 27 pp.

[6] Stelfox, M.R., Hudgins, J.A., Ali, K. & Anderson, R.C. (2015) High mortality of Olive Ridley Turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) in ghost nets in the central Indian Ocean. BOBLME-2015-Ecology-14, pp. 1-23.

[7] Stelfox, M., Hudgins, J. & Sweet, M. (2016) A review of ghost gear entanglement amongst marine mammals, reptiles and elasmobranchs. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 111(1-2): 6-17.

[8] Abdul Rahman, R, Stelfox, M. & Levy, L. (2014) Project Report: Satellite telemetry of green sea turtle juveniles (*Chelonia mydas*) to determine their movements and survivability in the Indian Ocean. 63 pp.

[9] Cesarini, D. & Bernasconi, L. (2010) Terrestrial Ecosystem Monitoring- North Province. Final Report. EPA/MEMP/IDA. Male', Maldives, 392 pp.

[10] Fisher. J. & Stradal, S. (2015) Annual Research Report and Proposal to the Environmental Protection Agency, SEAMARC, 59 pp.

[11] Fisher, J. (2016) Project Update Report. Maldives Sea Turtle Identification Project. SEAMARC, 11 pp.

[12] Shanker, K., Ramadevi, J., Choudhury, B.C., Singh, L. & Aggarwal, R.K. (2004) Phylogeography of olive ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) on the east coast of India: implications for conservation theory. Molecular Ecology, 13(7): 1899-1909.

[13] Bowen, B.W., Clark, A.M., Abreu-Grobois, F.A., Chaves, A., Reichart, H.A. & Ferl, R.J. (1997) Global phylogeography of the ridley sea turtles (*Lepidochelys* spp.) as inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Genetica, 101(3): 179-189.

[14] Jensen, M.P., Limpus, C.J., Whiting, S.D., Guinea, M., Prince, R.I., Dethmers, K.E., Adnyana, I.B.W., Kennett, R. & FitzSimmons, N.N. (2013) Defining olive ridley turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea* management units in Australia and assessing the potential impact of mortality in ghost nets. Endangered Species Research, 21(3): 241-253.

[15] Olive Ridley Project. (2018) Final Report for Photo Permit ID, 8 pp.

[16] MEE. (2017) State of the Environment 2016, Ministry of Environment and Energy, 216 pp.

QATAR

ALan F. Rees

University of Exeter, Penryn, UK

1 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-West Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Eleven nesting sites have been reported in the northeast of the country and offshore islands (Figure 1; Table 2). Two sites have received long-term monitoring efforts and can be considered index sites, Ras Laffan Industrial City (RLIC) and Fuwairit [3,7,11].

Further information on the number of nests etc. is provided in Table 1. There are no clear trends in nest numbers from the two published datasets [6,11]

1.1.2 Marine areas

Areas that can be considered marine habitat for hawksbills in Qatar are depicted in Figure 2. The locations were identified through in-water capture (juveniles and adults) and satellite tracking (adult females) projects [7,10].

1.2 Other biological data

Other biological data on hawksbills in Qatar are presented in Table 1.

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Threats to nesting sites include light pollution and traffic on the beaches. These are indicated in Table 1.

1.3.2 Marine areas

Threats to hawksbills in Qatar include becoming trapped in cooling water intakes at RLIC (Table 1).

1.4 Conservation

Nest protection and conservation measures take place at RLIC and Fuwairit (Table 1). I am unaware of any meaningful conservation efforts taking place at other nesting locations in Qatar. Turtles are protected under CITES in Qatar (Table 3).

1.5 Research

Several older unpublished reports with additional data on turtles in Qatar exist but were not available for this reporting exercise. The data owners are encouraged to make these data available either

through sharing the existing reports, by writing a comprehensive summary report and making it available online, or through publication in a peer reviewed journal.

Knowledge on the recent/current nesting numbers at the previously identified nesting beaches has improved since the previous report with the publication on turtle monitoring at Fuwairit [11], but information on the other nesting sites is lacking.

2 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, North-West Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

There is no known green turtle nesting in Qatar,

2.1.2 Marine areas

Figure 2 and Table 1 indicate the locations where green turtles were captured during a study of turtles in coastal waters [7].

2.2 Other biological data

All biological data on green turtles in Qatar are presented in Table 1 and published by Pilcher et al. [7]

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Not applicable as no nesting.

2.3.2 Marine areas

See Section 1.3.2

2.4 Conservation

To the author's knowledge, no marine protection measured exist for sea turtles in Qatar. However green turtles are covered under CITES (Table 3).

2.5 Research

More research on the presence of juvenile green turtles should be undertaken to determine residency and movement patterns and identify source populations through genetic analysis.

Table 1. Characteristics of nesting marine turtles in Qatar.

	Eretmochelys imbricata		*Chelonia mydas*	
RMU	**EI NWIO**	**Ref #**	**CM NWIO**	**Ref #**
Occurrence				
Nesting sites	Y	1,2,3,4,6	N	1
Pelagic foraging grounds	N/A		N/A	
Benthic foraging grounds	JA	7,10	J	7
Key biological data				
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	179 (2001-2016)	4,11	N/A	
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	100-300	4,11	N/A	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	2	3,4,8	N/A	
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	10	4	N/A	
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	152 (2001-2009 - excl 2008) Ras Laffan, 27 (2010-2016) Fuwairit	6,11	N/A	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	154 (2003)	4	N/A	
Total length of nesting sites (km)	N/A		N/A	
Nesting females / yr	N/A		N/A	
Nests / female season (N)	1.5 (27)	11	N/A	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	N/A		N/A	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	N/A		N/A	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	20% (74)	7	70% (30)	7
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	N/A		N/A	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	65.0 CCL	3,11	N/A	
Age at maturity (yrs)	N/A		N/A	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	82 (40) / 78.9 SD17.1 (?)	3 / 11	N/A	
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	HS 73% (22)	3	N/A	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	N/A		N/A	

	Eretmochelys imbricata		*Chelonia mydas*	
RMU	**EI NWIO**	**Ref #**	**CM NWIO**	**Ref #**
Trends				
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	Stable (2001-2009 and 2010-2016)	6 / 11	N/A	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	N/A		N/A	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	154 (2003)	4	N/A	
Published studies				
Growth rates	N		N	
Genetics	N		N	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N		N	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	Y	8,9,10	N	
Survival rates	N		N	
Population dynamics	N		N	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	Y	11	N	
Threats				
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y (not stipulated)	7	Y (not stipulated)	7
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	N/A		N/A	
Bycatch: quantified?	N		N	
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	N		N	
Take. Egg poaching	N		N/A	
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	Y	5	N/A	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	5	N/A	
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	N/A		N/A	
Egg predation	Y	5	N/A	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	N/A		N/A	
Pathogens	N/A		N/A	
Climate change	Y	7	Y	7
Foraging habitat degradation	Y	7	Y	7

	Eretmochelys imbricata		*Chelonia mydas*	
RMU	**EI NWIO**	**Ref #**	**CM NWIO**	**Ref #**
Other	Y	7	Y	7
Long-term projects (>5yrs)				
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	14 (2001-2015) & 7 (2010-2016)	6,7,11	N/A	
Number of index nesting sites	2	6,11	N/A	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	N/A		N/A	
Conservation				
Protection under national law	Y	7	Y	7
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	2 (72%)	6,7,11	N/A	
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	n/a		N/A	
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	2 (2001-present (except 2008))	6,7,11	N/A	
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	N		N/A	
Hatcheries	1	11	N/A	
Head-starting	N		N/A	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	N		N	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	N		N	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	N		N	
Other	N		N	

Table 2. Marine turtle nesting beaches in Qatar.

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point		Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
				Long	Lat					
EI-NWIO										
Ras Laffan	Y	152 (2001-2009, EXCL 2008)	SEE NESTS!	51.5397	25.9262	<14km	100	2,4,6	2	B
Fuwairit	Y	29.4 (2010-2016)		51.3757	26.0312	2.4	100	3,4,11	1	B
Ras Rakan	N	25 (2003)		51.2312	26.1798	2.5	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Al Ghariya	N	13 (2003)		51.3603	26.1001	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
SharaAwh Island	**N**	9 (2002-2003)		52.2321	25.0303	0.5	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Ras Marbakh	N	9 (2003)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Al Mafjar	N	5 (2003)		51.3125	26.1317	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Umm Tays	N	4 (2003)		51.2827	26.1499	5.2	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Al Maronah	N	2 (2003)		51.4002	25.9842	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Uraydah	N	2 (2003)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Al Jassasiyah	N	1 (2003)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Al Huwaylah	N	1 (2003)		51.5108	25.9615	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Al Dakerah	N	0 (2003)		51.5962	25.7852	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Al Khor	N	0 (2003)		51.5874	25.7047	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Halul	N	0 (2003)		52.4165	25.6765	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Dayinah	N	'few' historic		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	2	?

Table 3. International conventions signed by Qatar in relation to marine turtle conservation.

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles
CITES	Y	Y		CM, EI		

Table 4. Marine turtle projects and databases in Qatar.

#	RMU	Country	Region / Location	Project Name or descriptive title	Key words	Start date	End date	Leading organisation	Public/Private	Collaboration with	Reports / Information material	Current Sponsors
T4.1	EI-NWIO	Qatar	Ras Laffan Industrial City, Fuwairit	Tracking nesting hawksbills	Nesting, tracking, migration	2010	2012	WWF-EWS	Private	MRF / Qatar Uni	8	* (see below)

Ctd.

Primary Contact (name and Email)	Other Contacts (name and Email)	Database available	Name of Database	Names of sites included (matching Table B, if appropriate)	Beginning of the time series	End of the time series	Track information	Nest information	Flipper tagging	Tags in STTI-ACCSTR?	PIT tagging	Remote tracking	Ref #
Marina Antonopoulou <mantonopoulou@enwwf.ae>	Nicolas J. Pilcher <npilcher@mrf-asia.org>	N	-	Fuwairit Ras Laffan	2010	2016	N	N	N	N	N	Y	9,10

*7Days, Abu Dhabi Urban Planning Council, Bridgestone, CASP, College of the North Atlantic - Qatar, Deutsche Bank, Dubai Electricity & Water Authority, Dubai Festival City, Emirates Palace, Environment & Protected Areas Authority - Sharjah, Environment Agency – Abu Dhabi, Fairmont, Géant, Gulftainer, HSBC, Intercontinental - Dubai Festival City, Jebel Ali Golf Resort & Spa, Jumeirah at Etihad Towers, Linklaters, Momentum Logistics, Mubadala, Murjan Marinas, Nokia, Sheikha Salama bint Hamdan Al Nahyan Foundation, The Club, TimeOut Dubai, and the Young Presidents Organisation

Figure 1. Marine turtle nesting areas of Qatar.
(reproduced from reference 4)



Figure 2. Marine areas in Qatar.

a) reproduced from reference 7. Hatched coastal areas are locations where turtles were caught. b&c) reproduced from reference 10. Black dots represent repeated locations of adult female hawksbills tracked from several nesting areas in the Gulf.

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Ross JP, Barwani MA (1982) Review of sea turtles in the Arabian Region. In: Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles. Bjorndal KA (Ed). Smithsonian Institution Press. Pp. 373-383.
2	Tayab MR, Quiton P (2003) Marine turtle conservation initiatives at Ras Laffan Industrial City, Qatar (Arabian Gulf). Marine Turtle Newsl 99: 14-15.
3	Pilcher NJ (2006) Status of Sea Turtles in Qatar. IEM Tech Report 81pp.
4	Al-Ansi MA, Al-Khayat JA (2008) Marine turtles in the state of Qatar. NCCAH, Doha, Qatar. 156pp.
5	Ficetola GF (2008) Impacts of Human Activities and Predators on the Nest Success of the Hawksbill Turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, in the Arabian Gulf. Chelon Conserv Biol 7(2): 255-257.
6	All-Ansi MA (2010) Efforts in the State of Qatar to conserve and monitor endangered marine turtles. E010. p85.
7	Pilcher NJ, Al-Maslamani I, Williams J, Gasang R, Chikhi A (2015) Population structure of marine turtles in coastal waters of Qatar. Endang Spec Res 28: 163-174.
8	EWS-WWF, 2015. Marine Turtle Conservation Project, Final Scientific Report. EWS-WWF, Abu Dhabi, UAE. 114pp.
9	Pilcher NJ, Perry L, Antonopoulou M, Abdel-Moati MA, Al Abdessalaam TZ, Albeldawi M, Al Ansi M, Al-Mohannadi SF, Baldwin R, Chikhi A, Das HS, Hamza S, Kerr OJ, Al Kiyumi A, Mobaraki A, Al Suwaidi HS, Al Suwaidi AS, Sawaf M, Tourenq C, Williams J, Willson A (2014) Short-term behavioural responses to thermal stress by hawksbill turtles in the Arabian region. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 457:1 90-198.
10	Pilcher NJ, Antonopoulou M, Perry L, Abdel-Moati MA, Al Abdessalaam TZ, Albeldawi M, Al Ansi M, Al-Mohannadi SF, Al Zahlawi N, Baldwin R, Chikhi A, Das HS, Hamza S, Kerr OJ, Al Kiyumi A, Mobaraki A, Al Suwaidi HS, Al Suweidi AS, Sawaf M, Tourenq C, Williams J, Willson A (2014) Identification of Important Sea Turtle Areas (ITAs) for hawksbill turtles in the Arabian Region. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 460: 89-99.
11	Chatting M, Smyth D, Al-Maslamani I, Obbard J, Al-Ansi M, Hamza S, et al. (2018) Nesting ecology of hawksbill turtles, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, in an extreme environmental setting. PLoS ONE 13(9): e0203257. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203257

SAUDI ARABIA

Jeff D. Miller

IOSEA Advisory Committee, USA

1 *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-West Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

In Saudi Arabia, hawksbill turtles nest on islands in both the Red Sea and Arabian (Persian) Gulf [13, 14, 21]. There is only minor nesting on the mainland.

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Red Sea: Nesting by hawksbill turtles in the Red Sea region [5, 6, 8, 13, 35] is clustered in the northern section [9, 12, 21, 34] and the southern section [9, 21]with a very low-density nesting occurring in the middle portion [21] (Figure 1, Table 1). During an aerial survey in 1984, Ormond *et al*. [9] identified 42 locations (mostly on islands) where nesting occurred (Table 2). The highest density nesting (>50 tracks) occurred at Maghabiya, Bargan and Shusha Islands with > 25 tracks recorded at an additional 10 sites (Table 2.). In 1987, Miller [21] surveyed the same area of the coast and near-shore islands. The surveys agreed that diffuse low-density nesting hawksbill turtles occurred along the length of the Saudi portion of the Red Sea. Pilcher and Al Merghani [34] did not report the same level of nesting observed during their aerial survey but the general pattern was confirmed. In interpreting the numbers of turtles and the distribution of nesting, it must be remembered that surveys were not conducted on exactly the same flight paths or at exactly the same time of year.

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: In the Arabian (Persian) Gulf [23, 25, 26], nesting occurs mainly on the offshore islands, with recently found low-density nesting on the adjacent mainland. Aerial and beach surveys along the Gulf coast and offshore islands revealed that nesting occurred only on the offshore islands in 1986 [21, 30, 32] (Figure 2). Coastal surveys to the north of Al Khobar yielded no tracks or other signs that indicated nesting with the exception of one hawksbill track and one green turtle track at Ras Tannurah beach on 5 May 1987 and 13 May 1987, respectively [21]. This site had been reported to host very low-density nesting by Gasperitti (pers. comm.) [21] and Basson *et al*. [4]. However, no further reports of nesting were made until 2010 when tracks were found on the beach again. No nesting sites were found along the coast or on any of the islands in the Gulf of Salwa [21].

Since the initial intensive field work between 1986 and 1997 [2], few new data have been published concerning the numbers of nesting turtles on the coast of the Red Sea and offshore islands of the Arabian (Persian) Gulf. The Saudi Wildlife Authority has been conducting census studies on Karan and Jana Islands for more than a decade, but the information has not been published. This situation precludes making any comment concerning changes or trends in the numbers of nesting turtles or more general comment about their populations.

1.1.2 Marine areas

Red Sea: In Saudi Arabia hawksbill turtles utilise the shallow ribbon-fringing reef complexes along the entire length of the Red Sea from the Gulf of Aqaba to the boarder with Yemen. Aerial surveys along the Red Sea coast and islands show several areas are important resident areas for turtles (Figure 3); the major areas are (1) in the far northern section (28° 30' N to 27° 30' N), (2) in the Al Wejh to Yanbu area (25° 30' N to 23° 30' N), and (3) from Al Lith to south of Gizan (20° 30' N to 19° 30' N). At least small numbers of hawksbill turtles reside along the length of the Red Sea reef complex and coast, but aggregations may be limited by the foraging habitat.

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: The western Arabian (Persian) Gulf hosts reef complexes around the offshore islands and scattered patches of reefs [20]. Because no systematic survey has been conducted to determine the distribution of the patch reefs nor the turtles associated with them, it is presumed that hawksbill turtles utilize available, appropriate habitat in the region.

1.2 Other biological data

Al Merghani *et al*. [2] summarized the available data on the morphometrics and other biological data of hawksbill turtles nesting on the offshore islands of the western Arabian (Persian) Gulf (Table 1, 5)**.** Most of the existing (published) data are greater than 20 years old.

Records from the British Museum (Natural History) list three skulls of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*, collected from Ras Gasra, Ras al Qarain, and Gau village (east coast of Bahrain by M.D. Gallagher in 1970) [13]. These records indicate that loggerhead turtles occur in the Arabian (Persian) Gulf although they have not been recorded to nest in the region. Loggerhead turtles nest in large numbers on Masirah Island in Oman [29]. Recent records of marine turtle carcasses stranded in Bahrain included juvenile and adult sized green turtles and hawksbill turtles as well as adult sized Olive Ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) [1]. The proximity of Bahrain and Saudi Arabia, the presence of these species and their sizes, together with the season of their stranding, suggest that resident populations probably occur in Saudi Arabia and are widespread in the Gulf.

Genetic sampling of nesting and foraging populations in the northwest Indian Ocean is not complete [3, 11]. Hawksbill turtles are resident in the Arabian (Persian) Gulf and the Red Sea which fall into the RMU of the North West Indian Ocean [3, 11].

1.3 Threats

Before 1989 coastal use, landfilling, dredging, water and air pollution, solid waste production, fishing practices, impact of agricultural practices, and recreation and tourism were identified as issues impacting the Red Sea and the Arabian (Persian) Gulf coastal and marine areas [23, 24]. Unfortunately, the impact of most of the threats remains unquantified. Al Merghani *et al*. [2] commented that "effective management must address both the causes of the pollution and the impacts, including monitoring the situation through time". PERSGA [10] reviewed the state of the marine environment in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. A recent review by Mancini *et al*. [18] presented synoptic information on marine based threats to the populations in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (Table 1).

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Red Sea: In their review of the status of marine turtles in the Red Sea, Mancini *et al*. [18] identified general threats to the nesting populations. There is a need for quantification of threats so the appropriate conservation management action can be initiated. It should be noted that marine turtle nesting on the islands and along the coast of the Red Sea is wide-spread and occurs in low density. These are remote areas that are not monitored routinely.

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: There is a continuing risk from oil spills (even though the response capability has improved and current practices have reduced the risk) ending with oil washing onto the offshore island beaches that are used for nesting [21]. Even though the beaches of the islands were cleaned following the 1991 Gulf war, they continue to accumulate flotsam and jetsam. Plastic and wood debris litter the island beaches to the extent that turtle nesting can be disrupted and that hatchlings may be blocked from reaching the water. The layering of tar on the beach rock has the potential to impede hatchlings leaving the beach [32]. Pilcher [32] noted that Saudi Arabian fishermen typically do not

collect turtle eggs for consumption but that fishermen of other nationalities sometimes do. Because access to the islands by fishermen has been restricted by the Saudi Coast Guard in recent years the practice of taking turtle eggs has likely been reduced but should be quantified. The impact of human activities (e.g., accumulation of debris on the islands, oil spills) is likely to disrupt nesting success and, thereby, reduce hatchling production. In contrast, because of the large number of turtles nesting on the offshore islands, the loss of individual turtles from the population is likely to go unnoticed for a long time unless monitoring of the population is done rigorously.

1.3.2 Marine areas

Red Sea: Although the potential for an oil spill through an accident has been reduced and ballast discharge is not allowed, some, albeit unquantified, risk remains from oil spills. [18] The potential risk and impact should be quantified. An unquantified number of turtles were caught in trawler operations in the Farasan archipelago in the late 1980s. [21] The impact of fisheries operations on marine turtles needs to be assessed. In addition, there is a potential risk to turtles and their habitat by the transshipment of oil through the Res Sea and from ocean borne debris washing onto nesting sites [18].

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: As in the Red Sea, the potential for an oil spill has been reduced in the Gulf. However, there is a continuing need to monitor the impact of oil on near-shore foraging habitat and the animals that utilize these areas. Degradation of the seagrass beds and other shallow habitats can disrupt the interlinked coastal ecosystems, including marine turtles and fisheries. In 1989, Miller [21] commented that the use of trawler efficiency devises (TEDs) would reduce the bycatch of turtles and other non-target marine animals. Recently, Abdulqader *et al*. [22] estimated that 4,726 turtles (mixed species) were captured per year in nine directed artisanal fisheries (excluding the seven steel hulled shrimp trawlers used in the industrial fishery), among which the artisanal shrimp trawl fishery was responsible for 86.3% of the captures.

1.4 Conservation

Saudi Arabia has developed an action plan for the protection for marine turtles and their habitats [19]. In addition, it participates in several international conventions, regional agreements and has national laws that provide for protection of marine turtles and their habitat, at least indirectly (Table 3). A recent review by Mancini *et al*. [18] presented synoptic information on International Conventions, Regional Organizations, and the National legal framework for management and conservation of marine resources for countries of the Red Sea region, including marine turtles in Saudi Arabia.

1.4.1 International Conventions

Saudi Arabia is a party to several international agreements which deal mostly with protection of the marine environment, such UNCLOS (United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (Table 3) and treaties that that deal with wildlife (i.e., CITES, CBD, CMS) [18, 33, 38].

1.4.2 Regional Organizations

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is participant in two regional organizations that focus on the conservation of the marine environment and marine species: PERSGA and ROPME [7, 18].

In the Red Sea region, PERSGA (Jeddah 1982) focuses on the conservation of marine species and the marine environment, including the Gulf of Aden (Table 3). The signatories of the Jeddah Convention (1982) agreed to contribute to conservation in the region by promoting a rational use of living and non-living resources in the Red Sea. PERSGA has produced several documents to guide the national efforts of the signatory states, including a regional action plan that identifies the priorities for the research and conservation management in the region [28]. In addition, PERSGA has published a

manual of research techniques [16] and many other documents to assist the regional countries with the assessment and management of their marine and coastal resources.

In the Arabian (Persian) Gulf, ROPME [7] conducts and facilitates projects that deal with environmental assessment and management. ROPME sponsors and coordinates regional management efforts and provides technical assistance in the implementation of the Convention. ROPME has developed protocols addressing the critical areas of environmental management, and outreach materials to help its member countries (Table 3).

1.4.3 National legal framework

A number of national decrees and laws regulate marine conservation measures in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia [18] (Table 3) including:

- the Environmental protection Standards Document No. 1401-01 (1402 H);
- the Council of Ministers Decision no. 271 (23.11.1404 that requires the use of best available technology to reduce pollutant emissions (such as cement dust);
- the Rules and Regulations for Saudi Arabian Seaports.

The National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development (NCWCD [now Saudi Wildlife Authority] was established by Royal Decree No. M/22, dated 12/9/1406 to manage protected areas. Saudi Wildlife Authority's main role is to preserve, protect and develop the wildlife within the Kingdom. [17] The Saudi Wildlife Authority is responsible for coordination of different ministries, authorities, and national and international institutions to accomplish these objectives.

The Saudi Wildlife Authority uses ecological and socio-economic criteria for the selection protected areas [17]. These include:

- Representative coverage of all the Kingdom's biotopes.
- Protection of existing populations of key wildlife species.
- Protection of habitats of key biological importance.
- The potential of the site to provide tangible economic benefits to the local people.
- Sites which are of greatest value for environmental education and awareness.
- Recognition of traditional protection by local people.
- An equitable geopolitical spread of protected areas.

Using these criteria, the Saudi Wildlife Authority has identified 47 marine and coastal sites as suitable for proclamation as protected areas. However, few have been declared. Existing marine protected areas along the Red Sea coast include: The Farasan Islands protected area (5408 km^2) that was proclaimed in 1989 and includes marine, coastal, and terrestrial habitats in the reserve. In addition, two relatively small areas: the Yanbu Royal Commission Protected Area (ca. 5 km^2) and Umm al Qamar (ca. 2 km^2) have been established [33]. In the Arabian (Persian) Gulf the marine protected area is the Jubail Wildlife Sanctuary (ca. 2410 km^2) that was established in 1994 but has not been declaired by the Council of Ministers. The Sanctuary encompasses the important nesting areas for sea turtles.

1.5 Research

Although a basic understanding of marine turtle species composition, breeding biology, distribution of nesting habitat, and distribution of foraging habitat has been developed in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia over the years, most of the published information is old and should be updated with specific studies. Monitoring of the populations on the Gulf islands was initiated in 1989 and continued (albeit with gaps) until 1997 [2, 21, 30, 32, 34]. Simply put, essential data are missing from the literature that

would aid present-day management decisions concerning marine turtles and their habitat in the Red Sea and the Arabian (Persian) Gulf. For example, the distribution and use of foraging habitat, the quality of food supply in the foraging area(s), and the determination of the activities that degrade and enhance the quality of the habitat, as well as the genetic composition of foraging area residents and genetic composition of nesting populations should be determined. In addition, some biological characteristics can only be determined through long term studies, including remigration intervals, individual growth rates, hatching success, and the survival of different size classes as they grow toward maturity. The SWA [17] has tracked the movements of hawksbill turtles using satellite transmitters and conducted done basic monitoring of the nesting populations.

1.5.1 Recommendations

Data on the size of nesting turtles, the number of eggs produced per clutch, the number of clutches produced per year and the hatching success of clutches should be reassessed. Long-term studies are needed to estimate growth rates and renesting intervals to detect any changes in the characteristics of the population.

Multiple authors have identified threatening processes and made recommendations for the conservation management of marine turtles and their habitats over the years [2, 12, 13, 18, 20, 21, 29, 30, 32, 34]. These need to be assessed for their current impact potential and to facilitate remediation. In addition, the declaration of the proposed marine protected areas (Table 6) on both sides of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia should be encouraged and their design should include important habitat for marine turtles and other marine species, such as has been done in the Farasan Archipelago. The regulations imposed in protected areas should allow multiple-use while minimizing impact on turtles and other at-risk species (e.g., requiring the use of TEDs), whereas other areas should be under more strict protective management to preserve the marine resources (e.g.,restrictions on the type of gear used and/or restriction of the season/location of fishing effort). This can only be achieved based on current data.

2 *Chelonia mydas*, North-West Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

In Saudi Arabia, green turtles nest [2, 13, 14, 21] on islands in both the Red Sea [5, 6, 8] and Arabian (Persian) Gulf [15, 25, 26]. There is only minor nesting on the mainland. Since the initial intensive field work between 1986 and 1997 [2], few new data have been published concerning the numbers of nesting turtles on the coast of the Red Sea and offshore islands of the Arabian (Persian) Gulf. The Saudi Wildlife Authority has been conducting census studies on Karan and Jana Islands for more than a decade, but the information has not been published. This situation precludes making any comment concerning changes or trends in the numbers of nesting turtles or more general comment about their populations.

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Red Sea: The aerial survey of turtle nesting by Ormond *et al*. [9] in 1982/83 identified green turtle nesting at 29 locations (mostly on islands) from the Gulf of Aqaba to the border with Yemen. In 1987, the same general area of the coast and near-shore islands was surveyed [21]. The largest nesting aggregation for green turtles occurred on the coast at Ras Baridi, just north of Yanbu, where between 50 to 100 green turtles nest between May and September [2, 9]. Other important nesting (n= 25-49 nests/tracks) was reported on Walih, Al Hasani, and Dorish Islands [9]. The two surveys provide a

general over-view of the distribution of the diffuse low-density nesting by green turtles along the Saudi portion of the Red Sea (Figure 1).

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: Aerial and beach surveys along the entire Gulf coast (including the Gulf of Salwa) and offshore islands revealed that nesting occurred only on the offshore islands [21] (Figure 2). The coastal site at Ras Tannurah was reported by Gasperitti [21] and Basson *et al*. [4] to host very low-density nesting but none had been found until recently. In 2010 tracks were reported again.

2.1.2 Marine areas

Red Sea: Green turtles forage in the shallow reef complexes that support sea grass and algae along the length of the Saudi Arabian Red Sea coast from the Gulf of Aqaba to the border with Yemen [18]. The major foraging areas are (1) in the far northern section (28° 30' N to 27° 30' N), (2) in the Al Wejh to Yanbu area (25° 30' N to 23° 30' N), and (3) from Al Lith to south of Gizan (20° 30' N to 19° 30' N) (Figure 3). Pilcher and Al Merghani [34] reported that only Sharm Al Khaur (near Ras Baridi) and the Farasan Archipelago hosted numerous resident turtles. It is likely that at least small numbers of green turtles reside along the length of the Red Sea reef complex and coastal shelf, but numbers may be limited by the distribution of diffuse or poor quality habitat.

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: Three areas in the western Gulf have been identified as foraging areas for resident green turtles (Figure 4). First, the reef systems around the each of the offshore islands, second the Dawhat Abu Ali inside the Berri oil field just north of Jubail and south of Abu Ali, and third, the shallow area north of Abu Ali and south of Safaniyah [21]. Aerial surveys over these areas determined that some turtles were present in these areas year-round. Miller [21] reported seeing 3.3, 2.78 and 0.01 green turtles per minute of survey time in the coastal and offshore areas from the border with Kuwait southward to Khobar, with the least number of turtles being seen closest to the industrialized area. Aerial surveys in the Gulf of Salwa recorded turtles in very low numbers, although sea grasses and algae appeared abundant [21]. Because shallow seagrass and algal habitat occurs along the western Gulf coast it is probable that turtles are distributed throughout the area.

2.2 Other biological data

The available data on the morphometrics and other biological data of green turtles nesting on the offshore islands of the western Arabian (Persian) Gulf and in the vicinity of Ras Baridi has been summarized (Table 1, 5) [2, 21, 30, 31, 34]. Because most of the published data are greater than 20 years old [2], current data are needed to assess the status of the populations. Data on the size of nesting turtles, the number of eggs produced per clutch, the number of clutches produced per year and hatching success should be reassessed. In addition, long-term studies are needed to estimate growth rates and renesting intervals to detect any changes in the populations.

Records from the British Museum (Natural History) list three skulls of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*, collected from Ras Gasra, Ras al Qarain, and Gau village on the eastern coast of Bahrain [13]. The closest loggerhead turtle nesting occurs on Masirah Island in Oman [29]. Recently, marine turtle carcasses of juvenile and adult sized green turtles, hawksbill turtles, and olive ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) were stranded in Bahrain [1]. Based on the proximity of Bahrain and Saudi Arabia, the sizes of these specimens, and the season of their stranding, resident populations occur in Saudi Arabian territory and, possibly, throughout the northern Gulf.

Genetic sampling of nesting and foraging populations in the northwest Indian Ocean is not complete [3, 11]. Hawksbill, green, loggerhead, olive ridley, and leatherback turtles are resident in the Arabian (Persian) Gulf and the Red Sea [18] but their populations have not been adequately defined. Based on samples collected in the 1993, Jensen et al. [37] demonstrated that the green turtles nesting in the Jana/Karan Islands complex are sepatated from other nesting aggregations in the Arabian Gulf and

provided a genetic definition of green turtles nesting at Ras Baridi. However, more sampling and genetic analysis of these populations are needed to define the stocks [3, 11].

2.3 Threats

Beginning before 1989, coastal use, landfilling, dredging, water and air pollution, solid waste production, fishing practices, impact of agricultural practices, and recreation and tourism were identified as issues impacting the Red Sea and the Arabian (Persian) Gulf coastal and marine areas [18, 21, 23, 24, 31]. Unfortunately, the impact of most of the threats remains unquantified [18]. The recent review by Mancini *et al*. [18] summarized information on marine based threats to the populations in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (Table 1) [10]. Although there is some information available [21] about the Arabian (Persian) Gulf, a current assessment is needed.

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Red Sea: In their review of the biology, distribution and general status of marine turtles in the Red Sea, Mancini *et al*. [18] reiterated threats to the populations identified by previous authors. For example, Pilcher and Al Merghani [34] reported that light pollution emanating from the cement factory and the local coastal development was bright enough to disorient hatchlings at some of the beaches they studied. In addition, because he monitored hatching success, Pilcher [31] determined that cement dust was impacting hatchling emergence at Ras Baridi. Clearly there is a need for threats to be identified and quantitated, so the appropriate conservation management action can be initiated.

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: Although the response capability has improved and current practices have reduced the risk, there is a continuing risk from oil spills in the Arabian (Persian) Gulf [21]. Flotsam and jetsam, including plastic and wood debris accumulate on the island beaches to the extent that turtle nesting can be disrupted and that hatchlings may be blocked from reaching the water. The layering of tar on the beach rock has the potential to impede hatchlings leaving the beach [31, 32]. Saudi Arabian fishermen typically do not collect turtle eggs for consumption but that fishermen of other nationalities sometimes do [32]. Because access to the islands by fishermen has been restricted by the Saudi Coast Guard in recent years the practice of taking turtle eggs has likely been reduced but the extent of egg collection should be quantified.

2.3.2 Marine areas

Red Sea: Although the potential for an oil spill through an accident has been reduced and ballast discharge is not allowed, some unquantified risk remains from oil spills. The potential risk and impact should be quantified. Miller [21] reported that an unquantified number of turtles were caught in trawler operations in the Farasan archipelago in the late 1980s. He also noted that there was a potential risk to turtles and their habitat by the transshipment of oil through the Red Sea and from debris in the ocean and along the coast [21]. The impact of fisheries on marine turtle populations should be quantified [18].

Arabian (Persian) Gulf: As in the Red Sea, the potential for an oil spill has been reduced in the Gulf. However, the impact of oil on near-shore foraging habitat and the animals utilize these areas needs to be determined. Degradation of the seagrass beds and other shallow habitats can disrupt the interlinked coastal ecosystems, including marine turtles and fisheries. In 1989, Miller [21] commented that the use of trawler efficiency devises (TEDs) would reduce the bycatch of turtles and other non-target marine animals. Recently, Abdulqader *et al*. [22] estimated that 4726 turtles (of mixed species) were captured per year in nine directed artisanal fisheries (excluding the seven steel hulled shrimp trawlers used in the industrial fishery), among which the artisanal shrimp trawl fishery was responsible

for 86.3% of the captures. Further quantification and working with the industry to reduce the bycatch is warranted.

2.4 Conservation

Saudi Arabia has developed an action plan for the protection for marine turtles and their habitats [19]. In addition, it participates in several international conventions, regional agreements and has national laws that provide for protection of marine turtles and their habitat, at least indirectly (Table 3). A recent review [18] summarized International Conventions, Regional Organizations, and the National legal framework for management and conservation of marine resources, including marine turtles, for countries of the Red Sea region to which Saudi Arabia belongs.

2.4.1 International Conventions

Saudi Arabian is a party to UNCLOS (United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea) and others which deal mostly with protection of the marine environment and to treaties that that deal with wildlife (i.e., CITES, CBD, CMS) (Table 3). [18, 33]

2.4.2 Regional Organizations

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is an active supporter of two regional organizations that focus on the conservation of the marine environment and marine species: PERSGA and ROPME [7, 18, 33].

In the Red Sea region PERSGA (Jeddah 1982) focuses on the conservation of marine species and the marine environment, including the Gulf of Aden (Table 3). The signatories of the Jeddah Convention (1982) agreed to promote conservation in the region by encouraging rational use of living and non-living resources in the Red Sea [27]. PERSGA has produced several documents for the signatory states to guide their national efforts, including a regional action plan that identifies issues and prioritizes actions for research and conservation management in the region [28]. In addition, PERSGA has published a manual of research techniques [16] and other documents with a goal of assisting the signatory countries in the assessment and management of their marine and coastal resources.

In the Arabian (Persian) Gulf, ROPME [7] facilitates projects that deal with environmental assessment and management. ROPME sponsors and coordinates regional management efforts and provides technical assistance in the implementation of the Convention [7]. ROPME has developed protocols addressing the critical areas of environmental management, and outreach materials to help its member countries (Table 3) [7].

2.4.3 National legal framework

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has made a number of national decrees and laws to regulate marine conservation measures (Table 3) including: [18]

- the Environmental protection Standards Document No. 1401-01 (1402 H;
- the Council of Ministers Decision no. 271 (23.11.1404 that requires the use of best available technology to reduce pollutant emissions (such as cement dust);
- the Rules and Regulations for Saudi Arabian Seaports.

The Saudi Wildlife Authority [previously National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development (NCWCD)] mandated by Royal Decree No. M/22, dated 12/9/1406 to manage protected areas. Saudi Wildlife Authority's main role is to preserve, protect and develop the wildlife within the Kingdom. The Saudi Wildlife Authority is responsible for coordination of different ministries, authorities and national and international institutions to accomplish these objectives.

The Saudi Wildlife Authority uses ecological and socio-economic criteria for the selection protected areas [17]. These include:

- Representative coverage of all the Kingdom's biotopes.
- Protection of existing populations of key wildlife species.
- Protection of habitats of key biological importance.
- The potential of the site to provide tangible economic benefits to the local people.
- Sites which are of greatest value for environmental education and awareness.
- Recognition of traditional protection by local people.
- An equitable geopolitical spread of protected areas.

The marine protected areas along the Red Sea coast include: The Farasan Islands protected area (5408 km^2) includes marine, coastal and terrestrial habitats [33]. In addition, two relatively small areas: the Yanbu Royal Commission Protected Area (ca. 5 km^2) and Umm al Qamar (ca. 2 km^2) have been proclaimed [33]. In the Arabian (Persian) Gulf, marine protected areas include: the Jubail Wildlife Sanctuary (ca. 2410 km^2) that was established in 1994 and encompasses the important nesting areas for sea turtles [33]. Unfortunately, it has not been adopted by the Council of Ministers**.**

2.5 Research

Data on the marine turtle species composition, breeding biology, distribution of nesting habitat, and distribution of foraging habitat, as well as some threats, have been developed in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia over the years [2, 14, 21, 22, 30, 32, 34]. Unfortunately, most of the published information is old and should be updated with specific studies. For example, monitoring of the populations on the Gulf islands was initiated in 1989 and continued (albeit with gaps) until 1997 [2]. Essential data required for management decisions concerning marine turtles and their habitat in the Red Sea and the Arabian (Persian) Gulf are missing from the literature. For example, the distribution and use of foraging habitat, the quality of food supply in the foraging area(s), and the determination of the activities that degrade and enhance the quality, as well as the genetic composition of foraging area residents and genetic composition of nesting populations should be determined. In addition, some biological characteristics can only be determined through long term studies, including remigration intervals, individual growth rates, hatching success, and survival of different size classes as they grow toward maturity. Collection and analysis of the necessary data requires a long-term commitment to funding and resources by government agencies and international organizations.

The SWA [17] has done basic monitoring of the nesting populations. In addition, SWA has tracked the movements of green turtles using satellite transmitters. Recently, the Research Institute of King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals (KFUPM-RI) began a series of studies on both species nesting on the Gulf Islands (Table 4). The information being collected involves movements using satellite tracking, stable isotope analysis, and genetic identification of the nesting populations, as well as an assessment of the island habitat in which the eggs incubate.

2.5.1 Recommendations

Multiple authors have identified threatening processes and made recommendations for the conservation management of marine turtles and their habitats over the years [2, 13, 18, 20, 21, 29, 30, 32, 34]. Although recent initiated studies are designed to collect missing information, there is a need to collect and analyze data on the populations. In addition, the declaration of the proposed marine protected areas (Table 6) on both the Red Sea and the Arabian (Persian) Gulf should be encouraged. The boundaries of marine and coastal protected areas should include important habitat for marine turtles and other marine species, such as has been done in the Farasan Archipelago. The

regulations imposed in protected areas should allow multiple-use while minimizing the impact on turtles and other at-risk species; whereas, other areas should be under more strict protective management to preserve the marine resources. This can only be achieved based on current data.

A mosaic of marine parks and protected areas should include both foraging habitat and areas essential for reproduction of multiple species. In addition, baseline environmental conditions and quality need to be established and monitored in seagrass areas and on coral reefs. The results of these research efforts should be integrated into management and conservation efforts. Essential to successful conservation are public education and public involvement in all phases of development so that stakeholders realize the benefits of conservation management in the area. Equally important are enforcement of the regulations and the availability of resources and personnel to conduct surveillance and enforcement activities.

Table 1. Representation and biological characteristics of nesting marine turtle species in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

	Eretmochelys imbricata **Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean**		***Chelonia mydas*** **Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean**		***Eretmochelys imbricata*** **Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean**		***Chelonia mydas*** **Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean**	
RMU	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #
Occurrence								
Nesting sites	Y	2, 32	Y	2, 30	Y	18, 21, 25	Y	2, 18, 34
Pelagic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Benthic foraging grounds	Y	21	Y	21	Y	18, 21	Y	21, 18
Key biological data								
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	≈300 (1985-1997)	2, 21, 32	≈800 (1985-1997)	2, 21, 30	n/a		50-75 (1989-1992)	34
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	≈300	2, 21, 32	≈800 (1985-1997)	2, 21, 30	n/a		50-75	34
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	2	2, 21, 32	4	2, 21, 30	13	21, 9	4	9
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	2	21, 32	1	21	36	9	30	9
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	≈200 (1985-1997)	2, 21, 32	≈800 (1985-1997)	2, 21	n/a		150-200 (1989-1992)	34
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	≈100 (1985-1997)	2, 21, 32	≈200 (1985-1997)	2, 21	0-25 (1975)	9, 18, 21	0-25 (1975 + 1986)	9, 21
Total length of nesting sites (km)	≈ 8	21, 30, 32	≈ 8	21, 30, 32	n/a		≈ 6	21, 34
Nesting females / yr	≈200	2, 32	800	2, 30	n/a		40+	2
Nests / female season (Range) (N)	2.2 (1-3) (42)	32	1.9 => 4 (1-7)	21, 30	n/a		1.9 (1-5)	34

	Eretmochelys imbricata Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean		*Chelonia mydas* Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean		*Eretmochelys imbricata* Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean		*Chelonia mydas* Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean	
RMU	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		2 -5	30	n/a		2.7 (1-4)	34
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm) CCL (cm)	59 (499)	2	73 (2844)	2	n/a		89 (n= 303)	2
Mean adult size, CCL or SCL (cm) CCL (cm)	71.5 ± 3.82	2	98.2 ± 4.56	2	n/a		104.7 ± 5.3	2
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N clutches)	75.2 ± 16.7 (134)	2	88.5 ± 16.62 (91)	2	n/a		103 ± 23.6 (81)	2
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	≈63 (30)	2	84.7 (21)	30	n/a		80 (30-90)	2
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Trends								
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Published studies								
Growth rates	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Genetics	Y	3	Y	37	n/a		Y	37

	Eretmochelys imbricata Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean		*Chelonia mydas* Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean		*Eretmochelys imbricata* Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean		*Chelonia mydas* Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean	
RMU	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #
Stocks defined by genetic markers	Y	3	Y	3	n/a		n/a	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	Y	unpublished	Y	unpublished	Y	unpublished	Y	unpublished
Survival rates	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Population dynamics	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	n/a		Y	PS	n/a		n/a	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Threats								
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y (ST, SN, FP)	22, 36	Y (ST, SN, FP)	22, 36	n/a		Y (ST, SN, FP)	36
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	Y (ST, DN, PLL)	36	Y (ST, DN, PLL)	36	n/a		Y (ST, DN, PLL)	36
Bycatch: quantified?	Y	22	Y	22	n/a		N	36
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	No	2, 21, 32	No	36	n/a		N	36
Take. Egg poaching	Y	36	Y	36	n/a		Y	36
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	No	30, 23	No	30, 23	Y	36	Y	31, 34
Coastal Development. Photo-pollution	Y	PS	Y	PS	Y	36	Y	31, 34
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36
Egg predation	No	21, 32	No	21	n/a		Y	34
Pollution (debris, chemical)	Y	Per Obs	Y	Per Obs	Y	36	Y	36
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Eretmochelys imbricata Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean		*Chelonia mydas* Arabian (Persian) Gulf North-West Indian Ocean		*Eretmochelys imbricata* Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean		*Chelonia mydas* Red Sea North-West Indian Ocean	
RMU	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #
Foraging habitat degradation	Y	36	Y	36	n/a		Y	36
Other	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Long-term projects (>5yrs)								
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	1986-2013*	36	1986-2013	36	n/a		(variable: 1986-2013)	36
Number of index nesting sites	2, Jana I, Karan	36	2, Karan, Jana	36	0		1, Ras Baridi	36
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Conservation								
Protection under national law	Yes	36	Yes	36	Yes	36	Yes	36
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	100% of known	36	100% of known	36	n/a		10% of known	36
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	1 (1986-2013)	36	1 (1986-2013)	36	n/a		1 (1986-2013)	36
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	N	36	N	36	n/a		N	36
Hatcheries	N	36	N	36	N	36	N	36
Head-starting	N	36	N	36	N	36	N	36
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36
Bycatch: onboard best practices	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36
Other	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36

Table 2. Nesting beaches in the green and hawksbill turtle RMUs of Saudi Arabia.

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Western limit		Eastern limit		Central point		Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
				Long	Lat	Long	Lat	Lat	Long					
CM-NWIO Arabian (Persian) Gulf														
Karan Island (=Jazirat Karan)	Y	n/a	n/a					27.71250	49.82500	2.03	Variable	2, 21, 30	2	C
Jana Island (= Jazirat Jana)	Y	n/a	n/a					27.36389	49.90000	1.1	Variable	2, 21, 30	2	C
Kurayn Island (= Jazirat Kurayn)	N	n/a	n/a					27.64583	49.82083	>1	Variable	21	2	A
Jurayd Island (= Jazirat Jurayd)	N	n/a	n/a					27.19167	49.99028	1.8	Variable	21	2	A
Harqus Island (= Jazirat Harqus)	N	n/a	n/a					27.93750	49.68333	>0.6	Variable	21	2	A
Ras Tannurah	N	n/a	n/a					27.44300	49.32300	>0.6	Variable	20	2	A
CM-NWIO Red Sea														
Al Lith to Jizan	N	n/a	n/a					18.25000	41.25000			21	2	A
Al Wajh Banks	N	n/a	n/a					25.75000	36.75000			21	2	A
Al Hala Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.21808	40.72467	< >1		9	2	A
Al Hasani Island	N	n/a	n/a					24.97770	37.08360	< >1		9	2	A
Al Umm Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.27353	40.73385	< >1		9	2	A
Barton	N	n/a	n/a					18.41798	41.21807	< >1		21	2	A
Birema Island (Mashabih)	N	n/a	n/a					25.61561	36.52741	< >1		9	2	A

Birema Island (Mashabih)	N	n/a	n/a					25.61706	36.50349	< >1		9	2	A
Danak Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.51666	40.03333	< >1		9	2	A
Disan Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.94747	41.70651	< >1		21	2	A
Dohar Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.82607	39.89924	< >1		9	2	A
Dorish Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.50696	40.66418	< >1		9	2	A
Farasan Islands Area	N	n/a	n/a					16.88869	41.56289	< >1		21	2	A
Islands of the outer Farasan banks	N	n/a	n/a					16.50000	42.00000	< >1		21	2	A
Khawr Abhur	N	n/a	n/a					21.81670	39.03333	< >1		13	2	A
Libana Island	N	n/a	n/a					24.97720	37.04880	< >1		9	2	A
Mafsubber/Sabiya Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.26409	40.75501	< >1		9	2	A
Maghabiya Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.25194	40.73250	< >1		9	2	A
Malathu Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.74928	39.90855	< >1		9	2	A
Maliha Island	N	n/a	n/a					25.03330	37.11660	< >1		9	2	A
Pelican	N	n/a	n/a					19.27313	40.90285	< >1		21	2	A
Qadd Humais Island	N	n/a	n/a					20.28556	39.48472	< >1		9	2	A
Qalib Island chain	N	n/a	n/a					25.21296	37.17057	< >1		9	2	A
Qishran Islet (1)	N	n/a	n/a					20.27024	39.92209	< >1		9	2	A
Qishran Islet (2)	N	n/a	n/a					20.26646	39.96119	< >1		9	2	A
Qishran Islet (3)	N	n/a	n/a					20.26564	39.98740	< >1		9	2	A
Ras Baridi	Y	n/a	n/a					24.26670	37.53333	> 2		2, 21, 34, 9	2	B, D
Ras Baridi	Y	n/a	n/a					24.28330	37.51660	> 2		2, 21, 34, 9	2	B, D
Ras Baridi	Y	n/a	n/a					24.26674	37.53337	> 2		2, 21, 34, 9	2	B, D
S. cement factory	N	n/a	n/a					24.27378	37.51851	< >1		2, 21, 34, 9	2	A

Sharbain Island (Sharbayn)	N	n/a	n/a					18.71861	40.48889	< >1		9	2	A
Sharm Al Khaur Island	N	n/a	n/a					24.26660	37.65000	< >1		9	2	A
Sharm Mujawwan	N	n/a	n/a					28.16660	34.65000	< >1		9	2	A
Shoreline opposite Rayman Island	N	n/a	n/a					28.05904	35.03275	< >1		9	2	A
Sila Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.65000	35.28330	< >1		9	2	A
Sirrain (Sirrayn) Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.62545	40.67169	< >1		21, 9	2	A
Tidhkar Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.94662	40.61646	< >1		9	2	A
Tiran	N	n/a	n/a					27.93330	34.55000	< >1		21, 39, 35	2	A
Tiran Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.93835	34.54176	< >1		21, 9	2	A
Walih Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.78330	35.16660	< >1		9	2	A
Waqada Island	N	n/a	n/a					25.34020	36.95830	< >1		9	2	A
Wasaliyat Island (S)	N	n/a	n/a					17.78439	41.43237	< >1		21, 9	2	A
EI-NWIO Arabian (Persian) Gulf														
Karan Island (=Jazirat Karan)	Yes	n/a	n/a					27.71250	49.82500	2.03	Variable	2, 21, 30	2	C
Jana Island (= Jazirat Jana)	Yes	n/a	n/a					27.36389	49.90000	1.1	Variable	2, 21, 30	2	C
Kurayn Island (= Jazirat Kurayn)	No	n/a	n/a					27.64583	49.82083	>1	Variable	21	2	A
Jurayd Island (= Jazirat Jurayd)	No	n/a	n/a					27.19167	49.99028	1.8	Variable	21	2	A
Harqus Island (= Jazirat Harqus)	No	n/a	n/a					27.93750	49.68333	>0.6	Variable	21	2	A
Ras Tannurah	No	n/a	n/a					27.44300	49.32300	>0.6	Variable	20	2	A
EI-NWIO Red Sea														
E. Tiran Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.93835	34.54176			9	2	A

N. Tiran Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.93835	34.54176			9	2	A
W. Sinafir Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.93453	34.69686			9	2	A
E. Shusha Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.91660	34.70000			9	2	A
E. Sinafir Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.91660	34.71000			9	2	A
E. Barqan Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.90000	35.06660			9	2	A
S. Barqan Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.90000	35.06660			9	2	A
Sila Island	N	n/a	n/a					27.65000	35.28330			9	2	A
Sharm Antar	N	n/a	n/a					26.60000	36.25000			9	2	A
Central Island	N	n/a	n/a					25.55467	36.86708			9	2	A
Waqada Island	N	n/a	n/a					25.34020	36.95830			9	2	A
Al Hasani Island (S)	N	n/a	n/a					24.97770	37.08360			9	2	A
Maliha Island	N	n/a	n/a					25.03330	37.11660			9	2	A
Qalib Island chain	N	n/a	n/a					25.16038	37.16071			9	2	A
Qalib Island chain	N	n/a	n/a					25.19085	37.17197			9	2	A
Djedda (= Jeddah)	N	n/a	n/a					21.50000	39.20000			13	2	A
Qadd Humais Island (S)	N	n/a	n/a					20.28556	39.48472			9	2	A
Dohar Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.82607	39.89924			9	2	A
Malathu Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.74928	39.90855			9	2	A
Qishran Islet (1)	N	n/a	n/a					20.27024	39.92209			9	2	A
Qishran Islet (2)	N	n/a	n/a					20.26646	39.96119			9	2	A
Qishran Islet (3)	N	n/a	n/a					20.26564	39.98740			9	2	A
Danak Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.51666	40.03333			9	2	A
Sharbain Island (Sharbayn)	N	n/a	n/a					18.71861	40.48889			9	2	A

Tidhkar Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.94662	40.61646			9	2	A
Muska Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.81759	40.63626			9	2	A
Dorish Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.50696	40.66418			9	2	A
Sirrain Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.62545	40.67169			9	2	A
Al Hala Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.21808	40.72467			9	2	A
Maghabiya Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.25194	40.73250			9	2	A
Al Umm Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.27353	40.73385			9	2	A
Mafsubber/Sabiya Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.26409	40.75501			9	2	A
Zuqaq Island (Zukak)	N	n/a	n/a					18.04180	40.80290			9	2	A
Abu Rukaba Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.49732	40.89333			9	2	A
Pelican Island	N	n/a	n/a					19.24312	40.93769			9	2	A
Wasaliyat Island (S)	N	n/a	n/a					17.68450	41.02490			9	2	A
Jebel Sabaya Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.59140	41.06440			9	2	A
Qutu Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.48778	41.06694			9	2	A
Dhahrat Simer Island	N	n/a	n/a					17.83330	41.16670			9	2	A
Hadara Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.42278	41.22583			9	2	A
Barton Island	N	n/a	n/a					18.38211	41.27481			9	2	A
Wasaliyat Island (S)	N	n/a	n/a					17.78439	41.43237			9	2	A
Dhi Dhayaha Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.88950	41.46310			9	2	A
Disan Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.92048	41.69518			9	2	A
Towasela Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.46887	41.87841			9	2	A
Marrak Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.42306	41.90556			9	2	A
Dohrab Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.30458	41.96911			9	2	A

Firan Island	N	n/a	n/a					17.17733	42.20503			9	2	A
Dahert Simer Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.48896	42.29981			21, 9	2	A
Simer Island (Zamhar)	N	n/a	n/a					16.29450	42.32441			9	2	A
Fara fir Island	N	n/a	n/a					16.99164	42.41513			9	2	A

Table 3. International conventions signed by Saudi Arabia in relation to marine turtle conservation. [18, 33, 36]

Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles	Contact
International							
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of wild animals (CITES, Washington, 1973)	1996	Country must adopt national legislation under the framework established by the CITES.		all marine turtles.	regulating international trade of different species of threatened animals. forbids trade of these species in all signatory countries except in exceptional circumstances.		
Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS, Bonn 1979)	1991	This convention is an intergovernmental treaty that becomes legally binding when agreements are signed and included into national legislation.		all marine turtles.	aims to conserve terrestrial, aquatic and avian migratory species throughout their range.		
Indian Ocean South East Asian Memoranda of Understanding (MoU)on Marine turtles	2005		Latest report 2014	all marine turtles.	Some countries bordering the Red Sea are part of the MoU on Marine Turtles and their Habitats of the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia.		
Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, Rio 1992)	2002	internationally binding treaty	Implemented in signatory countries by national committees that have to prepare national action plans and ensure their implementation.	all marine turtles.	aiming at conserving biodiversity in signatory countries, promoting sustainable use of resources and fair sharing of benefits from genetic resources.		Mr. Abdallah R. Al-Tlasat Director Department of Protected Areas Planning Saudi Wildlife Authority Riyadh, Saudi Arabia E-Mail: a_altlassy [at] hotmail.com
United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS, Montego Bay 1972)	1984				aiming at establishing guidelines for the use of marine resources.		

Regional							
ROPME (Arabian Gulf) [Kuwait Regional Convention on the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment from Pollution, 1978] Including 5 Protocols:	1979	Yes	Self Reporting as requested	de facto: All Marine turtles	help sponsor and coordinate regional management efforts; objective: to ensure that development projects and other human activities do not in any way cause damage to the marine environment, jeopardize its living resources or create hazards to human health. Objective: the development of an integrated management approach to the use of the marine environment and the coastal areas in a sustainable way which will allow the achievement of environmental and developmental goals in a harmonious manner.	Protects habitat; reduce threats	Dr. Abdul Basit Sairafi, Assistant to the President, General Authority of Meteorology and Environment Protection, Ministry of Defense and Civil Aviation, P.O.Box 1358, Jeddah 21431
1. Protocol concerning Regional Cooperation in Combating Pollution by Oil and Other Harmful Substances in Cases of Emergency (1978)	1978	Yes					
2. Protocol concerning Marine Pollution resulting from Exploration and Exploitation of the Continental Shelf (1989)	1989	Yes					
3. Protocol for the Protection of the Marine Environment against Pollution from Land-Based Sources (1990)	1990	Yes					
4. Protocol on the Control of Marine Trans-boundary Movements and Disposal of Hazardous Wastes and Other Wastes (1998)	1998	Yes					
5. Protocol concerning the conservation of biological diversity and the establishment of protected areas.		Yes					

PERSGA (Red Sea) [the Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden] [Jeddah Convention, 1982]	1982	Yes	Self Reporting as requested	de facto: All Marine turtles	help sponsor and coordinate regional management efforts; Objectives: To improve the sustainable management and use of the RSGA's coastal and marine resources. To conserve the current excellent state of our shared marine environment.	Protects habitat; reduce threats	Dr. Abdel Basset Salem Alsarafi, Deputy for Environment Affairs and Sustainable Development, Presidency of Meteorology & Environment PME. P.O. Box 1352 Jeddah 21431 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Protocol Concerning the Conservation of Biological Diversity and the Establishment of Network of Protected Areas in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (2005).	2005	Yes					
Protocol Concerning the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (2005).	2005	Yes					
Protocol Concerning Technical Cooperation to Borrow and Transfer Experts, Technicians, Equipment and Materials in Cases of Emergency (2009).	2009	Yes					
National							
Royal Decree No. 33, 27/7/137 established the Saudi Arabian Coast Guard					enforces rules, regulations and laws from 12 miles offshore to 10 km inland.		
Environmental Protection Standards Document No. 1401-01 (1402 H);					created the Meteorology and Environmental Protection Administration (MEPA) MEPA also has jurisdiction and is responsible for		
The Council of Ministers Decision No. 271, 23/11/1404:					"the use of best available technology to reduce pollutant		

					emissions (such as cement dust)".		
By Royal Decree No. 7/505M, dated 28/3/1406, the Ministry of Agriculture					responsibility for fishery activities and for permitting filling of submerged lands in the Eastern Province.		
The Royal Decree No. M/22, dated 12/9/1406, [NCWCD has been renamed the Saudi Wildlife Authority.]					established the National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development (NCWCD) as being responsible for the management of protected areas.		
The Council of Ministers decision No. 157, Dated 20/11/1411 and *Royal Decree No. 7/505M, dated 28/3/1406*, created the Meteorology and Environmental Protection Administration (MEPA)					that is charged with preventing pollution in the territorial seas.		
· established under Royal Decree 7/B/13307, dated 22/7/1411),					oil spill response (coordination mechanism		
· (Royal Decree No. 7/505M, dated 28/3/1406).					prevention of pollution including effluent from land fill ports		
· (Royal Decree No. 7/M/8903, dated					setting standards for the environment and for carrying out a program of environmental impact assessment and coastal zone management.		
Royal Decree No.71 M/8903. The Presidency of Meteorology and Environment (PME)					responsible for setting standards for the environmental protection and for carrying out a program of environmental impact assessment.		

Minister of Agriculture defining the Executive Bill Ministerial Decision number 21911 dated on 27/3/1409H equivalent to 6/11/1988G and Royal Decree number M/9 dated 27/3/1408 H equivalent to 18/11/1987 G				All marine turtles	Hunting, exploitation, and protection of the marine living natural resources in the territorial waters of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is regulated by law.	In Addition to regulating all fishing and maritime commercial exploitation, this law prohibits the taking of marine mammals, marine turtle and seabird eggs.	Authorities involved in implementation of this law in Saudi Arabia are: The Ministry of Agriculture and Water; The Ministry of Interior; Saudi Wildlife Authority (SWA)
Ministerial Decision number 103 dated on 10/8/1413H equivalent to 1/2/1993G, approved by the Royal Decree number M/12 dated 11/8/1413H equivalent to 2/2/1993G.					Regulates all research in Territorial waters of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, which includes all technical and scientific activities conducted in marine areas including recording, aquatic studies and research as well as marine treasures in the territorial waters of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.	Requires permit to conduct research	Authority empowered with the implementation of this law in Saudi Arabia is: Department of Military Survey, The Ministry of Defence and Aviation.

Table 4. Current and past marine turtle projects in Saudi Arabia.

#	RMU	Country	Region / Location	Project Name or descriptive title	Key words	Start date	End date	Name of Database	Names of sites included	Beginning of the time series	End of the time series	Track information	Nest information	Flipper tagging	Tags in STTI-ACCSTR
T4.1	North West Indian Ocean	Saudi Arabia	western Arabian Gulf and eastern Red Sea	SWA Turtle Data		1989	Present*	SWA Turtle Data	Ras Baridi, Gulf islands	1989	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
T4.2	North West Indian Ocean	Saudi Arabia	western Arabian Gulf	KFUPM Turtle Data		2015	2018	KFUPM Turtle Data	Gulf Islands	2015	2018	No	Minor	Yes	No
Leading organization	**Public/Private**	**Collaboration with**	**Reports / Information material**	**Current Sponsors**	**Contact (name and Email)**		**Database available**	**PIT tagging**	**Remote tracking**	**Ref #**					
Saudi Wildlife Authority	Private		Available on publication		Mr. Anas Z. Sambas, Saudi Wildlife Authority (SWA), P.O. Box 61681, RIYADH 11575, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: sambas@ncwcd.gov.sa		No	No	Yes						
KFUPM	Private		Available on publication		Dr. M. Qurban, KFUPM Research Institute, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dammam, Saudi Arabia.		No	No	Yes						

Table 5. Synopsis of hawksbill turtles nesting in the Arabian (Persian) Gulf and synopsis of green turtles nesting in the Arabian (Persian) Gulf and at Ras Baridi in the Red Sea [2]

	Hawksbill Turtles			Green Turtles			Green Turtles		
	Arabian Gulf			Arabian Gulf			Ras Baridi		
CHARACTER	MEAN	RANGE	Sample	MEAN	RANGE	Sample	MEAN	RANGE	Sample
NESTING ADULTS									
Curved Carapace Length (cm)	71.5	59 - 93	499	98.2	73- 114	2844	104.7	89 - 118	303
Weight (g)	38.2	26 - 64	245	107.8	72 - 168	662	125.7	85 – 171	108
EGGS									
Diameter (cm)	3.99	2.36- 4.93	879	4.28	3.38 - 4.38	730	4.4	3.6 - 5.5	597
Weight (g)	31.2	19.4 - 46	863	44.6	33.2 - 60	719	51.9	38 – 72	597
Number in Clutch	75.2	59-124	134	88.5	51 - 138	91	103	63 – 158	81
Yolkless Eggs per Clutch	16.9	0-35		9.9	0 - 28		7.7	0 – 20	81
Incubation Period (weeks)		7-11			7-11			7-12	
HATCHLINGS									
Carapace Length (cm)	3.82	2.88 - 4.26	634	4.75	4.14 - 5.21	205	4.87	3.4 - 5.7	847
Weight (g)	12.7	9-18	292	21.98	18.2 - 25.0	120	24.6	16 - 58	847
NESTING CYCLE									
Renesting Interval (days)	18.2	12 - 22		14.3	9 - 15		12.3	9 - 15	n/a

BREEDING SEASON									
Mating	In the northern Gulf of Arabia mating commences in the spring and reaches a peak in late April.			In the northern Arabian Gulf mating commences in late May and reaches a peak in early June.			In the Red Sea, the time of mating is not known.		
Nesting	Nesting commences in May, reaches a peak in late May and ends by July.			Nesting commences in early June, reaches a peak in late July and ends in Mid-September.			Nesting commences in August, reaches a peak in late October and ends in December.		
Hatchling Emergence	Hatchlings emerge from early July through mid August with a peak of hatching in late June			Hatchlings emerge from late July through mid-October with a peak of hatching in late August to Mid- September.			Hatchlings emerge from late October with a peak of emergence in December.		

Table 6. Protected marine areas in Saudi Arabia.

FROM	Summary
[17, 38]	"The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has established a number of extensive terrestrial protected areas, but lags behind in the development and implementation of marine protected areas. Many areas have been proposed and suggested, dating back to the mid- and late 1980s, and remain that way to date. With the exception of the Farasan islands, protected in 1996, and the Jubail Wildlife Sanctuary, which was developed shortly after the Gulf war, there have been no other recent marine protected areas established. With the resurgence of PERSGA and its Strategic Action Plan this is expected to change, with up to 32 proposals for protected areas being put forward for the Red Sea alone."
MPAs Declared	
Yanbu Royal Commission Protected Area:	This area is protected by the Royal Commission through an agreement with the Meteorological and Environmental Protection Administration. It covers an area of ca. 5 km² and encompasses fringing reefs, mangroves, and seabird nesting sites.
Umm al Qamari:	Established in 1977 and covering an area of only 2 km², this small protected area in the southern Red Sea has two small islands with surrounding fringing reefs and is an important habitat for thousands of seabirds.
Farasan Islands:	Established in 1996 and covering an area of 3310 km², this Terrestrial and Coastal Reserve is an archipelago of small islands at the southern extreme of Saudi Arabia's Red Sea shores. It is an important habitat for mangroves, seagrass, coral reefs, marine mammals, marine turtles, seabirds and endemic gazelle, and is threatened by fishing, development and recreation activities.
***de facto* and Planned MPAs**	
Jubail Wildlife Sanctuary:	This is a *de facto* protected area awaiting Royal declaration. Established in 1994 and covering an area of 2300 km², research and baseline surveys to identify the main ecosystems were carried out after the Gulf wear. The Sanctuary encompasses important wetlands for seabird migration and nesting areas for birds and sea turtles. The most extensive coral reefs in the Saudi Arabian Gulf are also found within the Sanctuary borders.
Straits of Tiran:	Straddling the Saudi Arabia / Egypt border, it encompasses islands and extensive coral reefs with diverse reef associated fauna in the transition area between the gulf of Aqaba ad the Red Sea. Is an important marine turtle and dugong habitat. There is tourist activity on the Egyptian side.
Ras Suwayhil:	Proposed to cover an area of 267 km², the site encompasses pristine and diverse coral reefs and reef associated fauna and is a prime example of the Gulf of Aqaba reefs and high cliffs. Habitat for seabirds and dugong.
Sharm Zubayr:	Proposed to cover 80 km², the area encloses open coastline and a sharm with fossil reef cliffs, narrow fringing reefs and the northernmost mangroves in the Red Sea. A causeway has been proposed to cut through the area.

Ghubbat Bal'aksh:	Covering 33 km², this is a sharm and open coastline with coral reefs with a particularly high species diversity, seagrass beds, and seabirds, subject to unregulated recreation activities.
Sharm Dumagyh and Sharm Antar:	Covering an area of 70 km², these two inlets contain fringing reefs, seagrass beds, mangrove areas and are habitats for green and hawksbill turtles and seabirds. The area is subject to fishing and recreation pressures.
Al-Wedj Bank:	Including Sharm habban and Sharm Munaybirah, this protected area will cover 2840 km², and is home to the most extensive coral reef system of the entire red Sea, diverse reef-associated fauna, seagrass beds and mangroves. It is inhabited by marine turtles and seabirds and is a key area for dugong.
Qalib Islands:	Actually included in the Al-Wedj bank, these islands are surrounded by fringing reefs and are important nesting sites for seabirds and marine turtles.
Al-Hasani and Libanah Islands:	These are high-aspect islands with extensive fringing reefs and are important nesting sites for seabirds and marine turtles.
Ras Abu Madd and Sharm Hasi:	Scenic sharms and high-quality fringing coral reefs, fossil reef terraces and important seabird area. To be combined with the Al-hasani and Libanah island protected area. Threatened by fishing activities.
Ras Baridi and Sharm al-Khawr:	The area encompasses sand beaches, small islands, high quality coral reefs and seagrass beds. It is the most important marine turtle nesting site in the Red Sea. It is threatened by unchecked fallout from a nearby cement factory.
Sharm Yanbu:	Enclosing 50 km², the sharm is a deep, bi-lobed lagoon that contains mangrove and seagrass beds and fringing reefs and is an important seabird area.
Shi'b al-Qirin:	Extending over 30 km², this a high-quality inshore reef complex that is also an important seabird area.
Marsa as-Sarraj:	Proposed to cover 200 km², this is the largest land-locked lagoon on the Saudi Arabian Red sea coast. Seasonally inundated, it contains mangroves, halophytes, seagrass beds and high-quality coral reefs. It is threatened by agricultural development and fishing activities.
Ras Hatiba:	covering ca. 450 km², this is a large lagoon with sandy and coraline spits, small mangrove stands, extensive offshore reefs and is a prime site for environmental and extension education programmes. Currently threatened by recreation and unregulated development.
Jaddah Salt Marsh:	Proposed to cover 100 km², this is a marshland area with extensive offhsore reefs, threatened by oil pollution and other waste disposal.
Ash-Shu'aybah and Mastaba:	Proposed to cover ca. 100 km², this is a large lagoon with extensive mangroves, fossil reef terraces and good quality offshore reefs. It is a key site for seabirds, and is threatened by unregulated development and mangrove felling, and a possible major highway project.

Qishran:	This is a complex of coral reefs, coral spits, seagrass beds and extensive mangroves. It is an important seabird and dugong habitat.
Outer Farasan Bank:	This is a major reef and island system contiguous with the Farasan Islands. It has diverse mangrove, seagrass and coral reef habitats, and is an important turtle and seabird nesting area.
Khawr Nahoud:	Proposed to cover ca. 33 km², this is a lagoon with fringing corals, seagrass beds and mangroves. It is an important dugong and seabird habitat.
Khawr Itwad:	Proposed to cover ca. 70 km², this is a lagoon with fringing corals, seagrass beds and mangroves.
Shi'b Abu al-Liqa and Shi'b al-Kabir:	Proposed to cover ca. 140 km², these are two lagoons with abundant fringing corals and mangroves.



Figure 1. Red Sea region showing know nesting sites in the Kingdom Saudi Arabia.

The general pattern of nesting can be divided into four areas: (1) the area in the vicinity of Tiran Island Sanifar Islands, (2) the area between Wejh and Yanbu, including the Wejh Bank and several mainland sites (Ras Al Lakk and Ras Baridi, (3) the area south of Al Lith to just north of Gizan, and (4) the Farasan Archipelago. Circles: Green turtles; Stars: Hawksbill turtle. Symbols indicate location, not density



Figure 2. Arabian (Persian) Gulf region showing marine turtle nesting sites in the Kingdom Saudi Arabia.

Symbols: Circles: Green turtles; Stars: Hawksbill turtles. Symbols indicate location, not density.



Figure 3. Marine turtle foraging areas in the Saudi Arabian portion of the Red Sea.

(1) the area in the vicinity of Tiran Island Sanifar Islands
(2) the Wejh Banks
(3) near Yanbu, including several near-shore sites (Ras Al Lakk and Ras Baridi,
(4) the area south of Al Lith to just north of Gizan, and
(5) the Farasan Archipelago



Figure 4. Arabian (Persian) Gulf region showing an important foraging area used by marine turtles in the Kingdom Saudi Arabia

1. the reef systems around the each of the offshore islands,

2. the Dawhat Abu Ali inside the Berri oil field just north of Jubail south of Abu Ali,

3. and the shallow area north of Abu Ali and south of Safaniyah.

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Abdulqadar, E. and Miller, J.D. 2012. Marine Turtles in Bahrain Territorial Waters. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 11(1): 133-138.
2	Al-Merghani, M., Miller, J.D., Pilcher, N., and Al-Mansi, A. 2000. The green and hawksbill marine turtles in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: synopsis of nesting studies 1989-1997. Fauna of Arabia 18:369-384.
3	Fitzsimmons, N. N. and Limpus, C.J. 2014. Marine turtle genetic stocks of the Indo-Pacific: Identifying boundaries and knowledge gaps. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 20:2-35.
4	Basson, P. W., Burchard, J. E. Jr, Hardy, J. T. and Price, A. R. G. 1977. *Biotopes of the Western Arabian (Persian) Gulf: Marine Life and Environments of Saudi Arabia*. Published by the Aramco Department of Loss Prevention and Environmental Affairs, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia: 284 pp.,
5	Braithwaite, C. J. R. 1987. Geology and Palaeogeography of th Red Sea. Pp. 22-44. In: A. J. Edwards and S. M. Head, (eds.) Red Sea, Key Environments Series, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
6	Bruckner, A., Rowlands, G., Riegl, B., Purkis, S., Williams, A., and Renaud, P. 2012. *Khaled bin Sultan Living Oceans Foundation Atlas of Saudi Arabian Red Sea Marine Habitats.* Panoramic Press, pp. 262.
7	ROPME (Regional Organization for the Protection of the Marine Environment).org (site accessed October 2017)
8	Edwards, F. J. 1987. Climate and oceanography. Pp. 45-69. *In*: A. J. Edwards and S. M. Head, (eds.) Red Sea, Key Environments Series, Pergamon Press, Oxford,
9	Ormond, R.F.G., Dawson-Sheppard, A., Price, A. and Pitts, R.G. 1984. Report on the distribution of habitats and species in the Saudi Arabian Red Sea, International Union for Conservation of Nature/Meteorological and Environmental Protection Administration / PERSGA, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, pp 151.
10	PERSGA. 2006. State of the Marine Environment, Report for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGA, Jeddah.
11	Wallace, B. P., DiMatteo, A. D., Hurley, B J., Finkbeiner, E. M., Bolten, A. B., Chaloupka, M. Y., Hutchinson, B. J., Abreu-Grobois, F. A., Amorocho, D., Bjorndal, K. A., Bourjea, J., Bowen, B., W., Duen˜as, R. B., Casale, P.,Choudhury, B. C., Costa, A., Dutton, P. H., Fallabrino, A., Girard, A., Girondot, M., Godfrey, M. H., Hamann, M., Lo´pez-Mendilaharsu, M., Marcovaldi, M. A., Mortimer, J. A., Musick, J.A., Nel, R., Pilcher, N. J., Seminoff, J. A., Troeng, S., Witherington, B., and Mast, R. B. 2010. Regional Management Units for Marine Turtles: A Novel Framework for Prioritizing Conservation and Research across Multiple Scales. PLoS ONE 5(12): e15465. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015465.
12	Frazier, J. and Salas S. 1984. The Status of Marine Turtles in the Egyptian Red Sea. Biological Conservation 30: 41-67.
13	Gasperetti, J., Stimson, A., Miller, J.D., Ross, J.P., and Gasperetti, P. 1993. Turtles of Arabia. Fauna of Saudi Arabia. 13: 170-367.
14	Goombridge, B. and Luxmoore, R. 1989. The green turtle and hawksbill (Reptilia: Cheloniidae): world status, exploitation and trade. Secretariat of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. IUCN, Lausanne, Switzerland.
15	Head, S. M. 1987. Introduction. Pp. 1-21. In: A. J. Edwards and S. M. Head, (eds.) Red Sea, Key Environments Series, Pergamon Press, Oxford,
16	PERSGA/GEF 2004. Standard Survey Methods for Key Habitats and Key Species in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGA Technical Series No. 10. PERSGA, Jeddah.
17	Saudi Wildlife Authority (https://www.swa.gov.sa/en/protected-areas/protected-areas-map. Last Updated 04 August 2016; Accessed 2 October 17)
18	Mancini, A., Elsadek. I. and El-Alwany, M. A. N. 2015. Marine Turtles of the Red Sea. Pp. 551-565. In: N.M.A. Rasul and I.C.F. Stewart (eds.). The Red Sea. Springer Earth System Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
19	Al-Mansi, A. M. 2003. National Action Plan for the Conservation of Marine Turtles and their Habitats in Saudi Arabia, Red Sea. Unpublished technical report, PERSGA, Jeddah.
20	Miller, J. D. 2011. Marine and coastal reptiles. Pp 264-295. In: Loughland and Al-Abdulkader (eds.) Marine Atlas Western Arabian (Persian) Gulf. Saudi Aramco and KFUPM, Dhahran Saudi Arabia.
21	Miller, J.D. 1989. Marine Turtles. Vol. I. An assessment of the conservation status of marine turtles in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. M.E.P.A. Coastal and Marine Management Series, Technical Report #9, 209 pp.

22 Abdulqader, E.A.A.. Miller, J., Al-Mansic, A., Al-Abdulkaderd, K., Fita. N., Hussein Al-Nadhiri, H., and Rabaoui, L. 2017. Turtles and other marine megafauna bycatch in artisanal fisheries in the Saudi waters of the Arabian (Persian) Gulf. Fisheries Research 196: 75-84.

23 MEPA 1987. Saudi Arabia: an assessment of national management requirements for the Saudi Arabian Red Sea coastal zone. MEPA Coastal Zone and Marine Management Draft Report.

24 MEPA 1997. The Red Sea Saudi Arabia: an assessment of biotopes and management requirements of the Saudi Red Sea coastal zone. Saudi Arabia: an assessment of national coastal zone management requirements. Red Sea & Arabian (Persian) Gulf. MEPA Coastal Zone and Marine Management Series # 7 (1987).

25 Sheppard, C. 1993. Physical environment of the Gulf relevant to marine pollution: An overview. Marine Pollution Bulletin 27: 3-8.

26 Sheppard, C., Al-Husiani, M., Al-Jamali, F., Al-Yamani, F., Baldwin, R., Bishop, J., Benzoni, F., Dutrieux, E., Dulvy, N.K., Durvasula, S.R., Jones, D.A., Loughland, R., Medio, D., Nithyanandan, M., Pilling, G.M., Polikarpov, I., Price, A.R., Purkis, S., Riegl, B., Saburova, M., Namin, K.S., Taylor, O., Wilson, S., Zainal, K. 2010. The Persian/Arabian (Persian) Gulf: a young sea in decline. Marine Pollution Bulletin 60(1): 13-38.

27 PERSGA 1982. Regional Convention for the conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Environment. Jeddah, 14 February 1982.

28 PERSGA 2004. Regional action plan for the conservation of marine turtles and their habitats in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGA, Jeddah.

29 Ross, J.P. & Barwani, M.A. 1982. Review of sea turtles in the Arabian area. In K.A. Bjorndal, ed. Biology and conservation of sea turtles. Pp. 373-383. Washington, DC, Smithsonian Institution Press.

30 Pilcher, N. J. 2000. The green turtle, *Chelonia mydas*, in the Saudi Arabian (Persian) Gulf. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 3(4):730-734.

31 Pilcher, N.J. 1999. Cement dust as a cause of sea turtle hatchling mortality at Ras Baridi, Saudi Arabia. Mar Pollut Bull 38: 966-969.

32 Pilcher, N.J. 1999. The hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, in the Arabian (Persian) Gulf. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 3(2): 312-317.

33 Pilcher, N. J. 2006. Status of leatherback turtles in Saudi Arabia. Pp: 113-115. In: Hamann, M., Limpus, C., Hughes, G., Mortimer, J. and Pilcher N. J. (eds) Assessment of the conservation status of the leatherback turtle in the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia. IOSEA Species Assessment.

34 Pilcher, N J and Al-Merghani, M. 2000. Reproductive biology of green turtles at Ras Baridi, Saudi Arabia. Herpetological Review 31(3): 142-147.

35 Frazier, J., Bertram, G. C. and Evans, P. G. H. 1987. Turtles and Marine Mammals. Pp: 288-314. In: A. J. Edwards and S. M. Head (eds.). Key Environments: Red Sea. Pegamon Press, Oxford.

36 IOSEA 2014. Country Report. IOSEA Marine Turtle MoU.

37 Jensen, M. P., Miller, J., Fitzsimmons, N. N., and Al-Merghani, M. 2019. Identification of *Chelonia mydas* Populations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Through Regional Genetic Analyses. *Marine Turtle Newsletter, 156*: 16-20.

38 DeVantier, L. and Pilcher, N. 2000, The Status of Coral Reefs in Saudi Arabia. Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network (GCRMN).

SRI LANKA

Rupika S. Rajakaruna[1], E.M. Lalith Ekanayake[2] and P.A.C.N.B. Suraweera[3]

[1]University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka
[2]Bio Conservation Society (BCSL), Kandy, Sri Lanka
[3]Department of Wildlife Conservation (DWC), Battaramulla, Sri Lanka

Five species of marine turtle nest in Sri Lanka: green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*), hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) and olive ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) [1,2,4,5,8,9,10,13,18,47].

1 RMU: *Caretta caretta*, North-East Indian Ocean (CC-NEIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Southern and south-western coast of Sri Lanka are the main turtle nesting sites but nesting spans from Mount Lavinia on the western coast to Arugambay on the eastern coast (Figure 1) [1,2,4,6,8,9,18,61]. Only a small number of nests of loggerheads are reported annual [11] probably less than 25 nests per year (T. Kapurusinghe, pers.comm.).

1.1.2 Marine areas

No data available.

1.2 Other biological data

It is unknown if the population forms a separate genetic stock [72].

1.3 Threats

Egg poaching by villagers and meat consumption of turtle bycatch by fishers (Table 1).

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Poaching of eggs by villagers. Increase in artificial light in the nesting beaches [74]. Egg predation by Indian gerbi and Indian bush rat [77] (Table 1).

1.3.2 Marine areas

Consumption of meat from bycatch is a threat for sea turtles in marine areas and is known to be high along the western and north-western coasts of Sri Lanka [28,38,39,46,48,50,66,73] (Table 1).

1.4 Conservation

Sea turtles are protected in Sri Lanka under government legislation since 1972 by Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance (FFPO, 1972; amendment 1993 and 2009). All five species of sea turtles are protected by the amendments to the FFPO in 1972 and the punishments were increased by the amendments in 1993. Under the section 30 of the FFPO it is an offence to kill, wound, harm or take a

turtle using a net, trap, explosive or any other device, to keep in possession, sell or expose for sale a turtle or any part of a turtle, or destroy or take turtle eggs. A person who found guilty for any of these offences is liable to a fine of LKR 10,000 to 30,000 and/or to imprisonment for two to five years. The amendment to the FFPO in 2009 increased the maximum fine up to LKR 100,000.00 [62].

In 1995 Department of Wildlife Conservation (DWC) initiated an in-situ nest protection programme in collaboration with the Heritage Foundation along 4 km stretch of beach in Bundala National Park. At present DWC is continuing the project with the support of the local communities and the project area has been extended to 8 km. In 1996, the Turtle Conservation Project (TCP), a nongovernmental organization (NGO), initiated its pioneering community-based in-situ sea turtle nest protection and research programme at Rekawa with the aim of protecting sea turtles and also supporting local people who depend on the coastal resources for their livelihood [15]. This project was implemented in collaboration with the DWC, the University of Peradeniya, the National Aquatic Resources Agency (NARA) and the University of Ruhuna. Local community that was previously involved in egg poaching had been trained in turtle biology and research and had been employed by TCP as turtle nest protectors. The TCP also recruited research officers to carry out research activities such as flipper tagging, collecting biometric and nesting frequency data etc. A similar project had been established in Kosgoda in August 2003 by the TCP. Many awareness and community-based conservation activities along the coast especially southern and south-western areas has been conducted by various NGOs which may have led to the reduction in egg poaching and killing of turtles for meat especially in Kosgoda and Rekawa areas [31]. An *in situ* turtle nest protection program has been initiated recently in the east coast of Sri Lanka [61] which was inaccessible earlier due to the civil war.

Selling of eggs and meat in the open market gradually decreased after the strict law enforcement but turtle eggs and meat are still eaten or sold by the local community in some areas [31].

The first two sea turtle sanctuaries in Sri Lanka were declared in 2006 at Rekawa (4.5 km stretch) and at Godawaya (3.8 km stretch; Anonymous, 2006). The area is bounded 500 meters towards the sea and 100 meters towards the land from the high tide level in both sites. Although the Ordinance protects the sea turtles throughout Sri Lanka, their nesting areas not protected and hence, local community can disturb nesting beaches and foraging areas such as removing sand, lighting the beaches, cutting the beach vegetation etc. Some of these activities are prohibited under the Coast Conservation Act but, not under the FFPO. However, once declared as a sanctuary all these activities affecting the sea turtles are prohibited. Currently, “The Rekawa Turtle Watch” is a turtle conservation project run by an NGO.

Hatcheries are used as an ex situ conservation tool of sea turtles in Sri Lanka but their contribution towards conservation of sea turtles is highly debated [34,35,40,41,42,43]. All the hatcheries are operated by private owners and their prime motive is profit, relying on tourists for their viability [32,75]. However, unlike in the past, the existing hatcheries operate throughout the year, not only during tourist season. The common practice is to buy turtle eggs from suppliers and bury them in an incubation enclosure within the hatchery and release after keeping in tanks for 5 days to 2 weeks [34]. Hatcheries are illegal and attempt to issue licence to hatcheries was not successful so far [29].

Community education and awareness programs on plastic pollution in the coastal regions [80] and turtle bycatch [78,79] have been conducted in areas where there had been turtle bycatch especially in Kalpitiya.

1.5 Research

The research on sea turtles in Sri Lanka dates back to as early as 1930 when Deraniyagala described the five nesting species and the beaches in Sri Lanka (2), then known as Ceylon. His first herpetological book, and perhaps one of the important herpetological milestones in the country, is "*Tetrapod reptiles*

of Ceylon vol. 1. Testudinates and Crocodilians" [2]. Deraniyagala published his three volumes of his books on reptiles including sea turtle in 1939, 1943, and 1952 and many papers later [4,8,9,69,70].

Turtle bycatch is high along the western and north western coasts of Sri Lanka [31,39,48]. Many studies have been conducted in the commercial sea turtle hatcheries which are well established in Sri Lanka [32,34,35,40,41,42,43]. Although hatcheries are used as an *ex situ* conservation tool of sea turtles, their contribution towards conservation of sea turtles is highly debated [34,75]. A survey showed that these hatcheries are operated by private owners and their prime motive is profit, relying on tourists for their viability and poor ecological practices are employed due to lack of scientific know-how [32,75].

2 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, North-West Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Southern and south-western coast of Sri Lanka is where the main turtle nesting taking place but the nesting span from Mount Lavinia in the western coast to Arugambay in the eastern coast (Figure 1) [1,2,4,6,8,9,18,61]. High nesting abundance of green turtles was observed in Rekawa, Kosgoda, Kahandamodara and Bundala while scattered nesting was observed in the other beaches [1,3,15,16]. Green turtle nesting takes place throughout the year with a peak in April and March to May can be considered as the nesting season [15,16]. The green turtle is the most frequent nesting turtle in Sri Lanka, contributing about 96% nests at Rekawa [15] and 90% at Kosgoda [16]. In recent years, a declining trend in nesting frequency of sea turtles has been observed in Rekawa [14].

2.1.2 Marine areas

Satellite tracking suggest that the nesting green turtle females tagged in Rekawa sanctuary migrated to foraging grounds in Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve off the coast of Tamil Nadu, India; Lakshadweep islands, and west coast of India near Karnataka [25].

2.2 Other biological data

Five years of data on the reproductive output of female green turtles collected from Kosgoda beach with a total of 1,492 nests comprising 166,358 eggs laid by 575 nesting females show that larger females have a higher reproductive output, laying larger eggs, bigger clutches, and producing a greater number of eggs in total for a season. There is no relationship between clutch size and egg size, and hatchling size does not depend on the egg size or female size. The mean hatching success is 77.3% with a mean incubation duration of 50.6 days. Clutch size, egg size, female body size, and nest depth have no effect on hatching success of the green turtle nests laid at Kosgoda rookery [16].

2.3 Threats

Egg poaching and meat consumption (Table 1).

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Egg poaching by villagers. Increase in artificial light in the nesting beaches [74]. Egg predation by Indian gerbi and Indian bush rat [77] (Table 1).

2.3.2 Marine areas

Consumption of meat from bycatch is a threat for sea turtles in marine areas is from fisheries through bycatch which is known to be high along the western and north-western coasts of Sri Lanka [28,38,39,46,48,50,66,73] (Table 1).

Before the civil war started in 1983, the fishermen of the south and particularly those along the east coast sent the sea turtles that were accidentally caught in their nets to the collecting centers and from those places the turtles were used to periodically transport to Jaffna in large lorry consignments and in a most cruel manner [58]. However, this transportation gradually decreased after the amendment of the FFPO in 1972 (Personnel communications with the local community in the nesting areas). Kalpitiya in the northwestern coast had been the main location for the turtle slaughtering since the civil war began in Jaffna 1983 [38]. Many turtles are accidentally caught and drowned in fishing gear [39]. During 1999 and 2000 a turtle rescue programme had been initiated at Kandakkuliya in Kalpitiya where the bycatch turtles trapped in gill nets were released with the support from fishermen [39]. Moreover, there are reports witnessing the butchery and selling of live turtles openly in Kandakuliya and north-western parts of the island [39,48]. In 2008 [31] a survey reported that 45% of the villagers at Kandakkuliya consume turtle meat mostly from bycatch. However, a survey carried out in 2014 reported that incidental capture of sea turtles in two fishing sites: Negambo and Beruwala is not very significant reporting olive ridley followed by green turtles as the most abundant species caught in fishing gear [68]. Some fishers however, are willing to rescue the entangled turtles while some do not [38] and a more recent survey shows that the percentage of fishers who release bycatch had increased from 63% in the past to 90% [66].

2.4 Conservation

See Section 1.4.

2.5 Research

Nesting behaviour of female green turtles was studied at the Rekawa and Kosgoda rookery reporting similar patterns in the two rookeries with a peak in warmer months from February to May [15,16]. The reproductive output of green turtles has been studied for five years, collecting data on the female green turtles from Kosgoda beach. It showed larger females have a higher reproductive output, laying larger eggs, bigger clutches, and produce a greater number of eggs in total for a season [26]. The same population was studied for genetics using six microsatellite loci. High genetic diversity was observed within the population and the study also showed that, although the green turtle population nesting at Kosgoda is small compared to other nesting rookeries in the world, with high genetic diversity among and within individuals suggests that the population may not be currently undergoing a bottleneck [26]. This study further reported the paternity in the offspring and showed that clutches of 47% of the females were sired by two (62.5%) or three (37.5%) fathers. The successive clutch analysis showed that the dominant father sired 50% of the total offspring followed by 33.3% by the second male [26].

Satellite tagging has shown that after completing the nesting activities in the south and southwestern coast, sea turtles migrate back to their foraging grounds in Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve off the

coast of Tamil Nadu and Lakshadweep Islands in Southern India [25]. In Sri Lanka bycatch was thought to be the leading cause of mortality for the island's turtle population [50]. However, some reports show that people in the north are accomplished turtle-catchers and known to use a variety of nets to capture sea turtles and there is a high demand for turtle meat from the northern areas [45].

See also Section 1.5.

3 RMU: *Dermochelys coriacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (DC-NEIO)

3.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

3.1.1 Nesting sites

Southern and south-western coast of Sri Lanka is the main turtle nesting taking place but the nesting span from Mount Lavinia in the western coast to Arugambay in the eastern coast (Figure 1) [1,2,4,6,8,9,18,61]. High frequency of leatherback nesting is observed in the Godawaya beach in southern Sri Lanka [10]. But overall only a small number of leatherbacks nest in Sri Lankan beaches [9].

3.1.2 Marine areas

No data available.

3.2 Other biological data

No data available.

3.3 Threats

Egg poaching and meat consumption (Table 1).

3.3.1 Nesting sites

Egg poaching by villagers. Increase in artificial light in the nesting beaches [74]. Egg predation by Indian gerbi and Indian bush rat [77].

3.3.2 Marine areas

There are no bycatch records of leatherbacks in Sri Lanka.

3.4 Conservation

See Section 1.4.

3.5 Research

See Section 1.5.

4 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-East Indian Ocean (EI-NEIO)

4.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

4.1.1 Nesting sites

Southern and south-western coast of Sri Lanka is where the main turtle nesting taking place but the nesting span from Mount Lavinia in the western coast to Arugambay in the eastern coast (Figure 1) [1,2,4,6,8,9,18,61].

4.1.2 Marine areas

No data available.

4.2 Other biological data

No data available.

4.3 Threats

Egg poaching and meat consumption (Table 1).

4.3.1 Nesting sites

Egg poaching by villagers. Increase in artificial light in the nesting beaches [74]. Egg predation by Indian gerbi and Indian bush rat [77].

4.3.2 Marine areas

Consumption of meat from bycatch is a threat for sea turtles in marine areas is from fisheries through bycatch which is known to be high along the western and north-western coasts of Sri Lanka [48, 66,73].

4.4 Conservation

Highly endangered hawksbill turtle has also been hunted for its carapace to provide raw materials for the tortoiseshell trade [41]. A survey of illegal tortoiseshell trade in Sri Lanka carried out in 1994, recorded 112 retailers openly selling tortoiseshell products in six towns and a subsequent survey in 1996 recorded 83 shops selling tortoiseshells in 14 towns [41]. However, tortoiseshell trade has been greatly reduced due to the strict rules and public awareness and education programmes conducted by the government and non-government organizations [67] cannot be considered an ongoing and pervasive threat to hawksbill recovery.
See also Section 1.4.

4.5 Research

Data not available.

5 RMU: *Lepidochelys olivacea*, North-East Indian Ocean (LO-NEIO)

5.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

5.1.1 Nesting sites

Southern and south-western coast of Sri Lanka is where the main turtle nesting taking place but the nesting span from Mount Lavinia in the western coast to Arugambay in the eastern coast (Figure 1) [1,2,4,6,8,9,18,61]. High nesting abundance was observed in Rekawa, Kosgoda, Kahandamodara and Bundala while scattered nesting were observed in the other beaches [1,3,15,16]. Olive ridley turtle is the second-most frequent nester in Sri Lankan beaches while other three species visit occasionally (unpublished observations). In recent years, a declining trend in nesting frequency of sea turtles has been observed in Rekawa [14].

5.1.2 Marine areas

Olive ridley tagged in Orissa has been recorded in the coastal waters of eastern Sri Lanka [11]. Observations on re-nesting and post migratory behaviours of olive ridleys nesting at Kosgoda and Rekawa beaches show high nest site fidelity [12,16,17,19,69].

5.2 Other biological data

Data not available.

5.3 Threats

Egg poaching and meat consumption (Table 1).

5.3.1 Nesting sites

Egg poaching by villagers. Increase in artificial light in the nesting beaches [74]. Egg predation by Indian gerbi and Indian bush rat [77].

5.3.2 Marine areas

Consumption of meat from bycatch is a threat for sea turtles in marine areas is from fisheries through bycatch which is known to be high along the western and north-western coasts of Sri Lanka [48, 66,73].

5.4 Conservation

See Section 1.4.

5.5 Research

Data not available

Table 1a. Characteristics of nesting loggerhead, green and leatherback turtles in Sri Lanka.

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermocehclys coriacea*	
RMU	**CC-NEIO**	**Ref #**	**CM-NWIO**	**Ref #**	**DC-NEIO**	**Ref #**
Occurrence						
Nesting sites	Y	1-7,8,14,15	Y	1-7,12,14,15	n/a	1-7,9,10,14,15
Pelagic foraging grounds	n/a		JA	25	n/a	
Benthic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Key biological data						
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	17(2014-2017)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	2884(2014-2017)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	14(2014-2017)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	0	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	12	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	1	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	14	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	32	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	37	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Total length of nesting sites (km)	35	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	104	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	96	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Nesting females / yr	n/a		n/a		170	10
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		4	20	n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		2.5-3.5	16,19, 20	n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		0.70	21	n/a	
Sex ratio: Immature (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		85.9	22	n/a	
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	105.2(5)	15	112.1 (1,985)	15	100.5/30	15
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		74.3(526)	71	n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		51.9 (2740/5281)	26	n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermocehclys coriacea*	
RMU	**CC-NEIO**	**Ref #**	**CM-NWIO**	**Ref #**	**DC-NEIO**	**Ref #**
Trends						
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Published studies						
Growth rates	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Genetics	n/a		Y	23,24	n/a	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	n/a		Y	25	n/a	
Survival rates	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Population dynamics	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	n/a		Y	19	Y	53
Threats						
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	PLL, SN	27,28,38,46,48	PLL, SN	27,28,38,46,48	PLL	27,28,38,46,48
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: quantified?	Y	46,48,51	Y	46,48,52	Y	49,51,54
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	45,55,56	Y	45,55.56	Y	45,55.56
Take. Egg poaching	Y	45,57,58,59,34	Y	45,57,58,59,34	Y	45,57,58,59,34
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	Y	49,60,74	y	49,60,74,77	Y	49,60,74,77
Coastal Development. Photo pollution	Y	74	Y	74	Y	74
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Egg predation	Y	15, 61,77	Y	15,16, 61,77	Y	15,61,77
Pollution (debris, chemical)	Y	80	Y	80	Y	80

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermocehclys coriacea*	
RMU	**CC-NEIO**	**Ref #**	**CM-NWIO**	**Ref #**	**DC-NEIO**	**Ref #**
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other						
Long-term projects (>5yrs)						
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	1 (12: 2005-2017)	14	1 (12: 2005-2017)	14	1 (12: 2005-2017)	14
Number of index nesting sites	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Conservation						
Protection under national law	Y	62	Y	62	Y	62
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	2 (U %)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	7 (U %)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	7 (U %)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	16	62,63,64,65	16	62,63,64,65	16	62,63,64,65
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	3	14,15,73,76,78,79	3	14,15,73,76,78,79	3	14,15, 73,76,78,79
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	Y	15,16,61	Y	15,16,61	Y	15,16,61
Hatcheries	Y	29,35,37,44,75	y	29,35,36,37,38,43,44,45,46,75	N	
Head-starting	Y	35,37,44	Y	35,37,44	N	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other						

Table 1b. Characteristics of nesting hawksbill and olive ridley turtles in Sri Lanka.

	Eretmocehlys imbricata		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO-NEIO**	Ref #
Occurrence				
Nesting sites	Y	1-7,13,14,15	Y	1-7,11,14,15
Pelagic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a	
Benthic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a	
Key biological data				
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	54(2014-2017)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	772(2014-2017)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	n/a		n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	0	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	12	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	17	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	28	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Total length of nesting sites (km)	40	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	95	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Nesting females / yr	n/a		n/a	
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		1-3 nests	17
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		1-4 years	17
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		n/a	
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	115.2/6	15	105.1/30	15
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a	

	Eretmocehlys imbricata		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO-NEIO**	Ref #
Trends				
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Published studies				
Growth rates	n/a		n/a	
Genetics	n/a		n/a	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	n/a		n/a	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	n/a		n/a	
Survival rates	n/a		n/a	
Population dynamics	n/a		n/a	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	n/a		n/a	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	n/a		Y	22
Threats				
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	PLL,SN	27,28,38,46,48	PLL	27,28,38,46,48
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: quantified?	Y	49,51,54,	Y	47,49,51,54
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	45,55.56	Y	45,55.56
Take. Egg poaching	Y	45,57,58,59,34	Y	45,57,58,59,34
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	Y	49,60,74	Y	49,60,74
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	74	Y	74
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		n/a	
Egg predation	Y	15, 61,77	Y	15, 61,77
Pollution (debris, chemical)	Y	80	Y	80
Pathogens	n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a	

	Eretmocehlys imbricata		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**EI- NEIO**	Ref #	**LO-NEIO**	Ref #
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a	
Other				
Long-term projects (>5yrs)				
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	1 (12: 2005-2017)	14	1 (12: 2005-2017)	14
Number of index nesting sites	n/a		n/a	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a	
Conservation				
Protection under national law	Y	62	Y	62
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	3 (U %)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61	7 (U %)	1,10,15,16,17,18,61
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	16	62,63,64,65	16	62,63,64,65
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	3	14,15, 73,76,78,79	3	14,15, 73,76,78,79
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	Y	15,16,61	Y	15,16,61
Hatcheries	Y	29	Y	29,35,37,44,75
Head-starting	N		Y	35,37,44
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	n/a		n/a	
Other				

Table 2. Index nesting sites for marine turtles in Sri Lanka.

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point		Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
				Long (N)	Lat (E)					
CC-NEIO										
Rekawa		1.8 (1996-2000)		80.843356	6.043539	2	100	15	1	B
Rekawa		1 (2012-2017)		80.843356	6.043539	4	100	DWC Unpublished data	1	B
Bundala		1.3 (2012-2017)		81.212725	6.164184	4		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
CM-NWIO										
Rekawa	Y	804 (1996-2000)		80.843356	6.043539	2	100	15	1	B
Rekawa	Y	482 (2005-2011)		80.843356	6.043539	2	100	14	1	B
Kosgoda		298 (2003-2008)		80.024083	6.341413	1	100	16	1	B
Rekawa	Y	1,142 (2012-2017)		80.843356	6.043539	4		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
Kalamatiya		10 (2014-2016)		80.962725	6.084554	2		DWC Unpublished data		
Bundala		103.5 (2014-2017)		81.212725	6.164184	4		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
Mount Lavinea		9 (2014)		79.862994	6.825496	1.44		18		
Induruwa		280 (2014)		80.013807	6.362792	4		18		
Mahapalana		60 (2014)		80.018299	6.353629	1.3		18		
Duwemodara		85 (2014)		80.020556	6.348359	1.2		18		
Kosgoda		570 (2014)		80.024083	6.341413	2.3		18		
Ahungalla		90 (2014)		80.034526	6.303652	1.5		18		
Balapitiya		30 (2014)		80.034998	6.278739	2		18		
Kahawa		48 (2014)		80.072185	6.183077	5.2		18		
Habaraduwa		14 (2014)		80.306969	5.992858	0.8		18		
Panama		28(2014)		81.809299	6.746488	4		62		
DC-NEIO										
Rekawa		14 (1996-2000)		80.843356	6.043539	2	100	15	1	B

Godawaya		333 (2002)	495 (2002)	81.034422	6.106125	4	100	10	1	
Bundala		4 (2017)		81.212725	6.164184	4		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
EI-NEIO										
Kumana		16 (2015)		81.717518	6.527416	7		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
LO-NEIO										
Rekawa		11 (1996-2000)		80.843356	6.043539	2	100	15	1	B
Kosgoda		34 (2003-2008)		80.024083	6.341413	2	100	17	1	B
Rekawa		30.5 (2012-2017)		80.843356	6.043539	4		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
Kumana		68 (2013-2017)		81.717518	6.527416	7		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
Bundala		162 (2014-2017)		81.212725	6.164184	4		DWC Unpublished data	1	B
Kalamatiya		22 (2014-2015)		80.962725	6.084554	2		DWC Unpublished data		
Mount Lavinea		20 (2014)		79.862994	6.825496	1.44		18		
Benthota		40 (2014)		79.995358	6.422218	2.3		18		
Warahena		20 (2014)		80.001604	6.405475	0.9		18		
Induruwa		10 (2014)		80.013807	6.362792	4		18		
Mahapalana		10 (2014)		80.018299	6.353629	1.3		18		
Duwemodara		14 (2014)		80.020556	6.348359	1.2		18		
Kosgoda		10 (2014)		80.024083	6.341413	2.3		18		
Ahungalla		65 (2014)		80.034526	6.303652	1.5		18		
Ambalangoda		30 (2014)		80.045115	6.246312	1.2		18		
Kahawa		45 (2014)		80.072185	6.183077	5.2		18		
Habaraduwa		30 (2014)		80.306969	5.992858	0.8		18		
Koggala		30 (2014)		80.322247	5.988579	1.6		18		
Panama		128(2014)		81.809299	6.746488	4		62		

Table 3. International conventions signed by Sri Lanka in relation to marine turtle conservation

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles
CITES	1979	y	y	CC, CM, DC, EI, LO	n/a	y
CMS	1990	y	y	CC, CM, DC, EI, LO	n/a	y
IOSEA Marine Turtle MoU	2001	y	y	CC, CM, DC, EI, LO	n/a	y

Table 4. Current and past marine turtle projects in Sri Lanka.

#	RMU	Region / Location	Project Name or descriptive title	Key words	Start date	End date	Leading organization	Public /Private	Collaboration with	Reports / Information material	Current Sponsors	Primary Contact (name and Email)	Other Contacts (name and Email)
T4.1		Rekawa beach, Tangalle	In-situ nest protection programme	Flipper tag, Sattalite tag, Nesting female; Northern Indian Ocean	1996	2000	Turtle Conservation Project (TCP)	Public	University of Peradeniya			T. Kapurusinghe, kjthushan@yahoo.com	L. Ekanayake lalitheml@yahoo.com
T4.2		Rekawa beach, Tangalle	In-situ nest protection programme	Flipper tag, Sattalite tag, Nesting female; Northern Indian Ocean	2005	2012	Turtle Conservation Project (TCP)	Public	University of Peradeniya			T. Kapurusinghe, kjthushan@yahoo.com	L.Ekanayake lalitheml@yahoo.com
T4.3		Kosgoda beach, Kosgoda	In-situ nest protection programme	Flipper tag, Sattalite tag, Nesting female; Northern Indian Ocean	2003	2012	Turtle Conservation Project (TCP)	Public	University of Peradeniya			T. Kapurusinghe, kjthushan@yahoo.com	L.Ekanayake lalitheml@yahoo.com
T4.4		Rekawa beach, Tangalle	Nest protection programme	Nesting female; Northern Indian Ocean	2012	ongoing	Department of Wildlife Conservation	Public				DG, DWC	P.A.C.N.B. Suraweera channasuraweera@yahoo.com
T4.5		Bundala	Nest protection programme	Nesting female; Northern Indian Ocean	2014	ongoing	Department of Wildlife Conservation	Public				DG, DWC	P.A.C.N.B. Suraweera channasuraweera@yahoo.com
T4.6		Kumana	Nest protection programme	Nesting female; Northern Indian Ocean	2013	ongoing	Department of Wildlife Conservation	Public				DG, DWC	P.A.C.N.B. Suraweera channasuraweera@yahoo.com



Figure 1 Map showing the nesting beaches of five sea turtle species in Sri Lanka

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Amarasooriya, K.D. 2000. Classification of sea turtle nesting beaches of southern Sri Lanka. In: Sea turtles of the Indo-Pacific: research, management & conservation (eds. N. Pilcher & G. Ismail), pp. 228-237. ASEAN Academic Press, London.
2	Deraniyagala, P.E.P. 1930. The Testudinata of Ceylon. Spolia Zeylanica 16(1): 43-88.
3	Cooray, R. 1998. The Marine turtles in Rekawa: a survey on nesting activities and exploitation. Sri Lanka Naturalist 11(1 & 2): 1-7.
4	Deraniyagala, P.E.P. 1965. A sanctuary for turtles, the dugong whales and dolphins in the Indian and Southern oceans. Loris 10(4): 246-247, 250.
5	Hora, S.L. 1948. The distribution of crocodiles and chelonians in Ceylon, India, Burma and farther East. In: Proceedings of the National Institute of Science India (Calcutta) 14(6): 285-310.
6	Iverson, J.B. & E. College. 1992. A revised checklist with distribution maps of the turtles of the world. Privately printed, Richmond, Indiana. 363 p.
7	Salm, R.V. 1963. Jaffna's turtle trails. Loris 9(5): 312-314.
8	Deraniyagala, P.E.P. 1933. The loggerhead turtles (Carettidae) of Ceylon. Spolia Zeylanica 18(1): 61-72.
9	Deraniyagala, P.E.P. 1936. The nesting habit of leathery turtle, Dermochelys coriacea. Spolia Zeylanica 19(3): 331-336.
10	Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe, M.M. Saman & M.G.C. Premakumara. 2002a. Estimation of the number of leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea) nesting at the Godavaya turtle rookery in southern Sri Lanka during the nesting season in the year 2001. Kachhapa 6: 11-12.
11	Pandav, B. & B.C. Choudhury. 1998. Olive ridley tagged in Orissa recorded in the coastal waters of eastern Sri Lanka. Marine Turtle Newsletter 82: 9-10.
12	Ekanayake, E.M.L. & K.B. Ranawana. 2006. Nest Site Fidelity of Green Turtles in the Rekawa Turtle Rookery in Sri Lanka. Pilcher,N.J., Compiler. Proceedings of the Twenty-Third Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. NOAA Technical Memorandom NMFS_SEFSC-536, 7-9.
13	Hewavisenthi, S. 1990. Male hawksbill stranded at Kosgoda, Sri Lanka. Marine Turtle Newsletter 51: 16-17.
14	Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe, M.M. Saman & A.M.D.S. Rathnakumara (2012a). A declining trend of nesting frequency of sea turtles at the largest rookery in Sri Lanka. T.T. Jones and B.P. Wallace., Compilers, Proceedings of the thirty-first Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum, NOAA NMFS-SEFSC-631, 201.
15	Ekanayake, E.M.L. K.B. Ranawana T. Kapurusinghe, M.G.C.Premakumara & M.M. Saman (2002b). Marine Turtle Conservation In Rekawa Turtle Rookery In Southern Sri Lanka. Ceylon Journal of Science (Biological Science) Vol.30, 79-88.
16	Ekanayake, E.M.L., R.S. Rajakaruna, T. Kapurusinghe,M.M. Saman, D.S. Rathnakumara, P. Samaraweera & K.B. Ranawana (2010). Nesting Behaviour of the Green Turtle at Kosgoda Rookery, Sri Lanka. *Ceylon Journal of Science (Biological Science)*, 39 (2): 109-120.
17	Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe, M.M. Saman, R.S. Rajakaruna, P. Samaraweera & K.B. Ranawana (2009). Site-fidelity of olive ridley turtle at Kosgoda turtle rookery, Sri Lanka. Proceedings of the Peradeniya University Research Sessions, Sri Lanka. Vol.14. 299-301.
18	Jayathilake, R.A.M., R. Maldeniya and M.D.I.C. Kumara. 2016. A study on temporal and spatial distribution of sea turtle nesting on the southwest coast of Sri Lanka. In Proceedings of the National Aquatic Research and Development Agency (NARA), Scientific Session. 143-146.
19	Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe , M.M. Saman , A.M.D.S. Rathnakumara , R.S. Rajakaruna , P. Samaraweera & K.B. Ranawana (2013). Re-nesting movements and post-nesting migrations of green turtles tagged in two turtle rookeries in Sri Lanka. J. Blumenthal, A. Panagopoulou, and A.F. Rees, Compilers. Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-640, 123.
20	Ekanayake, E.M.L, K.B. Ranawana & T. Kapurusinghe. (2004). Estimation of the average number of nests for green turtle, on the Rekawa beach in Southern Sri Lanka. Three-year study from September 1996 to September 1999. Coyne,M.S., and R.D.Clark, compilers. *Proceedings of the Twenty-First Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*, Philadelphia, USA. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-528, 160-161 p.
21	Ekanayake, E.M.L. and K.B.Ranawana. 2001. Estimation of sex ratio of green turtle hatchlings at Rekawa turtle rookery in Sri Lanka. Abstracts of the Fourth World Congress of Herpetology, 3rd –9th December 2001, Bentota, Sri Lanka., 28-29.
22	Pandav, B. & B.C. Choudhury. 1998. Olive ridley tagged in Orissa recorded in the coastal waters of eastern Sri Lanka. Marine Turtle Newsletter 82: 9-10.
23	Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe, M.M. Saman, D.S. Rathnakumara, P. Samaraweera, K.B. Ranawana & R.S. Rajakaruna (2013). Multiple paternity of the green turtle population at Kosgoda turtle rookery assessed using microsatellite markers. Herpetological Conservation and Biology 8(1):27–36.
24	Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe, M.M. Saman, D.S. Rathnakumara, P. Samaraweera & R.S. Rajakaruna (2017). Genetic diversity of the Green Turtle (Testudines: Cheloniidae: Chelonia mydas (Linnaeus, 1758) population nesting at Kosgoda Rookery, Sri Lanka. Journal of Threatened Taxa 9(6): 10261–10268; ttp://doi.org/10.11609/jott.2797.9.6.10261-10268.
25	Richardson, P.B., Annette C. Broderick, Michael S. Coyne, Lalith Ekanayake, Thushan Kapurusinghe, Chandralal Premakumara, Susan Ranger, M. M. Saman, Matthew J. Witt & Brendan J. Godley (2013). Satellite tracking suggests size-related differences in behaviour and range of female green turtles nesting at Rekawa wildlife sanctuary, Sri Lanka. Marine Biology 160(6), 1415-1426.
26	Ekanayake, E.M.L. (2003). Nest site fidelity and nesting behaviour of marine turtles in Rakawa Turtle Rookery. M.Phil thesis. Postgraduate Institute of Science, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka.

27 Ekanayake, L. (2015a). A survey of marine turtle by-catch and fisherfolk attitude at Kalpitiya, Sri Lanka. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 22: 11-12

28 Ekanayake, L., A.M.D.S.Rathnakumara & Y.K.Karunarathna (2015b). Fishermen attitudinal survey to assess sea turtle by-catch in Gulf of Mannar, Northwest, Sri Lanka. In: Book of Abstracts of 35th Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation (eds. Kaska, Y., B. Sonmez, O. Turkecan & C. Sezgin). MACART press, Turkey, P. 104.

29 Ekanayake, E.M.L. (2016). Exploitation or Conservation? Plan to license sea turtle hatcheries in Sri Lanka comes under fire. In: Belskis, L., Frey, A., Jenson, M., LeRoux, R., and Stewart, K. compilers. Proceedings of the Thirty-Fourth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA NMFS-SEFSC-701: 52.

30 Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe, M.M. Saman, D.S. Rathnakumara and R.S. Rajakaruna (2012b). Effect of environmental temperature and rainfall on nesting behavior of green turtles, Chelonia mydas at kosgoda rookery. Proceedings of the Peradeniya University Research Sessions, Sri Lanka. Vol, 17, 209p

31 Rajakaruna, R.S., Dssanayake, D.M.N.J., Ekanayake, E.M.L. and Ranawana, K.B. (2009) Sea turtle conservation in Sri Lanka: assessment of knowledge, attitude and prevalence of consumptive use of turtle products among coastal community. *Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter* 10: 1-13.

32 Rajakaruna, R.S., Ekanayake E.M.L., Kapurusinghe T., and Ranawana, K.B. (2013) Sea turtle hatcheries in Sri Lanka: Their activities and potential contribution to sea turtle conservation. *Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter*. 17: 2-12.

33 Hewavisenthi, S. 1990. Abnormal hatchlings of green and olive ridley turtles, Victor Hasselblad Hatchery, Sri Lanka. Marine Turtle Newsletter 50: 15-16.

34 Hewavisenthi, S. 1993. Turtle hatcheries in Sri Lanka: Boon or Bane? Marine Turtle Newsletter 60: 19-22.

35 Hewavisenthi, S. & S.W. Kotagama. 1990. The effect of retaining turtle hatchlings in tanks before their release. In: Proceedings of the Sri Lanka Association of Advanced Science 46(1): 92.

36 Hewavisenthi, S. 1989. Slaughter on the beach. Loris 18(4): 74-175.

37 Hewavisenthi, S. 1990. Exploitation of marine turtles in Sri Lanka: Historic background and the present status. Marine Turtle Newsletter 48: 14-19.

38 Ramanathan, A., A. Mallapur, S. Rathnakumara, L.Ekanayake & T. Kapurusinghe. (2010). Untangling the tangled: Knowledge, Attitudes and Perceptions of Fishermen to the Rescue and the Disentanglement of Sea Turtles in Kalpitiya, Sri Lanka. Dean, Kama. & Lopez-Castro, Melania C., compilers. Proceedings of the Twenty-eighth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-602, 118p.

39 Kapurusinghe, T. & R. Cooray. 2002. Marine turtle by-catch in Sri Lanka, survey report, September 1999-November 2000. Turtle Conservation Project, Sri Lanka. 60 p.

40 Weerasinghe, A. & B. Walker. 1995. Some notes on the turtle hatchery, Yaddehimulla, Unawatuna, Sri Lanka. Lyriocephalus 1(1 & 2): 43-47.

41 Richardson, P. 1996. The marine turtle hatcheries of Sri Lanka: A TCP review and assessment of current hatchery practices and recommendations for their improvements. TCP report submitted for DWLC and NARA.

42 Richardson, P. 1995. The Marine Turtle Hatcheries of Sri Lanka. Care for the Wild, Turtle Conservation Project (Unpublished Document), 14pp.

43 Hewavisenthi, S. 1991. Some aspects of artificial hatchery management practices of marine turtles in Sri Lanka. Master's thesis, The Open University of Sri Lanka, Colombo.

44 Gunawardene, P. S., (1986). National sea turtle survey progress report. Unpubl. report for NARA.

45 Hewavisenthi, S. (1990). Exploitation of marine turtles in Sri Lanka: Historic background and the present status. Marine Turtle Newsletter, No. 48, January 1990.

46 Jinadasa, J. (1984). The effect of fishing on turtle populations. Loris 16 (6), Dec. 1984.

47 Perera, L. (1986). National sea turtle survey summary report. Unpubl. report for NARA.

48 Thushan Kapurusinghe & M.M. Saman., 2001. Marine turtle by-catch in Sri Lanka. Three year study from September 1996 to September 1999. Proceedings of the twenty first annual symposium on marine turtle biology and conservation, Philadelphia, USA

49 Dattatri, S. & D. Samarajeewa. 1982. Beach development in Sri Lanka. Marine Turtle Newsletter 22: 4-5.

50 Jones, S. & A.B. Fernando. 1968. The present status of the turtle fishery in the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay. In: Proceedings of the Symposium on the living resources of the seas around India, Cochin. pp. 772-775. Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute.

51 Parsons, J.J. 1962. The green turtle and man. Gainesville, University of Florida Press. (p. 54 account from Sri Lanka).

52 Somanader, K.J. 1954. Turtle-catching in Ceylon. Ceylon Today 3(1&2):21-23.

53 Somanader, K. 1963. Jaffna's turtle trails. Loris 9(5):312-314.

54 Twynam, W.C. 1889. The Ceylon Turtle. Ceylon Literary Register (1st series) 3(51): 406-408.

55 Twynam, W.C. 1889. The Ceylon Turtle. Ceylon Literary Register (1st series) 3(52): 415-416.

56 Holmes, W.R. 1980. Sea turtles. In: Jaffna, Sri Lanka. The Christian Institute for the study of religion and society of Jaffna College. 364 p.

57 Wickremasinghe, S. 1981. Turtles and their conservation. Loris 15(6): 313-315.

58 Anonymous. 1973. The turtles and us. Loris 8(1): 8-9. (about consumption of turtle flesh & eggs)

59 Kapurusinghe, T. 1998. Destructive exploitation of natural resources and the decline of the nesting marine turtle population in Rekawa, Sri Lanka, 1993-1996. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on the Biology and Conservation of the Amphibians and Reptiles of South Asia, Sri Lanka, August 1-5, 1996. 189-193.

60 Kapurusinghe. T & L. Ekanayaka. 2002. The nesting frequency of marine turtles in Rekawa, southern Sri Lanka. In: Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation (compilers. A. Mosier, A. Foley & B. Brost), pp. 62- 65. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-477, 369 p.

61 Ellepola, G., S.Harischandra, & M.G.G. Dhanushka 2014. In-situ sea turtle nest protection program in Panama - Okanda coastal stretch in the east coast of Sri Lanka: a successful conservation activity with community participation. Journal of the department of wildlife conservation 2:165-172.

62 The Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance of Sri Lanka No. 2 of 1937, and its subsequent amendments including 1972, Act No. 49 of 1993 and Act No. 22 of 2009.

63 Perera, Nishan & De Vos, Asha. (2007). Marine protected areas in Sri Lanka: A review. *Environmental management*. 40. 727-38. 10.1007/s00267-005-0154-x.

64 Declaration of Rekawa and Godawaya Sanctuaries, Government Gazette, No. 1446/27 – Thursday May 25th , 2006.

65 http://www.dwc.gov.lk/index.php/en/national-parks

66 Pemadasa, M.G.N.M., Elepathage, T.S.M., Abeysundara, S.P., Rajakaruna, R.S. (2018) Fishers' perception and practices on turtle by-catch in Sri Lanka. *38th Annual Symposium on Sea turtle Conservation and Biology.* Kobe, Japan.

67 Rajakaruna, R.S., Wijetilake, N., E.M.L Ekanayake and Ranawana, K.B. (2012) Tortoiseshell trade in Sri Lanka: Is centuries-old trade now history? *Marine Turtle Newsletter*. 134:9-11.

68 Maldeniya, R. and Dhanushka, P. (2014) Impacts of large pelagic fisheries on the survival of sea turtles in Sri Lanka IOTC–2014–WPEB10–27.

69 Deraniyagala, P.E.P. 1927. The reptiles of Ceylon. *Ceylon Observer* Christmas Number (Colombo): 95-97.

70 Deraniyagala, P.E.P. 1930. The Testudinata of Ceylon. *Spolia Zeylanica* 16(1): 43-88.

71 Ekanayake, E.M.L., T. Kapurusinghe, M.M. Saman, D.S. Rathnakumara, P. Samaraweera & R.S. Rajakaruna (2016). Reproductive Output and Morphometrics of Green Turtle, *Chelonia mydas* nesting at the Kosgoda Rookery in Sri Lanka. *Ceylon Journal of Science* 45(3) 2016: 103-116.

72 FitzSimmons N. and Limpus C. 2014 Marine turtle genetic stocks of the Indo-Pacific: identifying boundaries and knowledge gaps. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 20: 2-18.

73 Ekanyake L. and Manis, L. (2018) Sea turtle by-catch in Kalpitiya peninsula of Sri Lanka. *38th Annual Symposium on Sea turtle Conservation and Biology.* Kobe, Japan.

74 Rajakaruna, R.S. and Waththuhewa, C.S.T. (2019) Post-war tourism developments and coastal light pollution: Effect on sea turtles in Sri Lanka. *39th Annual Symposium on Sea turtle Conservation and Biology. Charleston, SC, USA.*

75 Phillott, A., Hewapathiranage, S., and Rajakaruna, R.S. (2018) Unregulated numbers and management practices of sea turtle hatcheries: An ongoing concern in Sri Lanka. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 27: 8-17.

76 Ekanayake, L. and Karunarathna, Y.K. (2019) Community welfare towards sea turtle conservation at Kalpitiya peninsula of Sri Lanka. *39th Annual Symposium on Sea turtle Conservation and Biology. Charleston, SC, USA.*

77 Suraweera, P.A.C.N.B., Prasanna, S.M.P., Dahanayake, D.D.G.L. and Wijesinghe, M.R. (2019) Predation of turtle eggs and nestlings by *Tatera indica* and *Golunda ellioti* in Sri Lanka: A first time observation. *39th Annual Symposium on Sea turtle Conservation and Biology. Charleston, SC, USA.*

78 Ekanayake, L. and Karunarathna, Y.K. (2018). Community outreach towards sea turtle conservation at Kalpitiya. In: *Proceedings of the 38th Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation*, Kobe, Japan.344.

79 Ekanayake, L. and Karunarathna, Y.K. (2018). Marine conservation with fisheries community at Kalpitiya, Sri Lanka. *5th International Marine Conservation Congress*, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia. 110.

80 Ekanayake, L. and Karunarathna, Y.K. (2018). Reducing plastic pollution to Safeguard Sri Lanka's marine megafauna. *5th International Marine Conservation Congress*, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia. 136.

SUDAN

ALan F. Rees[1], Smriti Jalihal[2] and Andrea D. Phillott[2]

[1]University of Exeter, Penryn, UK

[2]FLAME University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Introduction

Five species of sea turtle are recorded in Sudan [11, 12]. Hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) are most common, followed by green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) with both confirmed as nesting in the country and these two species are reported on below. Loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*), leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) and olive ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) are identified from very infrequent records [1, 12] and are not discussed further.

1 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, Northwest Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Distirbution and levels of hawksbill nesting in Sudan have not been reported for over 15 years ([12], Table 1) and need to be updated. Mukkawar (Mesgarsam) Island appears to be the most important nesting location in the country [12, 15] in the Mohammad Gol Dungonab Bay region, but other important areas include several islands, most notably Seil Ada Kebir [2] and Suakin ([12], Table 2).

Nesting on Mukkawar Island was estimated to be up to "several thousand nesting pits on an 8-10km stretch of shore" in 2002 [15], while 42 individual turtles were recorded nesting on Seil Ada Kebir between 11-18 March in 1978 [2].

No nesting trends are available for the country and a comprehensive assessment of nesting sites is lacking (Table 1).

1.1.2 Marine areas

The greater area of the Dungonab Bay has been identified as sea turtle marine habitat with hawksbills being particularly noticeable at the extreme northern end of the Bay [15]. However, hawksbills are thought to forage at all fringing and barrier reefs [10].

1.2 Other biological data

Clutch size for hawksbills in Sudan averages upwards of 70 eggs ([2, 12] Table 1) with numerous additional yolkless 'eggs' also deposited with the viable clutch.

Straight carapace length for nesting females is at least 53.3 cm [2] but is more commonly at least 10 cm longer [2, 12].

No other useful biological data have been reported for hawksbills in Sudan (Table 1).

1.3 Threats

1.3.1 Nesting sites

Threats to turtle nesting sites have not been quantified but egg harvesting and consumptive exploitation of turtles has been reported (Table 1).

1.3.2 Marine areas

Bycatch is a documented threat to turtles in their marine habitats [10, 12, 13] as well as targeted take and habitat degradation (Table 1).

1.4 Conservation

Turtles are at least nominally afforded legal protection in Sudan under several international and national regulations (Table 3).

A marine park has been established that covers the most important known nesting and foraging sites for hawksbill turtles [15], but there are no documented or known conservation programs running (Table 1).

1.5 Research

No current research is reported or known to be in progress (Table 1). There is an acute need for an update on the status of sea turtle populations and all aspects of turtle demography in Sudan.

2 RMU: *Chelonia mydas*, Northwest Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Very limited information on green turtle nesting in Sudan has been reported [11, 12], however nesting is known to occur at Mukkawar, Payer and Seil Ada Kebir Islands (Table 2) with an estimate of no more than 50 nests annually ([11], Table 1).

2.1.2 Marine areas

The greater area of the Dungonab Bay has been identified as sea turtle marine habitat with green turtles being particularly widespread and seen across the region. However, particularly large numbers of green turtles were observed in the very extensive areas of shallow reef flat and sand at the northern end of Kukkawar Island, at the extreme northern end of the Bay [15].

2.2 Other biological data

No biological data on green turtles in Sudan has been reported (Table 1).

2.3 Threats

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Same as for hawksbill turtles, see section 1.3.1 and Table 1.

2.3.2 Marine areas

Same as for hawksbill turtles, see section 1.3.2 and Table 1.

2.4 Conservation

See 1.4.

2.5 Research

No current research is reported or known to be in progress (Table 1). There is an acute need for an update on the status of sea turtle populations and all aspects of turtle demography in Sudan.

Table 1. Characteristics of nesting marine turtles in Sudan.

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**DC-U**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref #
Occurrence										
Nesting sites	U	11,12	Y	11,12	N	11,12	Y	2,3,11,12	N	11,12
Pelagic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a		Y	12	n/a		Y	1
Benthic foraging grounds	Y	12	Y	10,15	n/a		Y	4,5,10,15	n/a	
Key biological data										
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	n/a		<50 (U)	11	n/a		300-350 (1960s-1970s)	3	n/a	
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	n/a		n/a		n/a		1	15	n/a	
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	n/a		n/a		n/a		1	2	n/a	
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Total length of nesting sites (km)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting females / yr	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**DC-U**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref #
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		n/a		n/a		53.3 SCL, N= 42 (67.5 CCL, 63 SCL, N = 15,14)	2	n/a	n/a
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		73.2 (26) [87-92]	2	n/a	n/a
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a
Trends										
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Published studies										
Growth rates	N		N		N		N		N	
Genetics	N		N		N		N		N	
Stocks defined by genetic markers	N		N		N		N		N	
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	N		N		N		N		N	
Survival rates	N		N		N		N		N	
Population dynamics	N		N		N		N		N	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	N		N		N		N		N	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	N		N		N		N		N	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**DC-U**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref #
Threats										
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y	10,12	Y	10,12	Y	10,12	Y	10,12	Y	10,12
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	Y	10,13	Y	10,13	Y	10,13	Y	10,13	Y	10,13
Bycatch: quantified?	N		N		N		N		N	
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	n/a		Y	13,14	n/a		Y	2,6,7,8,13	n/a	
Take. Egg poaching	n/a		Y	12,13	n/a		Y	2,12,13	n/a	
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	n/a		Y	10	n/a		Y	10	n/a	
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Egg predation	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	Y	10	Y	10	n/a		Y	10	Y	10
Other	Y	10	Y	10	n/a		Y	10	Y	10
Long-term projects (>5yrs)										
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of index nesting sites	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Dermochelys coriacea*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*		*Lepidochelys olivacea*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**DC-U**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #	**LO-WIO**	Ref #
Conservation										
Protection under national law	Y	2	Y	2	Y	2	Y	2	Y	2
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	1	14	1	14	1	14	1	14	1	14
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Hatcheries	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Head-starting	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

Table 2. Nesting beaches in Sudan.

RMU / Nesting beach name	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Central point		Length (km)	% Monitored	Reference #	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)
Ei NWIO									
(Al-)Seil Ada Kebir	n/a	n/a	19.23333333 N	37.83333333 E	n/a	n/a	L	2	E
Hindi Gidir Island	n/a	n/a	37.91258333 N	19.38194444 E	n/a	n/a	L	2	E
Mukkawar (Megarsam) Island	n/a	n/a	37.28969444 N	20.95666667 E	n/a	n/a	L, N	2	E
Masamirit Island	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	L		
Payer Island	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	L		
Arkyay	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	L		
Cm NWIO									
Mukkawar (Megarsam) Island	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	L, N		
Payer Island	n/a	n/a			n/a	n/a	L		
Seil Ada Kebir Island	n/a	n/a	19.23333333 N	37.83333333 E	n/a	n/a	L		

Table 3. International conventions signed by Sudan in relation to marine turtle conservation.

International Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles
Marine Fishery Administration Law for the Red Sea State	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	Clause 29 prohibits the capture of sharks, turtles, dolphins and other endangered species
African Convention on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	Preservation of wildlife in its natural environment. Sea turtles in Annex A
CITES	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	
CMS	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	
CBD	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	
RAMSAR	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	
Jeddah	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	Regional collaboration to protect the fragile Red Sea and Gulf of Aden ecosystems
UNCLOS	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	
PERSGA	Y	n/a	n/a	All	n/a	
National Convention		n/a	n/a		n/a	
Wildlife and National Parks Protection Act (1986)		n/a	n/a	All	Implements CITES	



Figure 1. Location of Mukkawar Island (dark green turtle icon), the main turtle nesting site in Sudan, and other nesting sites in the Dungonab Bay region, which comprises extensive marine habitats used by numerous turtles of several speices. (reproduced from reference [15]

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Frazier J., G.C. Bertram & P.G.H. Evans. 1987. Turtles and marine mammals. In: Key Environments. Red Sea (eds. Edwards A.J. & Head S.M.). Pp 288-314. Elsevier.
2	Hirth H.F. & Abdel Latif E.M. 1980. A nesting colony of the hawksbill turtle *Eretmochelys imbricata* on Seil Ada Kebir Island, Suakin Archipelago, Sudan. Biological Conservation 17: 125-130.
3	Moore R.J. & Balzarotti M.A. 1976. Report of 1976 expedition to Suakin Archipelago (Sudanese Red Sea): Results of marine turtle survey and notes of marine life and bird life. Informal report. In [2] and [9] and [10]
4	Amirthalingam C. 1970. Fauna of the Red Sea. Sudan Research Unit. Sawakin Project (No. 2), Khartoum, University of Khartoum. In [2]
5	Polunin N.V.C. 1975. Sea turtles: Reports on Thailand, West Malaysia and Indonesia, with a synopsis of data on the 'conservation status' of sea turtles in the Indo-West Pacific region. IUCN, Morges. In [2].
6	Paul A. 1954. A History of the Beja Tribes of the Sudan. Cass, London. In [2]
7	McCrindle J.W. 1879. The Commerce and Navigation of the Erythraean Sea: Being a Translation of the Periplus Maris Erythraei, by an Anonymous Writer, and of Arrian's Account if the Voyage of Nearkhos, from the Muth of the Indus to the Head of the Persian Gulf. Trubner: London.
8	Paul A. 1955. Aidhab: A medieval Red Sea port. Sudan Notes and Records 36: 64-70.
9	Mancini A., Elsadek I. and El-Alwany. 2015. Marine turtles of the Red Sea. In: The Red Sea (eds. Rasul N.M.A. & Stewart I.C.F.). Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany.
10	PERSGA/GEF. 2004. Regional Action Plan for the conservation of marine turtles and their habitats in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGA, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
11	PERSGA 2003. Status report of marine turtles in Sudan. PERSGA, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. In [10]
12	Al-Mansi A.M., Bilal S.A., Abdullah E.O., Elamin S.M. 2003. The marine turtles in the Republic of Sudan: Their biology and conservation. PERSGA Technical Report, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. p 26.
13	Hariri KI, Nichols P, Krupp F, Mishrigi S, Barrania A, Ali AF, Kedidi SM. 2002. Status of the living marine resources in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden region and their management. Regional Organisation for the conservation of the Environment of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (PERSGA), Strategic Action Programme for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
14	PERSGA. 2006. State of the Marine Environment, Report for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGA, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
15	PERSGA/GEF. 2003. Survey of the proposed marine protected area at Dungonab Bay and Mukawwar Island, Sudan. Report for PERSGA. PERSGA, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

YEMEN

Jeff D. Miller

IOSEA Advisory Committee, USA

1 RMU: *Caretta caretta*, Northwest Indian Ocean (CC-NWIO)

1.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

The distribution, abundance, trends, and status of loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) populations in Yemen are not completely known (Table 1) [3, 50, 54, 65].

1.1.1 Nesting sites

Loggerhead turtles nest in large numbers on Socotra Island [3] and infrequently on the coast at Sharma – Jethmoon – Dhargham (Figure 1) [22, 28]**.** Nesting has not been reported within the Yemeni portion of the Red Sea [54].

1.1.2 Marine areas

Loggerhead turtles forage for mollusks and crustaceans in bays and estuaries that host their prey [7, 50, 52]. They use many of the shallow bays and estuaries along the mainland coast of Yemen and coastal areas around the islands as foraging and residence areas [61, 62]. Several post-nesting loggerhead turtles migrated from Masirah Island, Oman, to near- and off- shore areas in Yemen [61]. In addition, a few satellite tagged sub-adult loggerhead turtles released after being captured in fisheries operations near Reunion Island migrated to the southwestern coast of Yemen [62]. These results indicate a region-wide linking among habitats used by the loggerhead turtles found in Yemen. Satellite tracking of post-nesting loggerhead turtles that nested on Socotra Island and the mainland coast would fill in important information on habitat use within the region.

1.2 Other biological data

Although some data exist [7, 12, 53] (Table 2, 5), basic morphometrics for the several nesting aggregations need to be collected along with samples for analysis of genetic affiliations among the nesting groups within the region and beyond. In addition, the information needs to be published so that a better definition of the status of the population can be made. Although assessment of the loggerhead turtles that nest at Socota has been made in recent years (Table 6) [54], the basic biological information has not been published which means that biological data for the population must rely on older summaries [7, 12, 53].

1.3 Threats

PERSGA/GEF [24] identified nine major issues that are impacting marine turtles and their habitat in the Red Sea: Habitat Destruction, Industrial Activities, Oil and other Hydrocarbons, Maritime Transport, Fisheries, Recreational Activities, Domestic Sewage Pollution, Coral Bleaching, and Desalination [60]. The importance of each of these issues requires evaluation by each country in the region. As part of its evaluation of the importance of these factors, Yemen listed artisanal fishing as a 'moderate' threat and the others as 'small'. Given that more than a decade has passed since the evaluation, the process of defining threats and determining their importance should be re-evaluated. Many of the threats identified to impact marine turtles elsewhere in the world (e.g., various fishing

activities, coastal development [12, 46, 47, 48]) are likely to be operating in Yemen, albeit at unquantified levels (Table 1).

1.3.1 Nesting sites

The list of threats to marine turtles while on the nesting beach includes: uncontrolled tourism, use for food (both turtles and their eggs), as well as depredation by ghost crabs, dogs and foxes, and birds, [22, 54] albeit at a "low level" [57]. The people of Socotra consume both turtle meat and eggs which are sold in the market in Hadibo [24, 38]. Also, development of coastal urban centers and industry, as well as other activities, pose threats to nesting areas [8].

This suggests that the threats to the nesting areas and the populations are likely to be acting over extended periods of time and that the impact may not be apparent unless long term monitoring data are available for comparison.

1.3.2 Marine areas

There is little specific information about the threats to loggerhead turtles at sea in Yemen. However, there are clear threats to marine turtles from artisanal and commercial fisheries [42, 43, 44]. The primary threat of marine turtles in Yemen is the Red Sea trawl fishery and the pelagic fishery in the Gulf of Aden [26]. The Red Sea trawl areas cover about 6,200 km^2, including a 550 km^2 shrimp fishery areas [30]. Fishing and turtle habitat (nesting, inter-nesting and some foraging) coincide around Socotra and along both the eastern Gulf of Aden mainland shore and the southern Red Sea coast [37]. Given the variety of fishing methods and gear used [37] turtle bycatch needs to be evaluated to determine the impact.

A review of fishery management in Yemen identified "weak enforcement and low compliance and the widespread illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing" [2] as major issues that need to be addressed to aid management of the fishing industry [2, 45, 58]. These comments are relevant to turtle management. Additional threats to marine turtles at sea and in their foraging habitats come from the discharge of wastes and dirty ballast water, particularly in the narrow Strait of Bab al-Mandab at the mouth of the Red Sea [8, 27]. The projected change in climate is likely to negatively impact coastal Yemen, including existing infrastructure around harbors and, by inference along the coastal margin where marine turtles nest [1].

1.4 Conservation

The Republic of Yemen is a party to several international conventions, agreements and treaties [16, 36, 37] including ones that were signed before the unification decree that brought together the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen and the Yemen Arab Republic to form the Republic of Yemen in 1990 (Table 3). For example, Yemen is party to Convention on Biological Diversity, the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Recently, Yemen became part of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of wild animals (CITES) and the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS). Also, Yemen is a party to the Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Environment (PERSGA). In addition, Yemen has developed a number of national instruments that deal directly or indirectly with the conservation of marine turtles and the regulation of threatening processes. [16, 35]

Yemen has only two protected areas (Table 7). The Socotra archipelago that includes the main island of Socotra (12°30'N 54°00'E), Abd al-Khuri, Samha and Darsa islands, as well as Kal-faraon and Sabouniya Islets was declared in 1996. Recently (2009), the Ras Isa / Kamaran Island area was declared. In addition, there are other areas that have been proposed for protection [24, 26].

1.5 Research

At present there is scant information concerning research and conservation groups or their activities in Yemen (Table 4). Research on the biology, ecology, and threats to marine turtles in Yemen needs up-dating. The populations of all species of sea turtles living and breeding in Yemen need to be assessed for their current distribution (nesting and foraging), basic biological characteristics (i.e., adult length and weight, number of eggs, duration of incubation, emergence success, size of hatchlings), as well as their genetic affiliations with other groups in the western Indian Ocean. In addition, long term studies should be initiated to determine growth, movement patterns, and habitat utilisation.

The majority of the scientific work that underpins the current management decision-making is more than a decade old, in many cases the data are two or more decades old. The older data are important because they provide a base-line definition of the status of the species against which current data can be compared. However, it is essential to collect information on the current situation of marine turtles so that conservation management decisions can be made using standardized methods [34] and current data. The majority of recent publications review the older information without adding substantially to the database. It follows that any unpublished information that would help define the current populations should be published as a matter of urgency.

2 RMU: *Chelonia mydas,* North-West Indian Ocean (CM-NWIO)

2.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

The distribution, abundance, trends, and status of Green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) populations in Yemen are not completely known (Table 1).

2.1.1 Nesting sites

Green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) nest on mainland beaches, with the most dense nesting occurring along the Sharmah, Jethmoon, and Dhargham coasts, and in low density on the many islands in the Red Sea and in the Socotra Archipelago (Figure 1) [17, 21, 22, 24, 59]. The coastal sites of Sharma and Jathmun form a series of beaches (approx. 50km long) broken into sections by rocky headlands. The combined beaches host approximately 1000 nesting turtles annually [9, 19]. Ras Sharma beach appears to be the most important nesting area for the green turtle in the western Arabian Region, including the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden [21]. Other sites include Khor Umaira, Ras Imran, and near Mukalla Sharma [8].

Green turtle mating, as evidenced by the marks made by male claws on the carapaces of nesting females, occurs in June and July with less mating at other times of the year [22]. Marine turtles nest throughout the year, the peak-nesting season is between July and September [21]. Hatchlings were most commonly encountered between October and November [22].

2.1.2 Marine areas

Intertidal and subtidal seagrass beds of the Red Sea [56] and Gulf of Aden are important feeding areas for green turtles [10, 15, 20]. For example, the Al-Luhayah area (30,000 ha) that extends from Midi near the Saudi border to Al-Luhayah (approx. 90 km) contains mangroves, several seagrass beds, sand bars, and mudflats [9]. The area provides habitat for green turtles, dugong (*Dugon dugon*) and waterfowl [9]. Other shallow coastal and reefal areas that host seagrass and algae are probably utilized at some level by foraging green turtles. In addition, Yemen's Red Sea and Gulf of Aden near-shore area is used as a migration corridor by green turtles nesting on Masirah Island [63]. This movement

combined with the movement associated with the coastal nesting within Yemen indicates a region of complex movements by many turtles.

2.2 Other biological data

Basic morphometric data available is 20 or more years old. Current data need to be collected along with samples for analysis of genetic affiliations among the nesting groups (Table 2, 5). In addition, the information needs to be published so that a better definition of the status of the population can be made.

Although assessments of green turtles that nest in Yemen have been made in recent years (Table 6) [46, 48, 54], the lack of recent data means that biological data for the population must rely on older summaries [10, 15, 41, 53].

2.3 Threats

Nine major threatening processes impact marine turtles and their habitat in Yemen: habitat destruction, industrial activities, oil and other hydrocarbons, maritime transport, fisheries, recreational activities, domestic sewage pollution, coral bleaching, and desalination [24, 60]. The importance of each of these issues requires evaluation to determine the impact on each species of marine turtle and their habitat. As part of its evaluation of the importance of these factors, Yemen listed artisanal fishing as a 'moderate' threat and the others as 'small'. [24] Because more than a decade has passed since the evaluation, threats and their importance should be re-evaluated. Many of the threats identified to impact marine turtles elsewhere in the world (e.g., various fishing activities, coastal development [12, 46, 47, 48] are likely to be operating in Yemen, albeit at unquantified levels (Table 1).

2.3.1 Nesting sites

Marine turtles face several threats while nesting in Yemen, including anthropogenic threats (i.e., use of turtles and their eggs for food, "uncontrolled tourism at nesting beaches, incidental bycatch in coastal gillnets and other disturbances" [58]) and non-anthropogenic threats (i.e., depredation by ghost crabs, dogs and foxes, and birds) [22, 58]. Threats to turtles using the nesting areas are likely to be acting over extended periods of time albeit at a "low level" [58] and the impact may not be apparent without comparison with long term monitoring data.

2.3.2 Marine areas

Although the risk of capture varies among sea turtle species and the types of fishing gear used, there is a clear threat to marine turtles from artisanal and commercial fisheries [42, 43]. The primary threats to marine turtles are from the Red Sea trawl fishery and the pelagic fishery in the Gulf of Aden [26]. The Red Sea trawl areas cover about 6,200 km^2, including 550 km^2 shrimp fishery areas [30]. Fishing and turtle habitat (nesting, inter-nesting and some foraging) coincide around Socotra and along both the eastern Gulf of Aden mainland shore and the southern Red Sea coast [37]. Given the variety of fishing methods and gear used [37] turtle bycatch needs to be evaluated to determine the impact on the populations. Although the majority of the fishing in the Gulf of Aden targets pelagic species [43, 44], management of the fishing industry in Yemen needs to address "weak enforcement and low compliance and the widespread illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing" [2] as part of total bycatch reduction and stock management [45, 58].

In addition, the discharge of wastes and dirty ballast water, particularly in the narrow Strait of Bab al-Mandab at the mouth of the Red Sea pose lower level threats [8, 27]. Also, development of coastal

urban centers and industry, as well as other activities, pose threats. In the future, coastal Yemen will be negatively impacted by the projected change in climate [1], including infrastructure around harbors and other coastal areas, including the coastal margin where marine turtles nest.

2.4 Conservation

The Republic of Yemen is party to international conventions, agreements and treaties [16, 36, 37] including ones that were signed before unification (Table 3). For example, Yemen is a participant in the Convention on Biological Diversity, the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Recently, Yemen became a member of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of wild animals (CITES) and the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS). Yemen is a party to the Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Environment (PERSGA). In addition, Yemen has developed a number of national instruments that deal directly or indirectly with the conservation marine turtles and the regulation of threatening processes. [16, 35]

PERSGA developed a regional action plan for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden in 2004 [24]. However, because more than a decade has elapsed since the document was produced, it should be reviewed and updated to provide continuing guidance for conservation in Yemen and the Red Sea region.

Yemen has only two protected areas (Table 7). The Socotra archipelago including the main island of Socotra (12°30'N 54°00'E), Abd al-Khuri, Samha and Darsa islands, and Kal-faraon and Sabouniya Islets was declared in 1996. Recently (2009) the Ras Isa / Kamaran Island area was declared. In addition, there are other areas that have been proposed for protection [24, 26].

2.5 Research

Research on the biology, ecology, and threats to marine turtles in Yemen needs up-dating. At present there is scant information concerning research and conservation groups or their activities in Yemen (Table 4). The populations of sea turtles living and breeding in Yemen need to be assessed for their current distribution (nesting and foraging), basic biological characteristics (i.e., adult length and weight, number of eggs, duration of incubation, emergence success, size of hatchlings), as well as their genetic affiliations with other groups in the western Indian Ocean. In addition, long term studies should be initiated to determine growth, movement patterns, and habitat utilization.

The majority of scientific work that underpins the current management decision-making is more than a decade old, in many cases the data are two or more decades old. The older data are important because they provide a definition of the status of the species against which current data can be compared. However, it is essential to collect information on the current situation of marine turtles so that conservation management decisions can be made using standardized methods [34] and current data. The majority of recent publications review the older information without adding substantially to the database. It follows that any unpublished information that would help define the current populations should be published as a matter of urgency.

3 RMU: *Eretmochelys imbricata*, North-West Indian Ocean (EI-NWIO)

3.1 Distribution, abundance, trends

The distribution, abundance, trends, and status of hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata)* populations in Yemen are not completely known (Table 1). Although several studies [10, 13] and reviews [25, 53, 54, 55] provide access to older data, the abundance and population trends cannot be assessed without current data.

3.1.1 Nesting sites

In Yemen, hawksbill turtles nest on islands in the vicinity of Bab al Mandab [54], mainly on the Kamaran Islands, Makran, Jabal Aziz Islet, and Perim (Berim) Island, which host possibly as many as 500 females annually (Figure 1) [13, 15, 51]. Peak nesting occurs from December through February [15].

3.1.2 Marine areas

Foraging areas used by hawksbill turtles [55] have not been specifically identified in Yemen [51]. However, because the species is typically associated with coral reefs [15, 55], it is likely that the fringing reefs around the islands and the coastal reefs are used for foraging by resident turtles.

3.2 Other biological data

The available data on the morphometrics and other biological data of hawksbill turtles nesting in Yemen are greater than 20 years old (Table 1, 5) [15, 25, 53, 54, 55]. The information on the hawksbill turtle population in Yemen requires up-dating using standardized techniques [34] so that comparison to other regional populations can be made. Genetic sampling of nesting and foraging populations to define associations with other regional populations in the northwest Indian Ocean is not complete [11].

3.3 Threats

The major events threatening marine turtles and their habitat in Yemen include: habitat destruction, industrial activities, oil and other hydrocarbons, maritime transport, fisheries, recreational activities, domestic sewage pollution, coral bleaching, and desalination [24, 60]. The importance of each of these issues requires evaluation to determine the extent of the impact so that appropriate remediation can be initiated. As part of its evaluation of the importance of these factors, Yemen listed artisanal fishing as a 'moderate' threat and the others as 'small' [24]. Given that more than a decade has passed since the evaluation, the process of defining threats and determining their importance should be re-evaluated. Many of the threats identified to impact marine turtles elsewhere in the world (e.g., various fishing activities, coastal development [12, 46, 47, 48]) are likely to be operating in Yemen, albeit at unquantified levels (Table 1).

3.3.1 Nesting sites

Both anthropogenic threats and non-anthropogenic threats (e.g., use of eggs for food, incidential capture in artisanal and commercial fishing, depredation of eggs and hatchlings by ghost crabs, canids, and birds) are operating in Yemen [22 24, 58]. However, the impact on the hawksbill turtle populations needs to be quantified. Unfortunately, long term monitoring data do not exist.

3.3.2 Marine areas

There is a clear threat to marine turtles from artisanal and commercial fisheries, including trawl and the pelagic fisheries [26, 42, 43]. The Red Sea trawl areas include 550 km^2 shrimp fishery areas [30]. Fishing and turtle habitat (nesting, inter-nesting and some foraging) coincide along both the eastern Gulf of Aden mainland shore and the southern Red Sea coast and around Socotra [37]. Given the variety of fishing methods and gear used [37] turtle bycatch needs to be evaluated to determine the impact [43, 44]. Fishery management is one of the major issues that needs to be addressed to aid management of the fishing industry and to help conserve marine turtle populations [2, 45, 58].

In addition, the discharge of wastes and dirty ballast water, particularly in the narrow Strait of Bab al-Mandab at the mouth of the Red Sea, and the development of coastal urban and industry centers contribute to the habitat degradation that impacts marine turtles [8, 27]. The projected sea level rise associated with change in climate, will negatively impact the coastal margin including where marine turtles nest [1].

3.4 Conservation

The Republic of Yemen is a party to multiple international conventions, agreements and treaties [16, 36, 37], including the Convention on Biological Diversity, the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of wild animals (CITES) and the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS) (Table 3). Regionally, Yemen is a party to PERSGA (the Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden). However, because more than a decade has passed since PERSGA developed the regional action plan for the conservation of marine turtles [17, 24], the document should be reviewed and up-dated to provide continuing guidance for conservation in Yemen. Locally, Yemen has developed a number of national instruments that deal directly or indirectly with the conservation marine turtles and the regulation of threatening processes. [16, 35]

Yemen has only two protected areas (Table 7). The Socotra archipelago that includes the main island of Socotra (12°30'N 54°00'E), Abd al-Khuri, Samha and Darsa Islands, as well as Kal-faraon and Sabouniya Islets was declared in 1996. Recently (2009) The Ras Isa / Kamaran Island area was declared. In addition, there are other areas that have been proposed for protection [23, 24].

3.5 Research

At present there is little information concerning research and conservation groups and their activities in Yemen (Table 4). Research on the biology, ecology, and threats to marine turtles in Yemen should be updated. The populations of sea turtles living and breeding in Yemen need to be assessed for their current distribution (nesting and foraging), basic biological characteristics (i.e., adult length and weight, number of eggs, duration of incubation, emergence success, size of hatchlings), as well as their genetic affiliations with other groups in the western Indian Ocean. In addition, long term studies should be initiated to determine growth, movement patterns, and habitat utilisation.

The majority of the scientific work needed to support current management decision-making is more than a decade old, in many cases the data are two or more decades old. Collecting current information on the situation of marine turtles in Yemen using standardized methods is necessary so that conservation management decisions can be made [34]. The majority of recent publications review the older information without adding substantially to the database. It follows that any unpublished

information that would help define the current populations should be published as a matter of urgency.

4 Other Species

Leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) [21, 26, 33, 53, 54] and olive-ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) [22, 31, 32, 66] turtles have only been occasionally observed in Yemen.

The leatherback has not been recorded nesting in Yemen [4, 26, 53, 54] and is considered to be a non-resident species in Yemen's waters as they are only seen rarely [26, 53, 54]. The majority of specimens reported are either a carcass stranded on a beach or an individual caught in fishing gear [26, 53].

Some of the olive ridley turtles reported were either stranded on a beach or caught in fishing gear [53]. No nesting sites have been confirmed for the olive ridley turtle in Yemen [54], although at least two individuals have been reported on shore, possibly nesting, recently on the Sharma-Jethmoun-Dhargham coast [46]. It is likely that a population (albeit unquantified) of resident olive ridley turtles use Yemeni territory for foraging [32] but this needs to be confirmed. Unlike loggerheads and green turtles that have been tracked into Yemen territorial waters [62, 63], none of the nine post-nesting olive ridley turtles tracked from Masirah Island in Oman migrated to Yemen territory [64].

Table 1. Representation and biological characteristics of nesting marine turtle species in Yemen.

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Occurrence						
Nesting sites	Y	14	Y	14, 19	Y	14, 19
Pelagic foraging grounds	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Benthic foraging grounds	Y	7	Y	13, 19, 20	Y	13
Key biological data						
Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	n/a		n/a	22	n/a	
Nests/yr: recent order of magnitude	1000	17, 22	10000-15000	10, 15, 17, 21, 22, 46	500	10, 15, 17, 22
Number of "major" sites (>20 nests/yr AND >10 nests/km yr)	3	14, 17	3	10, 15, 22,	2	10, 15
Number of "minor" sites (<20 nests/yr OR <10 nests/km yr)	6-10	22	6-10	10, 15	6-10	10, 15
Nests/yr at "major" sites: recent average (range of years)	? 1000	21	10000+	10, 15, 22, 46	500	10, 15
Nests/yr at "minor" sites: recent average (range of years)	? 100	21	5000+	10, 15, 22, 46	? 100	10, 15
Total length of nesting sites (km)	10-15	29	50	22. 63	n/a	
Nesting females / yr	n/a		5000-10000	10, 15, 22, 46	100-200	10, 15
Nests / female season (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Female remigration interval (yrs) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Sex ratio: Hatchlings (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Immatures (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Sex ratio: Adults (F / Tot) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Min adult size, CCL or SCL (cm)	n/a		77 SCL	18	n/a	
Age at maturity (yrs)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Clutch size (n eggs) (N)	n/a		122.4 (5)	18	n/a	
Emergence success (hatchlings/egg) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Nesting success (Nests/ Tot emergence tracks) (N)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Trends						
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at nesting sites (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Recent trends (last 20 yrs) at foraging grounds (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Oldest documented abundance: nests/yr (range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Published studies						
Growth rates	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Genetics	n/a		Y	11	Y	11
Stocks defined by genetic markers	Y	11	Y	11	Y	11
Remote tracking (satellite or other)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Survival rates	n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Population dynamics	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging ecology (diet or isotopes)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Capture-Mark-Recapture	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Threats						
Bycatch: presence of small scale / artisanal fisheries?	Y (SN, GN, TR, HL)	6	Y (SN, GN, TR, HL)	6	Y (SN, GN, TR, HL)	6
Bycatch: presence of industrial fisheries?	Y (ST)	6	Y (ST)	6	Y (ST)	6
Bycatch: quantified?	No	6	No	6	No	6
Take. Intentional killing or exploitation of turtles	Y	39	n/a		n/a	
Take. Egg poaching	Y	46	Y	46	n/a	
Coastal Development. Nesting habitat degradation	c	46	n/a		n/a	
Coastal Development. Photopollution	Y	39	Y	39	Y	39
Coastal Development. Boat strikes	Y	39	Y	39	Y	39
Egg predation	Y	39	Y	46	n/a	
Pollution (debris, chemical)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Pathogens	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Climate change	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Foraging habitat degradation	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Other						

	Caretta caretta		*Chelonia mydas*		*Eretmochelys imbricata*	
RMU	**CC-NWIO**	Ref #	**CM-NWIO**	Ref #	**EI-NWIO**	Ref #
Long-term projects (>5yrs)						
Monitoring at nesting sites (period: range of years)	Y (1998-2007)	46	Y (2011-2014)	22	n/a	
Number of index nesting sites	2	50, 24	3	22, 24	1?	24
Monitoring at foraging sites (period: range of years)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Conservation						
Protection under national law	Y	36	Y	36	Y	36
Number of protected nesting sites (habitat preservation) (% nests)	1	36	1	36	1	36
Number of Marine Areas with mitigation of threats	1	36	1	36	1	36
N of long-term conservation projects (period: range of years)	n/a		Y	46	n/a	
In-situ nest protection (eg cages)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Hatcheries	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Head-starting	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: fishing gear modifications (eg, TED, circle hooks)	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: onboard best practices	n/a		n/a		n/a	
Bycatch: spatio-temporal closures/reduction	n/a		n/a		n/a	

Table 2. Index nesting sites in Yemen.

	Index site	Nests/yr: recent average (range of years)	Crawls/yr: recent average (range of years)	Western limit Lat	Western limit Lon	Eastern limit Lat	Eastern limit Lon	Central point Lat	Central point Lon	Length (km)	% Monitored	Monitoring Level (1-2)	Monitoring Protocol (A-F)	Ref#
CC-NWIO														
Socotra														
North Shore Socotra	**Y**							12.59667	53.92194			2	A	14
Abalhen beach	(Part of NS Socotra)							12.61666	53.76674			2	A	46
Niet	(Part of NS Socotra)							12.46660	53.50000	1		2	A	14
Shueb	(Part of NS Socotra)							12.53330	53.48330	1		2	A	14
Abdulkuri	(Part of NS Socotra)											2	A	14
Ghubba and Ra's Qadamah	(Part of NS Socotra)			12.61513	53.76667	12.61666	53.76674			15		2	A	14
Ghubbat Abalhan to Ras Kadama	(Part of NS Socotra)											2	A	14
Mahferhen-Zahek	(Part of NS Socotra)									5		2	A	14
Ra's Ersel	(Part of NS Socotra)									1		2	A	14
Sibrahoo	(Part of NS Socotra)									2		2	A	14

Mainland														
Al-Fatk – Hawf coast, Al-Mahra	**N**							16.51654	52.69141			2	A	46
Sharma-Jethmoun-Dhargham coast, Hadhramout	**N**			14.82663	50.05104	14.81973	50.02389					2	A	46
CM-NWIO														
Al-Fatk – Hawf coast, Al-Mahra								16.51654	52.69141			2	A	46
Sharma-Jethmoun-Dhargham coast, Hadhramout	**Y**	10000		14.82663	50.05104	14.81973	50.02389					2	A	19, 46
Sharma (Sharmah)	(Part of S J D nesting)		45							1.8		2	A	22
Jethmoon	(Part of S J D nesting)									6 km		2	A	22
Dhargham	(Part of S J D nesting)											2	A	22
Ithmun	(Part of S J D nesting)		120							4.8		2	A	18, 19
between Bab al Mandab and Mukalla	Minor											2	A	18, 19, 22
Musa	Minor		25					13.71778	43.28083	0.24		2	A	18, 19, 22
Shihr	(Part of S J D nesting)		25							0.4		2	A	18, 19
Shuhair,	(Part of S J D nesting)		140							5.6		2	A	18, 19
Perim Is (Barim)	Minor							12.65000	43.41667			2	A	10, 19

EI-NWIO														
Jabal Aziz Island (= Jazirat Aziz)	**Y**							12.73330	44.88333	~500	ND	ND	A	10, 19
Ras Imran and Azizi Island, Aden	**Y**	500						12.85850	44.70230			2	A	51, 46
Perim Is (Barim)	**Y**							12.65000	43.41667			2	A	10, 19
False Bay Beach	(Part of Perim Is Nesting)									0.365		2	A	10, 19
Shand Bay Beach	(Part of Perim Is Nesting)									0.36		2	A	10, 19
Ras Sheikh Berkhud	(Part of Perim Is Nesting)									0.02		2	A	10, 19
Sharma-Jethmoun-Dhargham coast, Hadhramout	N			14.82663	50.05104	14.81973	50.02389					2	A	46

Table 3. International and Regional conventions Yemen has signed and national laws and regulations Yemen has enacted that concern or impact marine turtles and their habitats. [16, 24, 26, 35, 36, 37]

Conventions	Signed	Binding	Compliance measured and reported	Species	Conservation actions	Relevance to sea turtles	Contact
International							
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of wild animals (CITES, Washington, 1973)	1997	Yes	(updated as needed)	all marine turtles.	regulating international trade of different species of threatened animals. forbids trade of these species in all signatory countries except in exceptional circumstances.	Prohibits trade	Dr. Abdelkader Mohammed Al- Kharraz, ChairmanEnvironment Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 SANA'A
Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS, Bonn 1979)	2006	Yes	(updated as needed)	all marine turtles.	aims to conserve terrestrial, aquatic and avian migratory species throughout their range.	deals with turtle issues and conservation	Mr. Maeen Lutf Alsewari National Coordinator, Convention Migratory Species (CMS (Environment Protection Authority (EPA) Sana'a, Republic of Yemen P. O. Box 19719 Tel: +967 711488943 Fax: +967 1 207327 Email: maeen_swary@hotmail.com
Indian Ocean South East Asian Memoranda of Understanding (MoU) on Marine turtles	2008	No	Intermittant (updated as needed)	All	Most countries bordering the Red Sea are part of the MoU on Marine Turtles and their Habitats of the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia.	Protects habitat; Helps countries to identify and reduce threats	Mr. Maeen Lutf Alsewari National Coordinator, Convention Migratory Species (CMS (Environment Protection Authority (EPA) Sana'a, Republic of Yemen P. O. Box 19719 Tel: +967 711488943 Fax: +967 1 207327 Email: maeen_swary@hotmail.com
Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)	1996	Yes	(updated as needed)	All		deals with turtle issues and conservation	Dr. Abdelkader Mohammed Al- Kharraz, ChairmanEnvironment Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 SANA'A
United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)	1987	Yes		de facto: All		Protects habitat	His Excellency Dr. Abubakr A. Al-Qirbi Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Yemen Ministry of Foreign Affairs
United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change	1996			de facto: All		indirectly deals with turtle issues	His Excellency Dr. Abubakr A. Al-Qirbi Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Yemen Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Regional							
PERSGA (Red Sea) [the Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden] [Jeddah Convention, 1982]	1982	Yes	Self Reporting as requested	de facto: All Marine turtles	Objectives: To improve the sustainable management and use of the RSGA's coastal and marine resources. To conserve the shared marine environment.	Protects habitat; reduce threats	Dr. Mahomed Saeed Almashjari, President of Environment Protection Authority, Environment Protection Authority (EPA). Postal Address: P.O.Box. 19719 Sana'a Yemen Phone +967 (1) 207817Fax +967 (1) 257549/207327Mobile: 967733761109/967777173372E-mail: environment@yemen.net.ye
Protocol Concerning the Conservation of Biological Diversity and the Establishment of Network of Protected Areas in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (2005).	2005	Yes			Helps to achieve the above		Dr. Mahomed Saeed Almashjari, President of Environment Protection Authority, Environment Protection Authority (EPA). Postal Address: P.O.Box. 19719 Sana'a Yemen Phone +967 (1) 207817Fax +967 (1) 257549/207327Mobile: 967733761109/967777173372E-mail: environment@yemen.net.ye
Protocol Concerning the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (2005).	2005	Yes			Helps to achieve the above		Dr. Mahomed Saeed Almashjari, President of Environment Protection Authority, Environment Protection Authority (EPA). Postal Address: P.O.Box. 19719 Sana'a Yemen Phone +967 (1) 207817Fax +967 (1) 257549/207327Mobile: 967733761109/967777173372E-mail: environment@yemen.net.ye
Protocol Concerning Technical Cooperation to Borrow and Transfer Experts, Technicians, Equipment and Materials in Cases of Emergency (2009).	2009	Yes			Helps to achieve the above		Dr. Mahomed Saeed Almashjari, President of Environment Protection Authority, Environment Protection Authority (EPA). Postal Address: P.O.Box. 19719 Sana'a Yemen Phone +967 (1) 207817Fax +967 (1) 257549/207327Mobile: 967733761109/967777173372E-mail: environment@yemen.net.ye

National[35]							
The Environment Protection Council (EPC) was established in 1990 by Prime Ministerial Decree 94/1990.	1990			All	the general national policy planning for environmental protection and control; responsible for marine turtle conservation.	deals with turtle issues and conservation	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
Law No. 37 of 1991 defines the territorial waters and the exclusive economic zone	1991			de facto: all	It defines the territorial waters and the exclusive economic zones of 200 nautical miles, the boundaries of the islands. It also regulates free passage in the Strait of Bab al- Mandab and emphasizes on the prohibition of dumping any wastes into these zones.	indirectly deals with turtle issues	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
The Presidential Resolution on Fishing, Exploitation and Protection of Living Aquatic Resources (Law No. 42)	1991			All	Protection of fisheries resources and regulation of fishing activities; prohibits the use of destructive fishing methods (e.g., poisons, chemicals, explosives).	capture of sea turtles is forbidden	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
Yemeni Law No. 11 of 1993 concerning the Protection of Marine Environment from Pollution	1993			de facto: all	It aims at protection of sea from pollution. It is mainly concerned with pollution by oil and pollution from passing ships. article No. 35, the law prohibits any form of discharge of pollutants of any kind and from any source into the sea without prior treatment.	indirectly deals with turtle issues	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
The Ministerial Decree for Specifications of Fishing Vessels and Gear (No. 101)	1995			de facto: All	Defines types of fishing gear	indirectly deals with turtle issues	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
Law No. 20 of 1995 aims to deal with procedures for urban planning in all parts of the Republic	1995			de facto: all	Article (3) of the Law aims at best usage of land, organizing its usage for various purposes, protection of the environment from pollution, protection of valleys, water courses, flash flood courses, underground water and the coastline.	indirectly deals with turtle issues	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
Prime Ministerial Decree No. 4 (1996)	1996			All	Established Socotra as a protected area; developed a High Committee for Development of Socotra headed by the Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Planning and Development.	Protects nesting sites on Soctora	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com

Presidential Decree on Law No. 43 of 1997	1997			de facto: all	Regulates fishing exploitation and protection of live aquatic resources.	indirectly deals with turtle issues	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
The Technical Secretariat (TS)				de facto: all	co-ordinates and monitors: planning, implementation, environmental protection and natural conservation policy.	deals with turtle issues and conservation	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
The Ministry of Fish Wealth (MFW)				de facto: all	Regulates fishing, issues licenses, and supervises processing and marketing of fish and fisheries products for local consumption and export; responsible for the enforcement of laws and regulations concerning marine resources, including bycatch of endangered species.	indirectly deals with turtle issues	Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Ministry of Water and Environment P.O. Box 19719 Sana'a Republic of Yemen Tel +967 1 207816Fax +967 1 207327 Email: epa@epayemen.com
The Public Corporation for Maritime Affairs (PCMA)				de facto: all	Concerned with maritime safety and marine pollution control	protects environment	The Public Corporation of Maritime Affairs Authority (MAA) Ministry of Transport P.O. Box 19395 Sana'a, Yemen Tel: +967 2 414412 Tel: +967 2 419914 pcma@y.net.ye

Table 4. Projects and databases that concern marine turtle in Yemen.

#	RMU	Country	Region / Location	Project Name or descriptive title	Key words	Start date	End date
T4.1	NWIO	Yemen	Socotra Island	Socotra Society for the protection of turtles			2015
T4.2	NWIO	Yemen	Mainland	Ras Imran society for sea turtles conservation, RISST, Yemen			
T4.3	NWIO	Yemen	Mainland	Yemeni Biological Society.			

Leading organisation	Public / Private	Collaboration with	Reports / Information material	Current Sponsors	Primary Contact (name and Email)
Socotra Society for the protection of turtles	Private		-		Shinihan, H M.

Table 5. Summaries of biological characteristics reported for green, loggerhead and hawksbill turtles nesting in Yemen.

Data presented as mean (n) when available from source. [18, 19, 22, 25]

Adults														
Location	**Species**	**Source**	**Year**	**Date**	**Life stage**	**Mean Curved Carapace Length (cm)**	**Range Curved Carapace Length (cm)**	**Mean Curved Carapace Width (cm)**	**Range Curved Carapace Width (cm)**	**Mean Straight Carapace Length (cm)**	**Range Straight Carapace Length (cm)**	**Mean Straight Carapace Width (cm)**	**Range Straight Carapace Width (cm)**	**Mean Plastron Length (cm)**
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Loggerhead	22	2006		Adult	115		105		109		85		92
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Green	22	2006		Adult		90-112		84-98		85-104		67-77	
Sharma Beach	Green	19	1966-1967		Adult	96 (225)	78.7- 113.3	74.9	63.5-86.4					
Sharma Beach	Green	18	1972		Adult	94.2	77.0-117.0	74.5	65.0-86.0					
Jabul Aziz Island	Hawksbill	19	1966-1967		Adult	69.5 (14)	63-72							

Adults														
Location	**Species**	**Source**	**Year**	**Date**	**Life stage**	**Range Plastron Length (cm)**	**Mean Plastron Width (cm)**	**Range Plastron Width (cm)**	**Mean Head width (cm)**	**Range Head width (cm)**	**Mean Tail Length (cm)**	**Range Tail Length (cm)**	**Mean Weight (kg)**	**Range Weight (kg)**
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Loggerhead	22	2006		Adult									
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Green	22	2006		Adult	70-82		60-7		13-16		14-22		100-152
Jabul Aziz Island	Hawksbill	19	1966-1967		Adult									

Eggs

Location	Species	Source	Year	Date	Life stage	Clutch size (eggs)	Egg Dia Mean (cm)	Egg Dia Range (cm)	Egg Weight (gm)	Egg Weight Range (gm)	Number clutches Sampled	Number sampled	Incubation Period (days)	Renesting interval (days)
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Loggerhead	22	2006		Egg									
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Green	22	2006		Egg			41-47		36.8-53.5				
Sharma Beach	Green	19	1966-1967		Egg	106 (70-130) 30	42.4	30-47.5						
Abdul Wadi	Green	19	1966-1967		Egg		42.5	40-45	40.4	30-44	1	100	9.6	7 - 13
Sharma Beach	Green	19	1966-1967		Egg	106 (70-130) 30	45.5	41-48	42.3	37.5-47.5	1	50		
Sharma Beach	Green	18	1972		Egg	122.4 (67-179) 5			44.8	35-55	5			
Jabul Aziz Island	Hawksbill	19	1966-1967		Egg	81.2 (69-99) 5	40.5	38-45			1			

Hatchlings

Location	Species	Source	Year	Date	Life stage	Hatchling CL Mean (cm)	Hatchling CL Range (cm)	Hatchling CW Mean (cm)	Hatchling CW Range (cm)	Hatching Weight Mean (gm)	Hatching Weight Range (gm)	Number sampled	Number clutches Sampled	% Hatching Emergence
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Loggerhead	22	2006		Hatchling									
Sharmah-Jethmoon Coast	Green	22	2006		Hatchling		41-45		34-37		18-25			
Abdul Wadi	Green	19			Hatchling	46.9	44.0 - 48.4			23	(20-28)	20	1	48
Jabul Aziz Island	Hawksbill	19			Hatchling	42		32						

Nesting season	Peak nesting period underlined; estimated in parenthesizes													
Location	**Species**	**Jan**	**Feb**	**Mar**	**Apr**	**May**	**June**	**July**	**Aug**	**Sept**	**Oct**	**Nov**	**Dec**	**Source**
Yemen	Loggerhead				(xxx)	(xxx)	(xxx)	(xxx)	(xxx)	(xxx)				7
	Hawksbill	xxx	xxx										xxx	19, 51
Abdul Wadi	Green	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	Xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	19
Shuhair	Green										xxx	xxx		19
Shihr	Green										xxx	xxx		19
Sharma	Green	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	Xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	18, 19
Ithmum	Green	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	xxx	18, 19
Jabul Aziz Island	Hawksbill	xxx	xxx	xxx										

Table 6 Population assessment of sea turtles in Yemen based on IUCN Red List assessments by Seminoff [48] (green turtle) and Mortimer and Donnelly [40] (hawksbill turtle).

Green turtle										
Subpopulation	**Data Type**	**Past Year**	**Past Mean**	**Pres. Year**	**Present Mean**	**Interval**	**Trend (% change)**	**Citation (Past)**	**Citation (Present)**	**Comment**
CM-NWIO	annual # nesting females	1966, 1972	30-40 fem/night, peak sea	1999	15 females /night, peak season	27 yr	- ≥50 %	10, 18	59	Described as "without any doubt one of the best nesting beaches remaining in the world" (Hirth and Carr 1970).

Age at (years)	**Age at maturity calculation (see original Source)**	**½ Reproductive Longevity (years)**	**Generation Length (GL; years)**	**3-generation duration ([= GL * 3]; years)**	**Calendar year 3 generations back (= 2001- 3GL)**	
33.3	Mean of A,B,C	½ (19 yr) = 9.5	33.3 + 9.5 = 42.8	42.8 * 3 = 128.4	1873	
Past	**Present**		**Subpopulation 3 gen. ago (est.)**	**Current Subpopulation (est.)**	**Estimated 3-generation reduction**	**Notes**
1,750	750					Subpopulation declining since at least 1950 (10)
(1972)	(1999)	E=	5,409	677	-87%	
		L=	2,564	676	-74%	
Egg Collect	**Female Harvest**	**Intent. Capture**	**Incident. Capture**	**Habitat Loss**	**Cont.**	**Dis**
low	Y (30,33)	?	? ?	?	?	59

Hawksbill turtle								
						Population trends		
Subpopulation	**Location**	**Data**	**Years**	**Number of nesting ♀/season**	**Data confidence grade**	**Recent <20 yrs)**	**Historic (>20 yrs)**	**Reference**
EI-NWIO	Yemen	AF	1960s - 1970s	~ 500?	B	?	?	51

Table 7. Marine Protected Areas in Yemen.

Two areas have been declared; five areas have been recommended. An additional 21 coastal and island area have been identified for possible declaration. (Only coastal and island and areas are presented) [16, 36, 37, 49]

Location	Protected area	Ecosystem	Class	Governorate	Year declared	Size ($km^{2)}$	Major habitats and significant species	Impacts and conflicts	Management	Global recognition	Ref #
SOCOTRA	Socotra Islands	Islands	1	Hadramaut	1996	3704.1	Island group without- standing terrestrial plant and animal diversity and endemism, diverse and largely pristine marine environments and biota	Fishing, increasing tourism pressure anticipated	Currently low, expected to become high,	Nominated Biosphere Reserve, GEF biodiversity project	36
KAMARAN	Ras Isa/ Kamaran Island	Islands	2	Al Hudaydah	2009	106.7	Coral reefs with diverse reef-associated fauna, mangroves	Oil terminal and chronic oil pollution, threat of major oil spill, reef fisheries for aquarium trade	None	None	36
BIR ALI AND PELHAF	Balhaf and Bir Ali area	Coastal plain	2	Shabwah	Proposed	96.4	Group of high aspect islands, scenic coast- line, extensive coral reefs and rich fishing area, bird and turtle nesting, crater lake with mangroves	Tourism development, fishing activities	None	None	36
SHARMAH AND JATHMON	Ras Sharma	Coastal plain	2	Hadramaut	Proposed	62.2	Beach and steep rocky headlands, internationally important nesting site for green turtles	Turtle egg collecting, possible slaughter of turtles	None	None	36
KHAWOR UMAYRAH	Khor Umaira	Coastal plain	2	Lahj	Suggested	34.3	Mixed seagrass and coral habitat, semi-enclosed lagoon with turtle nesting beaches	Fisheries	None	None	36
DHOBBAH (SHIHR)	Dhobba (Shihr)		2		Proposed	Not defined	Sandy beaches, important turtle nesting site	Turtle egg collecting, possibly slaughtering of turtles	None	None	36
BAB AL MANDABB and Perim Island	Bab-al- Mandab and Perim Island	Islands & Coastal plain	2	Taiz	Suggested	8.5	Extensive mangrove stands, dense seagrass beds, hawksbill turtle nesting site of global importance	Major shipping lane, pollution, siltation, cutting of mangrove	None	None	36

Other possible MPAs that have been identified that would support marine turtle conservation.											
QISHN		Coastal plain	2	Al Maharah		97					36
RAS AMRAN		Coastal plain	2	Aden		10.5					36
RAS AMRAN		Coastal plain	2	Aden		6.4					36
ALARIRAH		Coastal plain	2	Taiz		4.4					36
ABD AL KURI		Islands	2	Hadramaut		133.5					36
ZOQAR		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		121.4					36
HONAISH ALKOBRA		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		71.4					36
JAZIRAT ANTUFASH		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		42.5					36
		Islands	2	Hadramaut		42.2					36
ZAMHAR		Islands	2	Hajjah		38.2					36
ALZBIR		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		23					36
BUQLAN		Islands	2	Hajjah		12.7					36
MAYYUN		Islands	2	Aden		12.2					36
AL BADI		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		11					36
HONAISH ALSOURA		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		10.2					36
AL URMAK		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		8.8					36
J. ALTIR		Islands	2	Al Hudaydah		8.4					36
QULENSYA		Islands	2	Hadramut		8.2					36
		Islands	2	Hajjah		2.7					36
		Islands	2	Shabwah		1					36
		Islands	2	Aden		0.7					36

.



Figure 1. The known marine turtle nesting locations in Yemen, including on the island of Socotra in the Gulf of Aden.

Symbols represent species: Circle, Green Turtle; Diamond, Loggerhead turtle; Star, Hawksbill turtle; Square, Olive Ridley Turtle*. Symbols represent nesting locations, not the number or density of nesting turtles.

*unconfirmed nesting activity by two individual turtles in one season

References

# REF	Full reference
1	Al Saafani, M. A., Nagi, H. M., A. M. Alhababy, A. M., Abubakr, M. M., and Hajer, A. 2015. Impact of sea level rise and climate change on the coastal zone of Aden Governorate, Republic of Yemen. Faculty of Science Bulletin, 27: 15-32.
2	Alabsi, N. and Komatsu, T. 2014. Characterization of fisheries management in Yemen: A case study of developing country's management regime. Marine Policy 50: 89-95.
3	Baldwin, R., Hughes, G.R., and Prince, R.I.T. 2003. Loggerhead turtles in the Indian Ocean. Pp 218–232. In: Bolten, A.B. and Witherington, B.E. (eds) Loggerhead Sea turtles. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington.
4	Eckert, K. L., Wallace, B. P., Frazier, J. G., Eckert, S. A., and Pritchard, P. C. H. 2012. Synopsis of the Biological Data on the Leatherback Sea Turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*). Biological Technical Publication BTP-R4015-2012, Washington, D.C. F. U.S. Department of Interior.
5	Reichart, H. A. 1993. synopsis of biological data on the olice ridley sea turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea* (Eschscholtz 1829) in the western Atlantic. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-336, 78pp.
6	PERSGA. 2002. Status of the Living Marine Resources in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden and Their Mangement. Regional Organizations for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden.
7	Dodd Jr., C.K. 1988. Synopsis of the biological data on the loggerhead sea turtle *Caretta caretta* (Linnaeus 1758). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 88(14). Government Printing Office; Washington, D.C.
8	E.C., M.F.W. 1995. Coastal Marine Habitats Survey. Phase I: Preliminary habitat classification and an assessment of the coast's resources, users and impacts. (MacAlister Elliot & Partners Ltd)
9	Environment Protection Authority (EPA). 2004. YEMEN: First National Report to the Convention on Biological Diversity. Ministry of Water and Environment, the Republic of Yemen. 92pp.
10	FAO. 1968. Report to the Governments of the People's Republic of Southern Yemen and Seychelles Islands on the green turtle resource of South Arabia and the status of the green turtle in the Seychelles Islands, based on the work of H. Hirth, FAO/ TA Marine Turtle Biologist. Rep. FAO/UNDP (TA) (2467).
11	Fitzsimmons, N. N. and Limpus, C. J. 2014. Marine turtle genetic stocks of the Indo-Pacific: identifying boundaries and knowledge gaps. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 20:2-35.
12	Conant, T. A., Dutton, P. H., Eguchi, T., Epperly, S. P., Fahy, C. C., Godfrey, M. H., MacPherson, S. L., Possardt, E. E., Schroeder, B. A., Seminoff, J. A., Snove, M. L., Upite, C. M., and Witherington, B. E. 2009. Loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) 2009 status review under the U.S. Endangered Species Act. Report of the Loggerhead Biological Review Team to the National Marine Fisheries Service, August 2009. 222pp.
13	Green, D. 1996. Marine turtles of North Yemen. pp. 116-118. In: Keinath, J. A., Barnard, D. E., Musick, J. A. and Bell, B.A. (eds). Proceedings of the 15th annual workshop on sea turtle biology and conservation. NOAA Tech Memo NMFS-SEFSC-387:
14	Shinihan, H M.S. 2017. Sea Turtles Conservation in Socotra, Yemen. Socotra Society for the protection of turtles.
15	Groombridge, B. and Luxmoore, R. 1989. The green turtle and hawksbill (Reptilia: Cheloniidae): world status exploitation and trade. CITES Secretariat, Lausanne, p 601.
16	Haddad, A-M G., Hariri, K. I., al-Aghbari, T., and Krupp, F. 2001. Country Report Yemen Strategic Action Programme for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden.
17	PERSGA (2007). Regional Action Plans for the Conservation of Marine Turtles, Seabirds and Mangroves in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. The Regional Organization for the Conservation of the Environment of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (PERSGA).
18	Hirth, H. F. and Hollingworth, S. L. 1973. Report to the Government of the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen. Report FAO/UNDP. TA 3178, Rome. 51 pp.
19	Hirth, H. F. and Carr, A. F. 1970. The green turtle in the Gulf of Aden and the Seychelles Islands. Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen. Afdeling Natuurkunde 58(5): 1-44.
20	Hirth, H. F., Klikoff, L. G., and Herper, T. 1973. Seagrasses at Khor Umaira, People's Democratic Republic of Yemen with reference to their role in the diet of green turtles *Chelonia mydas*. Fishery Bulletin 71: 1093-1097.
21	IOSEA. 2014. Country Report: Yemen. Secretariat Indian Ocean – South-East Asian Marine Turtle Memorandum of Understanding IOSEA Marine Turtle MoU.
22	Moqbil, A. A. 2007. Sea Turtle Species around Yemen. IOSEA Newsletter, March 2007. (http://www.ioseaturtles.org/pom_detail.php?id=54).
23	PERSGA SAP. 2002. Component 5: A Regional Network of Marine Protected Areas. Survey of the proposed Marine Protected Area at Bir Ali – Belhaf, Republic of Yemen.

24 PERSGA/GEF. 2004. Regional Action Plan for the Conservation of Marine Turtles and their Habitats in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGA, Jeddah.

25 Van Buskirk, J. and Crowder, L. B. 1994. Life history variation in marine turtles. Copeia (1): 66-81.

26 Pilcher, N. and Saad, M. 2006. Status of leatherback turtles in Yemen Indian Ocean – South-East Asian Leatherback Turtle Assessment.

27 Rushdi, A.I., Ba'Issa, A. A., and Ba'bagi, A. 1991. Preliminary investigations of oil pollution along the Red Sea coast of Yemen. In 'Proceedings of the Seminar on the Status of the Environment in the Republic of Yemen. EPC.

28 Saad, M. 2002. Status of marine turtles in Yemen: Survey report. PERSGA/SAP Technical Document 1981. In Summary review of the Red Sea commercial fisheries, catches and stock assessments. RAB/77/008/19. In: Sanders, M. J. and Kedidi, S. M. (Eds.) pp. 12: Jeddah.

29 Saeed, A. A. N. 2012. Socotra Archipelago, Yemen [Scientific Information to Describe Areas Meeting Scientific Criteria for Ecologically or Biologically Significant Marine Areas]. 1-5.

30 Sanders, M. J and Kedidi, S.M. 1981. 'Summary Review of the Red Sea Commercial Catch and Stock Assessments, Including Maps of Actual and Potential Fishing Grounds.' UNDP/FAO, RAB/77/008/19.

31 Walczak, P. S. 1975. The status of marine turtles in the waters of the Yemen Arab Republic. UNDP/FAO Fisheries Development Project. Yem 74-003. Fisheries Investigations Report No. 59.

32 Walczak, P. S. 1979. The status of marine turtles in the waters of the Yemen Arab Republic. British Journal of Herpetology 5(12): 851–853.

33 Wallace, B.P., Tiwari, M. and Girondot, M. 2013. *Dermochelys coriacea*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2013: e.T6494A43526147.

34 PERSGA/GEF 2004. Standard Survey Methods for Key Habitats and Key Species in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. PERSGATechnical Series No. 10. PERSGA, Jeddah.

35 Republic of Yemen. 2003. Yemen's National Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities (NPA). Environment Protection Authority (EPA). Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE).

36 Republic of Yemen. 2014. Fifth National Report to the Convention on Biological Diversity (2010-2014). Environment Protection Authority. Ministry of Water and Environment.

37 Republic of Yemen. 2012. Action Plan for Implementing the Programme of Work on Protected Areas of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Environment Protection Authority. Ministry of Water and Environment.

38 Van Damme, K. and Banfield, L. 2011. Past and present human impacts on the biodiversity of Socotra Island (Yemen): implications for future conservation. Zoology in the Middle East 54: sup3, 31-88.

39 Brodie, J. O. N. and Turak, E. 1999. Threats to Marine Organisms and Habitats of Yemen's Red Sea. In: Ecosystems of the Red Sea coast of Yemen. Protection of Marine Ecosystems of the Red Sea Coast of Yemen. T. S. R. A. DouAbul, and R. Marchant, . Hassell & Assoc., AMSAT and UNOPS.: 73-89.

40 Mortimer, J. A. and Donnelly, M. 2008. Hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) in IUCN 2012 Red List Status of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2.

41 Hirth, H. F. 1997. Synopsis of the biological data on the green turtle *Chelonia mydas* (Linnaeus 1758), Fish and Wildlife Service, US Dept of the Interior: 1-120.

42 Bonfiglioli, A. and Hariri, K. I. 2004. Small-scale fisheries in Yemen: social assessment and development prospects. FAO / World Bank. 113pp.

43 NHC (Norwegian Hull Club) 2012. FISHING TEMPLATE: Republic of Yemen (Updated 5 Sep 2012) https://www.norclub.no/assets/ArticleFiles/NATO-Fisheries-Template-Yemen. [Accessed 2 Oct. 2017]

44 Zajonz, U., Lavergne, E., Klaus, R., Krupp, F., Aideed, M. S., and Saeed F. N. 2016. The coastal fishes and fisheries of the Socotra Archipelago, Yemen. Marine Pollution Bulletin 105: 660-675

45 Pitcher, T. J. and Pramod, G. 2006. An Estimation of Compliance of the Fisheries of Yemen with Article 7 (Fisheries Management) of the UN Code of Conduct for Responsible Fishing. In: T. J. Pitcher, G. Pramod, D. Kalikoski (eds). Evaluations of Compliance with the UN Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries. Fisheries Centre Research Reports 14(2)., Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, 17pp.

46 Nasher, A. K. and Al Jumaily, M. 2015. Steps to building long term sea turtle conservation program in Yemen. Wildlife Middle East 7:1-2.

47 Bourjea, J., Nel, R., Jiddawi, N. S., Koonjul, M. S., and Bianchi, G. 2008. Sea Turtle Bycatch in the West Indian Ocean: Review, Recommendations and Research Priorities. Western Indian Ocean Jounal of Marine Science 7: 137–150.

48 Seminoff, J. A. 2002. IUCN Red List Global Status Assessment Green Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) Marine Turtle Specialist Group Review. 93pp.

49 PERSGA (2002). The Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Regional Network of Marine Protected Areas Regional Master Plan. PERSGA Technical Report Series No. 1.

50 Hamann, M., Kamrowski, R. L., and Bodine, T. 2013. Assessment of the conservation status of the loggerhead turtle in the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia IOSEA Species Assessment: Volume II Indian Ocean – South-East Asian Marine Turtle Memorandum of Understanding IOSEA Marine Turtle MoU.

51 Ross, J.P. and Barwani, M.A. 1982. Review of sea turtles in the Arabian area. In: K.A. Bjorndal (ed). Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles. Pp. 373–383. Washington, DC, Smithsonian Institution Press.

52 Frazier, J., Bertram, G. C., and Evans, P. G. H. 1987. Turtles and Marine Mammals. In: A. J. Edwards and S. M. Head, (eds.) Red Sea, Key Environments Series, Pergamon Press, Oxford,

53 Gasperetti, J., Stimson, A., Miller, J. D., Ross, J. P., and Gasperetti, P. 1993. Turtles of Arabia. Fauna of Saudi Arabia 13: 170-367.

54 Mancini, A., Elsadek, I. and El-Alwany, M. A. N. 2015. Marine Turtles of the Red Sea. Pp. 551-565. In: N. M. A. Rasul and I. C. F. Stewart (eds.) The Red Sea. Springer Earth System Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.

55 Witzell, W. N. 1983. Synopsis of biological data on the hawksbill turtle *Eretmochelys imbricata* (Linnaeus, 1766). Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) Fisheries Synopsis No. 137, FAO: 78pp.

56 IUCN/MEPA. 1987. Red Sea and Arabian Gulf, Saudi Arabia: an assessment of national coastal zone management requirements. MEPA Coastal and Marine Management Series 7: 1-41.

57 Wilson, G., Price, A. R. G., Huntington, T., and Wilson, S. C. 2003. Environmental status of Yemen's Gulf of Aden coast determined from rapid field assessment and satellite imagery. Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management 6: 119-129.

58 Kalikoski, D., Vasconcellos, M., and Pitcher, T. J. 2006. An Estimation of Compliance of the Fisheries of India with Article 7 (Fisheries Management) of the UN Code of Conduct for Responsible Fishing. Framework 7: 1-28.

59 Saad, M. A. 1999. Hadramaut coast importance in conservation of endangered green turtle. Marine Sciences Resources Research Center, Aden. Unpublished Report. 8 pp.

60 PERSGA. 2002. Strategic Action Programme for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden: Country Reports - Yemen. Regional Organization for the Conservation of the Environment of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (PERSGA).

61 Rees, A. F., Al Saady, S., Broderick, A. C., Coyne, M. S., Papathanasopoulou, N., and Godley, B. J. 2010. Behavioural polymorphism in one of the world's largest populations of loggerhead sea turtles *Caretta caretta*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 418, 201-212. doi:10.3354/meps08767

62 Dalleau, M., Benhamou, S., Sudre, J., Ciccione, S., and Bourjea, J. (2014). The spatial ecology of juvenile loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the Indian Ocean sheds light on the lost years mystery. Marine Biology 161: 1835-1849. doi:10.1007/s00227-014-2465-z

63 Rees, A. F., Al-Kiyumi, A., Broderick, A. C., Papathanasopoulou, N., and Godley, B. J. (2012). Each to their own: inter-specific differences in migrations of Masirah Island turtles. Chelonian Conservation & Biology 11(2): 243-248.

64 Rees, A. F., Al-Kiyumi, A., Broderick, A. C., Papathanasopoulou, N., and Godley, B. J. (2012). Conservation related insights into the behaviour of the olive ridley sea turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea* nesting in Oman. Marine Ecology Progress Series 450: 195-205. doi:10.3354/meps09527

65 Casale, P. 2015. *Caretta caretta North West Indian Ocean subpopulation. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2015: e.T84127873A84127992. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T84127873A84127992.en. Downloaded on 27 May 2019.

66 Abreu-Grobois, A & Plotkin, P. (IUCN SSC Marine Turtle Specialist Group) 2008. *Lepidochelys olivacea. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2008: e.T11534A3292503. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T11534A3292503.en.